Integrating Artificial Intelligence in Diagnostic Radiology: Opportunities and Challenges for Modern Medicine

Fahad Dauwas Eid Alshammari¹ , Azzam Fahad Al Amer² , Afnan Issa Abdullah Almalahi³ , Arif Mishal Taisan Alshammari⁴ , Alaa Sharef Mohammad Alhmshe⁵ , Obaid Mousa Almarri⁶ , Maitha Shubrum Alshammari⁷ , Awatif Radhy J Alshammari⁸

> ¹Diagnostic radiology, Forensic medicine Diagnostic Radiology,King Salman Specialist Hospital ³ Diagnostic Radiology, King Salman Specialist Hospital Diagnostic Radiology,MOH-Hail Branch Diagnostic Radiology,King Salman Specialist Hospital Diagnostic Radiology,MOH-Hail Branch Diagnostic Radiology,King Salman Specialist Hospital Senior Specialist-Radiological Technology,King Salman Specialist Hospital

Received: 01.09.2024 Revised: 19.09.2024 Accepted: 28.09.2024

ABSTRACT

The idea of using AI to identify diseases is looking better and better as the world's population grows. Artificial intelligence is a new medical tool that can change how diagnoses are made and make medical care better. This is because more people means more work for radiologists. A diagnostic approach like this could make the job of a radiologist easier and get rid of the chance of differences in practice, since radiologists have different skills when it comes to recognizing and interpreting image features. New research shows that AI can be useful in radiology because it can help with image analysis, finding important things in images, making images better, setting priorities, making clinical suggestions, and creating automated protocols. Because science is changing so quickly these days, medical diagnostic methods are also changing quickly. This means that we need to think about and analyze new diagnostic methods like artificial intelligence. In our review, we will look at how well artificial intelligence and radiological picture interpretation can be used for diagnosis, as well as the pros and cons of its use and how it might grow in the future.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Diagnostic Radiology: Opportunities; Challenges; Modern Medicine

INTRODUCTION

From its invention, radiology has evolved, taking it to a whole different level in modern medicine. The discovery of X-rays and introduction of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning are just examples showing how the journey is still continuous in changing this complex profession, which in return affects itself and the health ecosystem it serves. This comprehensive analysis looks at the relationship of AI and ML in radiography, studying their underlying concepts, historic evolution,practical applications, innate challenges, and ethical considerations. The underlying principles of AI and ML are essential and their impact is growing in radiology; it also describes methodologies of practical implementation and representative case studies in many medical fields. Radiology is a branch of medicine that deals with the use of imaging techniques for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes of diseases (1).

The specialty has come to include an indispensable part of modern medicine in clinical practice. Beyond the mere detection of diseases, it encompasses treatment and follow-up of diseases. Proficiency in diagnostic techniques such as CT, MRI, positron emission tomography (PET), ultrasound, and X-rays informs prompt clinical interventions, therapy assessment, and documents a visual account of a patient's health. Medical imaging offers detailed insights into anatomical, physiological, and molecular disease processes, significantly influencing patient care by enabling the customization of treatments, therefore enhancing therapeutic outcomes and reducing unwanted effects (2-4) .Radiology functions as an essential component in the complex framework of interdisciplinary medical teams. Radiologists provide accurate and prompt imaging reports, hence improving communication among specialists and influencing critical choices, which fosters a comprehensive, patientcentered healthcare model(5). Radiologists, as esteemed consultative partners, provide essential insights for selecting and interpreting appropriate imaging studies, significantly contributing to radiation safety and dose management while their expertise clarifies the clinical picture, thereby influencing patient management considerably (7,8).The review seeks to deepen comprehension of AI and ML's roles in radiology, promoting meaningful dialogue among doctors, researchers, and policymakers, so influencing the field's trajectory and improving patient outcomes.

Potential of AI in medical imaging

In addition to the transformative advancements in imaging modalities, a significant milestone in the late 20th century was the transition from film-based to digital radiography and the implementation of Picture Archiving and Communication Systems (PACS). This modification significantly enhanced the efficiency of image acquisition, storage, and retrieval, while also facilitating the sharing and transfer of images inside and between healthcare institutions (8). The revolution in medical imaging technology persisted following these developments. Functional imaging modalities, including Positron Emission Tomography (PET), characterized by the application of radiolabeled biochemical agents, and Single-Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT), utilizing gamma-emitting radionuclides to monitor biological processes, elucidate biological and metabolic activities, offering insights into cellular dynamics and essential data regarding the functional condition of organs (9,10). 3D imaging represented a major breakthrough in medical imaging by offering a more accurate depiction of the spatial relationships within the body, which enhanced surgical planning and diagnostic precision. The latter development of four-dimensional (4D) imaging pushed the limitations further by including the element of time, allowing real-time physiological process monitoring (11).PET/CT and SPECT/CT are two hybrid imaging techniques that result from the intersection of functional and anatomical imaging. Complete diagnostic information is obtained by combining the advantages of both modalities. For example, PET/CT significantly improves lesion identification and characterization accuracy by fusing the metabolic expertise of PET with the entire anatomical context of CT (12). Finally, the healthcare landscape has transformed as a result of the development of interventional radiology, which employs imaging to guide less invasive procedures. These techniques increase precision by seeing the target area in real time, potentially improving patient outcomes and shortening recovery periods. For example, compared to surgical biopsies, image-guided biopsies are less invasive and safer, resulting in fewer complications and shorter hospital stays (13). AI is fundamental to the current revolution in healthcare, possessing the capacity to revolutionize image acquisition, enhance radiological investigations, expedite reporting, and create individualized medical narratives. This shift transcends radiology, impacting several healthcare domains where the remarkable advancements of AI are increasingly acknowledged, such as pathology, cardiology, genomics, drug discovery, and healthcare delivery. This review concludes with the growing paradigm that AI-facilitated customized medicine may adopt, characterized by more proactive, patient-centered, and holistic treatment approaches.

Perspective in Radiology

AI has completely changed radiology by extending the role of the radiologist and changing conventional workflows. It enhances the scanning procedure, permits intricate image reconstruction, and maximizes picture fidelity in the modalities of MRI, CT, and PET image acquisition. The most important of these is deep learning, which maximizes MRI scanning efficiency and quality. Parallel advancements have also been made in the reconstruction of CT and PET images (14) More lately, there has been a lot of interest in radiomics—the extraction and analysis of quantitative data from medical images—with the goal of improving clinical decisionmaking and personalized medicine $(15-17)$. This data-driven methodology employs cutting-edge computational methods to reveal concealed patterns and potential imaging biomarkers in medical scans. This data can be instrumental in determining the prognosis of patients, the efficacy of a treatment, and the nature of the disease (18). The application of artificial intelligence (AI) methods, such as deep learning and machine learning, has revolutionized the field of radiology (19,20). AI-driven systems have demonstrated the capacity to enhance diagnostic accuracy, automate procedures, and more easily identify clinically significant imaging biomarkers (21,22).AI and radiomics could change medical imaging from a qualitative to a quantitative field, which would allow for more data-driven and personalized care for patients (23,24). Using computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and positron emission tomography (PET) to take medical photos is usually the first step in the radiomics process. After that, these photos go through preprocessing steps that try to make the dataset more consistent and high-quality (15, 16). The pictures are then processed to get a lot of different kinds of quantitative data, such as features based on intensity, features based on texture, features based on form, and features based on spatial connectivity. These traits describe the variety, look, and function of the tissues that were imaged (18). The acquired data is analyzed using sophisticated computational techniques, such as machine learning algorithms, to find trends and connections that could point to patient outcomes, therapy response, or disease characteristics (24). Advanced radiomics improves the extraction and interpretation of medical imaging data by using automated image processing techniques, building on these basic steps. Advanced algorithms can automatically separate regions of interest, reducing human error and improving the efficiency of image processing (15). Lesion diagnosis is particularly benefiting from this automated approach since AI-powered systems can rapidly scan vast volumes of imaging data to find likely abnormalities with great sensitivity and specificity (19). In the context of diagnostic decision support, it is possible to combine radiomics features with clinical data and other indicators to develop comprehensive prediction models. These can aid the radiologist or clinician in the grading of tumors, the differentiation of benign from malignant lesions, and the estimation of the likelihood of a specific diagnosis (14).These instruments have the potential to increase diagnostic accuracy and reduce inter-observer variability. Additionally, radiomics is increasingly being implemented in the process of therapeutic decision-making. These novel analytical methods can be used to develop personalized therapy plans that establish a connection between radiomic signals and treatment outcomes. The acquisition of imaging data during routine clinical practice ensures that large data sets are readily accessible in principle.

As a result, they are a priceless asset to the fields of science and medicine. When studying non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), researchers used radiomics to predict factors including distant metastasis in lung adenocarcinoma(15), tumor histological subtypes(16), disease recurrence(17), somatic mutations(18), geneexpression profiles(19), and overall survival (20). The clinical value of AI-generated biomarkers obtained from standard-of-care radiography pictures has been investigated in light of these discoveries (21). The long-term goal is to improve radiologists' ability to diagnose diseases, optimize imaging quality, visualize data, evaluate responses, and generate reports. Currently, AI relies heavily on data; for example, in order to construct a robust AI program, one needs access to medical records and associated metadata. Numerous artificial intelligence projects that made use of massive database datasets did so by using a retrospective strategy. Conversely, to guarantee the model's functionality, a prospective method was employed. The retrospective study is doing its research with a consent waiver; nonetheless, patient consent is necessary for the prospective study.

An open medical database was proposed in 2014 as a way to support the development of AI technology. However, because of privacy concerns, Europeans were adamantly against this concept (22). The Royal Free NHS Foundation Trust was accused of violating the UK Data Protection Act 1998 by providing Google with 1.6 million patient records for its DeepMind research without the consent of those individuals (23). According to a UK study, consumers are less inclined to divulge their health information to private companies but more inclined to do so to the government and academic institutions (24). However, if people don't have to worry about being identified, they are more willing to offer their information (25). Because they are unaware of what is happening with their information, people feel afraid. Giving personal information to the wrong individuals can cause a lot of harm and unintended consequences. Drug and biotech companies have been known to pay individuals to provide their genetic information, and insurance companies may utilize health information to alter who pays for what (26). When compared to other businesses, hospitals have the weakest cybersecurity because they don't put much money into protecting their networks (27). Health care hacks are 67% more common in Europe (28) and 55% more common in the US (29) than they were the year before. Singapore's health care system was hacked in 2018; the prime minister's private information was also stolen (30). Hackers have also gone after networks in Malaysia and Ireland (31). More than 200,000 patients' records were stolen when ransomware attacked the UMass Memorial Health system not long ago (32). Such attacks are not only a breach of patients' privacy, but they also put patients' health at risk by harmed hospital operations. In the UK National Health System, the Wanna Cry attack has caused many patients to miss important treatments because ransomware has encrypted their medical information (33). It was stated that, surprisingly, human error is the main reason why data is lost or stolen, as shown by the event at the University Hospitals of Geneva (HUG) (34). Data privacy breaches could be lowered by teaching all healthcare users simple digital hygiene skills (35).

The rules for data governance say how to store and protect data, how long to keep it, how to make sure it stays accurate, who can access the database, and what the rules and guidelines are for keeping patients' data private(36). New rules, like the General Data Privacy Regulation (GDPR) and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), were made to control AI by making sure data is used correctly. It is well known that the quality of the images and labels is very important for building and testing AI systems(37). So, medical physicists play a big part in making sure that the right tools and protocols are used to get the right images that meet the standards needed for later AI model creation(38). Also, radiologists and doctors need to be experts in order to make a correct evaluation and label. So, a strict data governance procedure is needed to protect patients' privacy and make sure the quality of the data so that an AI system that is open, trustworthy, and fair can be built(39). Because of this, we might also need to think about how much it would cost to build and use AI, since the backend work is so hard(40). There are a lot of studies in the radiology literature that talk about how AI-powered techniques can help improve diagnostic accuracy and clinical efficiency. There is still a big difference, though, between hypotheticals and real-life events (41) A lot of AI's problems come from the way it trains data, chooses algorithms, and checks its work technically (42-44).

Aggarwal et al. sought to evaluate the effectiveness of deep learning in medical imaging pathology identification (45). This was achieved through the meta-analysis of multiple studies; specifically, 82 papers pertaining to ophthalmology, 82 studies concerning breast illness, and 115 studies concerning lung disease were considered. Study design, diagnostic accuracy, and reporting standards in the literature were the main outcome measures. In ophthalmology (0.933 to 1), respiratory imaging (0.864 to 0.937) for pulmonary nodules and lung cancer, and breast imaging (0.868 to 0.909) for cancer diagnosis using different modalities, random-effects meta-analysis demonstrated high area under the curve (AUC) in a number of domains. It is possible to exaggerate the effectiveness of deep learning algorithms in medical imaging due to the large amount of methodological variation and study heterogeneity. This study highlights the importance of creating AI-specific EQUATOR rules, particularly for STARD (Standards for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy), in order to tackle important concerns in this field. In their publication in the Japanese Journal of Radiology, Higaki et al(48) investigate how to improve the quality of CT and MR images by using deep learning techniques. Without sacrificing diagnostic accuracy, the results demonstrated that deep learning algorithms may greatly improve picture clarity and decrease noise. Reducing the need for repeat scans and boosting the reliability of radiological assessments are both greatly aided by this advancement. The study emphasizes the hope and possibility of using AI-based approaches to enhance therapeutic results by maximizing image quality. There are now available or soon-to-be-released AI approaches for improving images. These techniques allow radiologists to increase the accuracy of their interpretations by effectively enhancing the quality of medical images. Artificial intelligenceassisted picture enhancement makes it easier to discover tiny anomalies that conventional imaging approaches might have missed by reducing noise and boosting contrast. The use of artificial intelligence to diagnose diseases is making great strides. Due to their superior speed and accuracy in interpreting imaging data, they hold great promise for enhancing early identification of a variety of disorders, such as malignancies (46) and cardiovascular risks.

The development of medical artificial intelligence is associated with the development of AI programs that are designed to assist clinicians in the formulation of a diagnosis, the formulation of therapeutic decisions, and the prediction of the outcome. Fuzzy expert systems, evolutionary computation, hybrid intelligent systems, and artificial neural networks (ANN) are examples of such systems. The emergence of a new medical discipline, augmented medicine, has been facilitated by the advancement of intelligent medical technologies. Augmented medicine is also being enabled by other digital tools, including virtuality-reality continuum tools for surgery, pain management, and psychotic disorders, as well as surgical navigation systems for computer-assisted surgery. AccuVein serves as an illustration. Laser-based technology is employed by the handheld device to penetrate the epidermis and locate the veins. It is designed to facilitate the process of locating a vein for the purpose of drawing blood or inserting an IV device for physicians, nurses, or other individuals(47). The augmented reality technology comprised a headset with a display that physicians could view through to the individual. It facilitated the simultaneous visualization of images from X-rays or computed tomography (CT) imaging on the body through projection. Surgeons possess an X-ray vision, provided that the images are precisely aligned. The objective of artificial intelligence is to replicate the cognitive processes of humans. The rapid advancement of analytical techniques and the growing availability of healthcare data are driving a paradigm shift in healthcare (48). Artificial intelligence techniques encompass natural language processing for unstructured data, as well as machine learning methods for structured data, including the classical support vector machine and neural network, and modern deep learning. Cancer, neurology, medicine, and cardiology are among the most significant fields that employ AI tools (48). The discipline of medicine is primarily utilizing machine learning as a form of AI. The most intricate forms of machine learning are deep learning and neural networks, which involve numerous levels of features or variables that predict outcomes. Deep learning is frequently employed in the healthcare sector to identify potentially malignant tumors in radiography images. The utilization of deep learning in radiomics, or the identification of clinically significant patterns in imaging data that are beyond the human eye's detection capabilities, is on the rise (49). Yet another category, natural language processing (NLP), encompasses applications such as speech recognition, text analysis, translation, and other language-related objectives. It can be approached from two fundamental perspectives: statistical and semantic NLP. The creation, comprehension, and classification of clinical documentation and published research are the primary applications of NLP in the healthcare sector. Natural language processing systems are capable of analyzing unstructured clinical notes on patients, preparing reports (e.g., on radiology examinations), trancribing patient interactions, and conducting conversational AI. In the healthcare sector, robotic process automation is implemented to automate repetitive tasks, including prior authorization, invoicing, and updating patient information.

CONCLUSION

AI will continue to reshape diagnosticradiology, improving accuracy, simplification ofworkflows,and creating p athways to personalized medicine. There are challenges ahead, including data privacy, cybersecurity risks, and the need for high-quality data. Yet, AI provides unprecedented opportunities for medical imaging innovation, from early detection of disease to guidance through appropriate treatment. Ethical governance and interdisciplinary collaboration can achieve significant improvements in patient care and healthcare outcomes worldwide using AI-driven advances.

REFERENCES

1. Najjar R. Redefining Radiology: A Review of Artificial Intelligence Integration in Medical Imaging. Diagnostics (Basel). 2023 Aug 25;13(17):2760. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics13172760. PMID: 37685300; PMCID: PMC10487271.

- 2. Brady A.P., Bello J.A., Derchi L.E., Fuchsjäger M., Goergen S., Krestin G.P., Lee E.J.Y., Levin D.C., Pressacco J., Rao V.M., et al. Radiology in the era of value-based healthcare: A multi-society expert statement from the ACR, CAR, ESR, IS3R, RANZCR, and RSNA. Insights Imaging. 2020;11:136. doi: 10.1186/s13244-020-00941-z.
- 3. Giardino A., Gupta S., Olson E., Sepulveda K., Lenchik L., Ivanidze J., Rakow-Penner R., Patel M.J., Subramaniam R.M., Ganeshan D. Role of Imaging in the Era of Precision Medicine. Acad. Radiol. 2017;24:639–649. doi: 10.1016/j.acra.2016.11.021
- 4. .Jameson J.L., Longo D.L. Precision medicine–personalized, problematic, and promising. N. Engl. J. Med. 2015;372:2229–2234. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsb1503104.
- 5. .Hosny A., Parmar C., Quackenbush J., Schwartz L.H., Aerts H.J. Artificial intelligence in radiology. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2018;18:500–510. doi: 10.1038/s41568-018-0016-5.
- 6. European Society of Radiology (ESR) European Federation of Radiographer Societies (EFRS) Patient Safety in Medical Imaging: A joint paper of the European Society of Radiology (ESR) and the European Federation of Radiographer Societies (EFRS) Insights Imaging. 2019;10:45. doi: 10.1186/s13244-019- 0721-y.
- 7. .Dreyer K.J., Geis J.R. When Machines Think: Radiology's Next Frontier. Radiology. 2017;285:713–718. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2017171183Huang H. PACS and Imaging Informatics: Basic Principles and Applications. John Wiley & Sons; Hoboken, NJ, USA: 2011. [\[Google Scholar\]](https://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=PACS%20and%20Imaging%20Informatics:%20Basic%20Principles%20and%20Applications&author=H.%20Huang&publication_year=2011&)
- 8. .Cherry S.R., Jones T., Karp J.S., Qi J., Moses W.W., Badawi R.D. Total-body PET: Maximizing sensitivity to create new opportunities for clinical research and patient care. J. Nucl. Med. 2018;59:3–12. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.116.184028.
- 9. .Hutton B., Buvat I., Beekman F. Review and current status of SPECT scatter correction. Phys. Med. Biol. 2011;56:R85–R112. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/56/14/R01.
- 10. Vannan M., Pedrizzetti G., Li P., Gurudevan S., Houle H., Main J., Jackson J., Nanda N. Effect of cardiac resynchronization therapy on longitudinal and circumferential left ventricular mechanics by velocity vector imaging: Description and initial clinical application of a novel method using high-frame rate B-mode echocardiographic images. Echocardiography. 2005;22:826–830. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-8175.2005.00172.x.
- 11. .Delbeke D., Coleman R., Guiberteau M., Brown M., Royal H., Siegel B., Townsend D., Berland L., Parker J., Zubal G., et al. Procedure Guideline for SPECT/CT Imaging 1.0. J. Nucl. Med. 2006;47:1227– 1234.
- 12. 17.Lorenz J. Management of Malignant Biliary Obstruction. Semin. Interv. Radiol. 2016;33:259–267. doi: 10.1055/s-0036-1592330
- 13. Litjens G., Kooi T., Bejnordi B.E., Setio A.A.A., Ciompi F., Ghafoorian M., van der Laak J.A.W.M., van Ginneken B., Sánchez C.I. A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. Med. Image Anal. 2017;42:60–88. doi: 10.1016/j.media.2017.07.005
- 14. Lambin, P.; Leijenaar, R.T.H.; Deist, T.M.; Peerlings, J.; de Jong, E.E.C.; van Timmeren, J.; Sanduleanu, S.; Larue, R.T.H.M.; Even, A.J.G.; Jochems, A.; et al. Radiomics: The bridge between medical imaging and personalized medicine. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 2017, 14, 749–762.
- 15. Gillies, R.J.; Kinahan, P.E.; Hricak, H. Radiomics: Images are more than pictures, they are data. Radiology 2016, 278, 563–577.
- 16. Aerts, H.J.; Velazquez, E.R.; Leijenaar, R.T.; Parmar, C.; Grossmann, P.; Carvalho, S.; Bussink, J.; Monshouwer, R.; Haibe-Kains, B.; Rietveld, D.; et al. Decoding tumour phenotype by noninvasive imaging using a quantitative radiomics approach. Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 4006.
- 17. Kumar, V.; Gu, Y.; Basu, S.; Berglund, A.; Eschrich, S.A.; Schabath, M.B.; Forster, K.; Aerts, H.J.; Dekker, A.; Fenstermacher, D.; et al. Radiomics: The process and the challenges. Magn. Reson. Imaging 2012, 30, 1234–1248.
- 18. Litjens, G.; Kooi, T.; Bejnordi, B.E.; Setio, A.A.A.; Ciompi, F.; Ghafoorian, M.; Van Der Laak, J.A.; Van Ginneken, B.; Sánchez, C.I. A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. Med. Image Anal. 2017, 42, 60–88.
- 19. Shen, D.; Wu, G.; Suk, H.I. Deep learning in medical image analysis. Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 2017, 19, 221–248.
- 20. Choy, G.; Khalilzadeh, O.; Michalski, M.; Do, S.; Samir, A.E.; Pianykh, O.S.; Geis, J.R.; Pandharipande, P.V.; Brink, J.A.; Dreyer, K.J. Current applications and future impact of machine learning in radiology. Radiology 2018, 288, 318–328.
- 21. Liu, X.; Faes, L.; Kale, A.U.; Wagner, S.K.; Fu, D.J.; Bruynseels, A.; Mahendiran, T.; Moraes, G.; Shamdas, M.; Kern, C.; et al. A comparison of deep learning performance against health-care professionals in detecting diseases from medical imaging: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Digit. Health 2019, 1, e271–e297.
- 22. Parekh, V.; Jacobs, M.A. Radiomics: A new application from established techniques. Expert Rev. Precis.

Med. Drug Dev. 2016, 1, 207–226

- 23. Kickingereder, P.; Bickelhaupt, S.; Laun, F.B.; Stieltjes, B.; Shiee, N. Radiomic profiling of glioblastoma: Identifying an imaging predictor of patient survival with improved performance over established clinical and radiologic risk models. Radiology 2016, 280, 880–889.
- 24. .Coroller TP et al. CT-based radiomic signature predicts distant metastasis in lung adenocarcinoma. Radiother. Oncol 114, 345–350 (2015). 18.Wu W et al. Exploratory study to identify radiomics classifiers for lung cancer histology. Front. Oncol 6, 71 (2016).
- 25. .Huynh E et al. Associations of radiomic data extracted from static and respiratory-gated CT scans with disease recurrence in lung cancer patients treated with SBRT. PLoS ONE 12, e0169172 (2017).
- 26. .Rios Velazquez E et al. Somatic mutations drive distinct imaging phenotypes in lung cancer. Cancer Res. 77, 3922–3930 (2017). [\[DOI\]](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0122) [\[PMC free article\]](https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5528160/) [\[PubMed\]](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28566328/) [\[Google Scholar\]](https://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?journal=Cancer%20Res&title=Somatic%20mutations%20drive%20distinct%20imaging%20phenotypes%20in%20lung%20cancer&author=E%20Rios%20Velazquez&volume=77&publication_year=2017&pages=3922-3930&pmid=28566328&doi=10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0122&)
- 27. Grossmann P et al. Defining the biological basis of radiomic phenotypes in lung cancer. eLife 6, e23421 (2017)
- 28. Parmar C, Grossmann P, Bussink J, Lambin P & Aerts HJWL Machine learning methods for quantitative radiomic biomarkers. Sci. Rep 5, 13087 (2015). [
- 29. O'Connor JPB et al. Imaging biomarker roadmap for cancer studies. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol 14, 169–186 (2017).DOI
- 30. Royal Free Google DeepMind trial failed to comply with data protection law.
- 31. Aggarwal, R. ∙ Farag, S. ∙ Martin, G. ...Patient Perceptions on Data Sharing and Applying Artificial Intelligence to Health Care Data: Cross-sectional Survey Journal of medical Internet research. 2021; 23:e26162
- 32. Jutzi, T.B. ∙ Krieghoff-Henning, E.I. ∙ Holland-Letz, T. ...Artificial Intelligence in Skin Cancer Diagnostics: The Patients' Perspective Front Med (Lausanne). 2020; 7:233
- 33. Véliz C. Wellcome Trust–Funded Monographs and Book Chapters. Medical privacy and big data: A further reason in favour of public universal healthcare coverage. In: de Campos TC, Herring J, Phillips AM, editors. Philosophical Foundations of Medical Law. Oxford (UK): Oxford University Press © Carissa Véliz 2019.; 2019.
- 34. Kruse, C.S. ∙ Frederick, B. ∙ Jacobson, T. ...Cybersecurity in healthcare: A systematic review of modern threats and trends Technol Health Care. 2017; 25:1-10
- 35. Holloway S. Irish cyberattack provides wake-up call for European imaging IT. Available at: https://www.auntminnieeurope.com/index.aspx?sec=sup&sub=pac&pag=dis&ItemID=620205.
- 36. Vaidya A. Report: Healthcare data breaches spiked 55% in 2020.
- 37. BBCNews. Singapore personal data hack hits 1.5m, health authority says.
- 38. McMillan MEaR. Cyberattacks Cost Hospitals Millions During Covid-19.
- 39. Massachusetts Health Network Hacked; Patient Info Exposed.SECURITYWEEK; 2021.Millard, W.B.
- 40. Where bits and bytes meet flesh and blood: Hospital responses to malware attacks Ann Emerg Med. 2017; 70:A17-A21
- 41. Wagner S. The medical data of hundreds of HUG patients accessible on the internet
- 42. Argaw, S.T. ∙ Troncoso-Pastoriza, J.R. ∙ Lacey, D. ...Cybersecurity of Hospitals: discussing the challenges and working towards mitigating the risksBMC Med Inf Decis Making. 2020; 20:146
- 43. Aggarwal R, Sounderajah V, Martin G, et al.: [Diagnostic accuracy of deep learning in medical imaging: a](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41746-021-00438-z?utm_medium=email&utm_source=transaction) [systematic review and meta-analysis.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41746-021-00438-z?utm_medium=email&utm_source=transaction) NPJ Digit Med. 2021, 4:65. [10.1038/s41746-021-00438-z](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41746-021-00438-z?utm_medium=email&utm_source=transaction)
- 44. Bi WL, Hosny A, Schabath MB, et al.: [Artificial intelligence in cancer imaging: clinical challenges and](https://dx.doi.org/10.3322/caac.21552?utm_medium=email&utm_source=transaction) [applications.](https://dx.doi.org/10.3322/caac.21552?utm_medium=email&utm_source=transaction) CA Cancer J Clin. 2019, 69:127-57. [10.3322/caac.21552](https://dx.doi.org/10.3322/caac.21552?utm_medium=email&utm_source=transaction)
- 45. Coppola F, Faggioni L, Regge D, et al.: [Artificial intelligence: radiologists' expectations and opinions](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11547-020-01205-y?utm_medium=email&utm_source=transaction) [gleaned from a nationwide online survey.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11547-020-01205-y?utm_medium=email&utm_source=transaction) Radiol Med. 2021, 126:63-71. [10.1007/s11547-020-01205-y](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11547-020-01205-y?utm_medium=email&utm_source=transaction)
- 46. Higaki T, Nakamura Y, Tatsugami F, Nakaura T, Awai K: [Improvement of image quality at CT and MRI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11604-018-0796-2?utm_medium=email&utm_source=transaction) [using deep learning.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11604-018-0796-2?utm_medium=email&utm_source=transaction) Jpn J Radiol. 2019, 37:73-80. [10.1007/s11604-018-0796-2](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11604-018-0796-2?utm_medium=email&utm_source=transaction)
- 47. Undru TR, Uday U, Lakshmi JT, Kaliappan A, Mallamgunta S, Nikhat SS, Sakthivadivel V, Gaur A. Integrating Artificial Intelligence for Clinical and Laboratory Diagnosis - a Review. Maedica (Bucur). 2022 Jun;17(2):420-426. doi: 10.26574/maedica.2022.17.2.420. PMID: 36032592; PMCID: PMC9375890.
- 48. Smith KP, Kirby JE. Image analysis and artificial intelligence in infectious disease diagnostics. Clin Microbiol Infect Off Publ Eur Soc Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2020.
- 49. Jiang F, Jiang Y, Zhi H, et al. Artificial intelligence in healthcare: past, present and future. Stroke Vasc Neurol. 2017;2:230–243. doi: 10.1136/svn-2017-000101.