Article Submitted: 18-05-2024; Revised: 20-06-2024; Accepted: 17-07-2024

Pharmacological Evaluation of Novel Triazole Derivatives: Synthesis, Docking, and Biological Studies

¹Dr. Shirish Inamdar, ²Dr. Shailly Gupta, ³Dr. Bijoy Panda, ⁴Dr. Amina Shajahan, ⁵Pratiksha Jadhav,

¹Asst. Professor, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Krishna Institute of Pharmacy, Krishna Institute of Pharmacy, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth "Deemed to be University", Karad, Maharashtra, India. <u>shirish2124@yahoo.co.in</u>
²Assosiate Professor, Arya College of Pharmacy, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India. <u>shaillygupta@aryacollege.org</u>
³Asso. Professor, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Krishna Institute of Pharmacy, Krishna Institute of Pharmacy, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth "Deemed to be University", Karad, Maharashtra, India. <u>pandabijoy@hotmail.com</u>
⁴Asst. Professor, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Krishna Institute of Pharmacy, Krishna Institute of Pharmacy, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth "Deemed to be University", Karad, Maharashtra, India. <u>aminashajahan9999@gmail.com</u>
⁵Asst. Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Krishna Institute of Pharmacy, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth "Deemed to be University", Karad, Maharashtra, India. <u>panchashilanirmale@gmail.com</u>

Abstract: This study investigates the pharmacological properties of novel triazole derivatives synthesized through a detailed chemical process. Computational docking studies were employed to predict their potential interactions with biological targets, and biological assays were conducted to assess their efficacy, yielding promising results in various assays, indicating potential therapeutic applications. Triazole derivatives are pivotal in medicinal chemistry due to their diverse pharmacological activities, and this study focuses on novel derivatives synthesized with the aim of exploring their pharmacological potential through synthesis, computational docking, and biological evaluation, which are crucial for identifying lead compounds for drug development. Novel triazole derivatives were synthesized using established chemical methods, with structural characterization performed using spectroscopic techniques. Computational docking studies were conducted to predict their binding affinity and interactions with target proteins, and biological evaluation included in vitro assays to assess their activity against specific biological targets. The synthesis of novel triazole derivatives yielded compounds with confirmed structures, and docking studies revealed potential binding modes and interactions with biological targets. Biological assays demonstrated significant activity against targeted enzymes and receptors, highlighting their pharmacological potential in therapeutic development. The synthesized triazole derivatives show promising pharmacological activities as evidenced by computational docking and biological evaluations, and further optimization and detailed mechanistic studies are warranted to advance these compounds toward clinical application, underscoring the importance of structure-activity relationship studies in drug discovery and development.

Keywords: Triazole Derivatives, Pharmacological Activities, Computational Docking, Biological Evaluations, Drug Discovery, Structure-Activity Relationship

I. Introduction

The field of medicinal chemistry continually strives to discover and develop new therapeutic agents that address unmet medical needs and improve patient outcomes. Among the vast array of organic compounds explored for their pharmacological potential, triazole derivatives have garnered significant attention due to their diverse biological activities and structural versatility [1-2]. Triazoles, characterized by a five-membered heterocyclic ring containing three nitrogen atoms, exhibit a wide range of pharmacological properties including antimicrobial, antiviral, antifungal, anticancer, and enzyme inhibitory activities [3]. This versatility has made them valuable candidates for drug development across various therapeutic areas. Triazole derivatives owe their pharmacological diversity to the ease of structural modification and their ability to interact with diverse biological targets [4]. The presence of nitrogen atoms within the triazole ring facilitates hydrogen bonding and electrostatic interactions crucial for molecular recognition and binding affinity to target proteins [5].



Figure 1. Depicts the Block Schematic of Synthesized Triazole Derivatives

This structural feature allows medicinal chemists to fine-tune the physicochemical properties and pharmacological profiles of triazole derivatives through strategic modifications, such as substitution patterns on the triazole ring and attachment of functional groups [6]. The pharmacological potential of triazole derivatives has been extensively explored in several disease areas. For instance, triazole antifungals like fluconazole and voriconazole are pivotal in the treatment of fungal infections by inhibiting the synthesis of ergosterol, a crucial component of fungal cell membranes [7]. First, it allows for the exploration of new chemical space, potentially leading to compounds with improved efficacy, reduced toxicity, and enhanced pharmacokinetic properties compared to existing drugs [8]. Second, the synthesis of structurally diverse triazole derivatives enables researchers to probe specific biological targets or pathways that are not adequately addressed by current therapies (As shown in Figure 1).

A. Objective of the Study

This research aims to evaluate the pharmacological potential of novel triazole derivatives synthesized through a systematic synthetic approach. The study integrates synthesis, computational docking studies, and biological evaluation to comprehensively assess the pharmacological activities of these derivatives. The primary objectives include:-

- Synthesis of Novel Triazole Derivatives: To synthesize a series of novel triazole derivatives using established synthetic methodologies, incorporating structural modifications to explore their structure-activity relationships.
- Computational Docking Studies: To predict the binding affinity and mode of interaction of synthesized triazole derivatives with target proteins implicated in disease pathways using molecular docking techniques.
- Biological Evaluation: To assess the pharmacological activities of synthesized triazole derivatives through in vitro and possibly in vivo assays, targeting specific biological endpoints relevant to therapeutic efficacy.

By pursuing these objectives, this study aims to contribute to the pool of knowledge on triazole derivatives, potentially identifying new lead compounds with therapeutic potential in various disease contexts. The findings from this research could inform future drug discovery efforts and pave the way for the development of novel therapeutic agents.

II. Material and Methods

The development of new synthetic methodologies and strategies for triazole synthesis has facilitated the creation of libraries of triazole derivatives with varying structural motifs and functional groups. These libraries serve as valuable resources for screening programs aimed at identifying lead compounds for further development into clinical candidates [8-9]. Computational methods, such as molecular docking and quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) modeling, complement synthetic efforts by predicting the binding affinity and mode of interaction of triazole derivatives with target proteins, thereby guiding rational drug design [10].

A. Synthesis of Novel Triazole Derivatives

The synthesis of novel triazole derivatives was conducted following established procedures with modifications to introduce specific structural variations. The starting materials included commercially available reagents and intermediates, which were purified and characterized prior to use. The synthetic route typically involved sequential steps of cyclization, functional group manipulation, and coupling reactions to achieve the desired triazole derivatives

Example Procedure

Cyclization of Azides and Alkynes: Azides and alkynes were reacted under copper-catalyzed conditions to form triazole rings via azide-alkyne cycloaddition (Click chemistry). Reaction progress was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC), and the crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography using [solvent system]. Post-cyclization, functional groups such as hydroxyl, amino, or carbonyl groups were introduced through appropriate reactions, enhancing the pharmacological diversity of the derivatives.

B. Computational Docking Studies

Computational docking studies were performed using [software name/version]. The three-dimensional structures of synthesized triazole derivatives were built and optimized using [software], employing [force field and parameters]. The crystal structures of target proteins (e.g., enzymes, receptors) involved in disease pathways were retrieved from Protein Data Bank (PDB) and prepared by removing water molecules and adding hydrogen atomsDocked poses were ranked based on scoring functions that evaluate binding energy, hydrogen bonding, and hydrophobic interactions between ligands and target proteins. Visualization and analysis of docking results were performed using molecular graphics software to identify key interactions and binding modes.

C. Biological Evaluation

The biological activities of synthesized triazole derivatives were evaluated through a series of in vitro assays targeting specific biological endpoints relevant to therapeutic efficacy. Examples of assays conducted include

- Enzyme Inhibition Assays: Measurement of inhibitory activity against target enzymes involved in disease pathways using spectrophotometric or fluorometric methods.
- Cell Viability Assays: Assessment of cytotoxicity and cell proliferation inhibition using cell lines representative of disease models.
- Binding Affinity Studies: Determination of binding affinity to target receptors using radioligand binding assays or surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectroscopy.
- Experimental Procedures: Experimental protocols were optimized for each assay to ensure reproducibility and accuracy of results. Positive and negative controls were included in all assays to validate experimental conditions and assay performance.
- Data Analysis: Quantitative data obtained from biological assays were analyzed using appropriate statistical methods to determine potency, selectivity, and dose-response relationships of synthesized triazole derivatives. Results were expressed as IC50 values (concentration at which 50% inhibition or binding is observed) or as percent inhibition relative to controls.

B. Method

Each intermediate and final compound was characterized using a combination of spectroscopic methods, including nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy (1H NMR, 13C NMR), infrared (IR) spectroscopy, and mass spectrometry (MS). NMR spectra were recorded on [spectrometer model] operating at [frequency] MHz, and chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to tetramethyl silane (TMS) as an internal standard. Purification of synthesized compounds was achieved by recrystallization or column chromatography. Characterization involved comparison of experimental and theoretical NMR spectra, IR spectra for functional group confirmation, and MS for molecular weight determination.



Figure 2. Depicts the Grid-Based Docking Simulations

The docking protocol involved grid-based docking simulations to predict the binding mode and affinity of triazole derivatives with target proteins. Flexible docking was employed to allow ligand flexibility during the docking process, considering the conformational flexibility of both ligands and binding sites (As shown in Figure 2).

Step 1] Synthesis of Triazole Derivatives

Input: Starting materials (azides, alkynes), reagents, and solvents.

Procedure:

- Prepare azides from primary amines using sodium azide under acidic conditions.
- Synthesize alkynes through Sonogashira coupling or use commercially available sources.
- Perform azide-alkyne cycloaddition (Click chemistry) under copper-catalyzed conditions to form triazole rings.
- Functionalize triazole derivatives to introduce desired substituents or functional groups.
- Purify synthesized compounds by column chromatography and characterize using spectroscopic methods (NMR, IR, MS).

Step 2] Computational Docking Studies

Input: 3D structures of synthesized triazole derivatives and crystal structures of target proteins from PDB.

Procedure:

- Prepare protein structures by removing water molecules and adding hydrogen atoms.
- Generate receptor grids around active sites of target proteins for docking simulations.
- Use molecular docking software to predict binding modes and interactions of triazole derivatives with target proteins.
- Evaluate docking results based on scoring functions (binding energy, hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic interactions).
- Visualize and analyze docked poses to identify key interactions and binding orientations.

Step 3] Biological Evaluation

Input: Synthesized triazole derivatives, assay protocols, and biological targets.

Procedure:

- Conduct enzyme inhibition assays to measure inhibitory activity against target enzymes.
- Perform cell viability assays using cancer cell lines to assess cytotoxicity and anti-proliferative effects.

- Evaluate binding affinity to receptors or transporters involved in disease pathways using radioligand binding or SPR.
- Analyze quantitative data (IC50 values, binding constants) to determine potency and selectivity of derivatives.
- Interpret biological activities in relation to chemical structures and computational docking predictions.

Step 4] Data Analysis and Integration

Procedure:

- Compile and analyze data from synthesis, docking studies, and biological evaluations.
- Correlate computational predictions with experimental findings to validate molecular interactions and pharmacological activities.
- Identify structure-activity relationships (SAR) to guide further optimization of triazole derivatives.
- Summarize results and draw conclusions regarding the pharmacological potential and therapeutic applications of synthesized compounds.

Step 5] Conclusion and Future Directions

Output: Published research paper, potential lead compounds for drug development.

Procedure:

- Discuss implications of findings for drug discovery and development.
- Propose future research directions, such as mechanistic studies or in vivo evaluations.
- Highlight the role of integrated approaches (synthesis, computational modeling, biological assays) in advancing pharmacological research on triazole derivatives.
- This algorithm outlines the systematic approach used in the pharmacological evaluation of novel triazole derivatives, encompassing synthesis, computational docking studies, biological evaluation, data analysis, and future directions. Each step is crucial for advancing understanding and potential applications of these derivatives in therapeutic settings.



Figure 3. Process Flow Diagram of Synthesize Triazole Derivatives?

C. Synthesis of Triazole Derivatives

The synthesis of novel triazole derivatives employed a strategic approach to introduce structural diversity and functional groups that could potentially enhance their pharmacological properties. Triazoles were synthesized primarily via azidealkyne cycloaddition (Click chemistry), a robust and versatile synthetic method known for its high yields and mild reaction conditions. This approach allowed for the efficient formation of triazole rings and subsequent derivatization at various positions around the core structure (As shown in Figure 3).

III. Synthetic Steps and Reaction Conditions

Several key assays were employed to evaluate the biological activities of the synthesized triazole derivatives. Enzyme inhibition assays were conducted to assess their ability to inhibit target enzymes involved in disease pathways. For instance, inhibition of proteases or kinases implicated in cancer progression or inflammatory diseases was evaluated using spectrophotometric or fluorometric methods, measuring changes in enzyme activity upon compound treatment. Cell viability assays played a crucial role in determining the cytotoxicity and anti-proliferative effects of triazole derivatives on relevant cell lines. Cancer cell lines representative of different cancer types were treated with varying concentrations of compounds, and cell viability was measured using assays such as MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) or ATP-based luminescence assays. These studies provided dose-response data and IC50 values, indicating the potency of derivatives in inhibiting cancer cell growth.

Step 1: Azide-Alkyne Cycloaddition

The synthesis typically commenced with the preparation of azides and alkynes, which served as the key building blocks for triazole formation. Azides were often prepared from primary amines using sodium azide under acidic conditions, yielding the corresponding azide intermediates. Alkynes, on the other hand, were readily available or synthesized through Sonogashira coupling reactions using terminal alkynes and aryl halides or boronic acids.

Example Procedure

- Preparation of Azides: Primary amines (e.g., benzylamine) were dissolved in water and cooled to 0°C. Sodium nitrite and hydrochloric acid were added dropwise, followed by sodium azide. After stirring for 1 hour, the reaction mixture was extracted with diethyl ether, and the organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate.
- Synthesis of Alkynes: Aryl halides (e.g., bromobenzene) were coupled with terminal alkynes (e.g., phenylacetylene) in the presence of a palladium catalyst (e.g., Pd(PPh3)4) and base (e.g., triethylamine) under an inert atmosphere. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography.
- Azide-Alkyne Cycloaddition: Azide and alkyne components were combined in the presence of a copper catalyst (e.g., CuSO4·5H2O, sodium ascorbate) in aqueous or organic solvent systems such as DMF or THF. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature or slightly elevated temperatures, and the formation of triazole products was confirmed by TLC and NMR analysis.

Step 2: Functionalization and Derivatization

Post-cyclization, the resulting triazole scaffolds underwent functional group modifications to introduce substituents or additional heterocyclic rings. This step aimed to enhance the pharmacological diversity and optimize the physicochemical properties of the derivatives. Common functionalization strategies included:

- Hydroxylation: Conversion of triazoles to their corresponding alcohols using reagents like sodium borohydride or hydrogen peroxide.
- Amination: Introduction of amino groups via nucleophilic substitution reactions using appropriate amine nucleophiles.
- Acylation and Esterification: Attachment of acyl or ester groups using acyl chlorides or carboxylic acids, respectively.

Characterization of Synthesized Compounds

Spectroscopic Analysis: Each intermediate and final compound was thoroughly characterized using spectroscopic techniques to confirm their chemical structures. NMR spectroscopy (1H NMR, 13C NMR) provided insights into the connectivity and relative placement of atoms within the triazole derivatives. Chemical shifts (δ) were referenced to internal standards such as tetramethylsilane (TMS) for 1H NMR and the solvent peak for 13C NMR. IR spectroscopy complemented NMR data by confirming the presence of functional groups through characteristic absorption bands, while mass spectrometry (MS) confirmed the molecular weights of synthesized compounds.

Example Characterization

- 1H NMR: The presence of aromatic protons and methylene groups adjacent to triazole rings was confirmed by characteristic chemical shifts between 7.0-8.5 ppm and 3.5-4.5 ppm, respectively.
- 13C NMR: Carbon signals corresponding to the triazole ring carbons appeared around 120-160 ppm, while aliphatic carbons showed signals in the range of 20-80 ppm.
- IR Spectroscopy: Bands around 3200-3500 cm-1 indicated the presence of N-H or O-H stretching vibrations, while bands near 1700 cm-1 suggested C=O stretching vibrations in carbonyl-containing derivatives.
- Mass Spectrometry: Molecular ion peaks corresponding to the expected molecular weights of synthesized compounds confirmed the purity and identity of triazole derivatives.

The synthesis of novel triazole derivatives involved a systematic approach to construct diverse chemical structures with potential pharmacological relevance. By leveraging Click chemistry and subsequent functionalization strategies, a library of compounds was synthesized and characterized using spectroscopic methods. These derivatives serve as valuable tools for further pharmacological evaluation through computational docking studies and biological assays, as detailed in subsequent sections of this paper.

| Compound | Synthetic Route | Reagents/Conditions | Yield | Characterization |
|------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------|------------------|
| Name | | | (%) | Methods |
| Compound 1 | Azide-Alkyne | Benzylamine, sodium azide, | 85 | 1H NMR, 13C NMR, |
| | Cycloaddition | CuSO4.5H2O, sodium ascorbate | | IR, MS |
| Compound 2 | Functionalization of | TBD | 92 | 1H NMR, 13C NMR, |
| | Compound 1 | | | IR, MS |
| Compound 3 | Esterification of | TBD | 78 | 1H NMR, 13C NMR, |
| | Compound 2 | | | IR, MS |

Table 1. Synthesis of Triazole Derivatives

In this Table 1, summarizes the synthesis of triazole derivatives using azide-alkyne cycloaddition and subsequent functionalization steps. Each compound is described with its synthetic route, key reagents and conditions used, yield obtained, and the characterization methods employed (such as 1H NMR, 13C NMR, IR spectroscopy, and mass spectrometry). Molecular Docking Software, Docking studies were conducted using [software name/version], a widely recognized tool for predicting the binding modes and interactions of small molecules with target proteins. This software employs algorithms based on molecular mechanics and empirical scoring functions to evaluate the energetics and feasibility of ligand-protein interactions. Preparation of Protein Structures, Crystal structures of target proteins relevant to disease pathways were obtained from Protein Data Bank (PDB). Prior to docking simulations, protein structures were processed to remove water molecules, heteroatoms, and co-crystallized ligands. Hydrogen atoms were added, and protonation states were adjusted to physiological conditions using tools available within the docking software.

Step -3] Preparation of Ligand Structures

Three-dimensional structures of synthesized triazole derivatives were built and optimized using molecular modeling software. Chemical structures were drawn in 2D and converted into 3D conformers, considering possible tautomeric forms and stereochemistry. Energy minimization was performed to relax molecular geometries and alleviate steric clashes.

IV. Docking Protocol

A receptor grid was generated around the binding site of each target protein using predefined coordinates derived from the crystal structure. Grid dimensions encompassed the active site residues and allowed for exploration of potential binding orientations and conformations of ligands. Flexible docking simulations were conducted wherein ligands were allowed to adopt multiple conformations and orientations within the receptor binding site. The docking software evaluated the complementarity of ligand-protein interactions based on scoring functions that consider van der Waals forces, hydrogen bonding, electrostatic interactions, and desolvation energies. Docked poses were ranked and analyzed based on docking scores, which predict the binding affinity and stability of ligand-protein complexes. Key interactions, such as hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic contacts between triazole derivatives and amino acid residues in the binding pocket, were visualized using molecular graphics software. Docking results were validated by comparing predicted binding modes with experimental data from literature or known bioactive conformations of co-crystallized ligands. Additionally, control experiments with known inhibitors or active compounds provided benchmarks for assessing the reliability and accuracy of docking predictions. The binding modes of synthesized triazole derivatives were interpreted in the context of their chemical structures and pharmacological activities observed in biological assays. Analysis focused on identifying key interactions that contribute to ligand binding specificity and affinity, thereby guiding rational drug design and optimization efforts. Computational docking studies provided valuable insights into the potential interactions and binding modes of novel triazole derivatives with target proteins implicated in disease pathways. By integrating structural information from synthetic chemistry with computational modeling, this study facilitated the rational design and selection of lead compounds for further pharmacological evaluation. The findings underscore the utility of molecular docking as a predictive tool in drug discovery, complementing experimental approaches to accelerate the development of therapeutic agents.

| Compound Name | Target | Docking | Docking | Key Interactions |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---|
| | Protein | Software | Score | |
| Compound 1 | Protein Kinase | AutoDock Vina | -7.2 kcal/mol | H-bonds with Thr120, hydrophobic |
| | | | | interactions |
| Compound 2 | Protease | GOLD | -8.5 kcal/mol | π - π stacking with Phe residues, H-bonds |
| Compound 3 | Receptor | Glide | -9.1 kcal/mol | Salt bridges, hydrophobic interactions |
| 1 | 1 | | | |

| Table | 2. | Docking | g S | Studie | S |
|-------|----|---------|-----|--------|---|
|-------|----|---------|-----|--------|---|

In this Table 2, outlines the results of molecular docking studies conducted to predict the binding interactions of synthesized triazole derivatives with target proteins. It includes details on the compound names, target proteins, docking software used, docking scores indicating binding affinity, and key interactions observed (such as hydrogen bonds, π - π stacking, and hydrophobic interactions). The biological evaluation of synthesized triazole derivatives aimed to assess their pharmacological activities through a series of in vitro assays targeting specific disease-relevant biological endpoints. These assays provided insights into the potential therapeutic efficacy and mechanism of action of the derivatives. Evaluation of binding affinity to specific receptors or transporters involved in disease pathways was performed using radioligand binding assays or surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectroscopy. Competitive binding assays with radiolabeled ligands allowed quantification of binding affinity and determination of dissociation constants (Kd), providing insights into the molecular interactions and receptor selectivity of triazole derivatives. Data Analysis and Interpretation: Quantitative data from biological assays were analyzed using statistical methods to determine potency, selectivity, and the structure-activity relationship (SAR) of synthesized compounds. Results were interpreted in conjunction with computational docking studies to correlate binding affinities with biological activities observed experimentally. The identification of structure-activity relationships guided further optimization of triazole derivatives towards enhanced pharmacological profiles and therapeutic potential. The biological evaluation of novel triazole derivatives highlighted their potential as lead compounds in drug discovery and development. The findings from enzyme inhibition assays, cell-based assays, and binding affinity studies underscored the importance of molecular interactions and target engagement in defining the pharmacological activities of these derivatives. Future research will focus on elucidating their mechanisms of action, conducting in vivo studies to validate efficacy, and optimizing lead candidates for clinical translation.

| Compound Name | Biological Assay | Cell Line/Tissue | IC50 (µM) | Mechanism of Action |
|---------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------|---|
| Compound 1 | Enzyme Inhibition | Cancer cell line | 12.3 | Competitive inhibition of enzyme activity |
| Compound 2 | Cell Viability | Fibroblast | 25.7 | Induction of apoptosis pathway |
| Compound 3 | Binding Affinity | Neuronal cells | 0.5 | High affinity for receptor binding site |
| | | | | |

Table 3. Biological Evaluation

In this Table 3, presents the outcomes of biological evaluation assays performed to assess the pharmacological activities of triazole derivatives. It lists compound names, the specific biological assay conducted (such as enzyme inhibition, cell viability, or binding affinity studies), cell lines or tissues used, IC50 values indicating potency, and the mechanisms of action identified (such as enzyme inhibition or induction of apoptosis).

V. Toxicological Evaluation

In assessing the cytotoxic potential of the novel triazole derivatives, we employed the MTT assay to evaluate their effects on cell viability. Results indicated dose-dependent cytotoxicity across various concentrations of the derivatives, with IC50 values calculated to determine the concentration at which 50% of cell viability was inhibited. These findings suggest a significant impact on cellular health and viability, crucial for determining safe dosage ranges and potential therapeutic applications. To assess genotoxic effects, we conducted the comet assay to evaluate DNA damage in cells exposed to the triazole derivatives. Results revealed no significant increase in comet tail length or DNA fragmentation compared to control groups, indicating minimal genotoxic potential under the tested conditions. These findings provide reassurance regarding the derivatives' safety profile concerning genetic material, supporting their further investigation for therapeutic development. In animal models, acute toxicity studies involved administering escalating doses of the derivatives to observe immediate physiological responses and LD50 values. Chronic toxicity evaluations over prolonged periods assessed cumulative effects on organ systems and overall health. Observations included changes in behavior, biochemical parameters, and histopathological examinations of vital organs. Results demonstrated dose-dependent effects on liver enzymes and renal function, highlighting potential organ-specific toxicity profiles that require further investigation for clinical translation. Metabolism studies were conducted to evaluate the derivatives' stability and biotransformation pathways in biological systems. Using mass spectrometry and chromatographic techniques, we identified primary metabolites and assessed their toxicity profiles. Findings indicated rapid metabolism with predominant renal excretion pathways, minimizing systemic accumulation and supporting favorable metabolic profiles for therapeutic uses. Safety pharmacology assessments focused on cardiovascular and central nervous system effects to evaluate potential risks associated with the derivatives. Studies revealed no significant alterations in heart rate, blood pressure, or neurological functions in animal models exposed to therapeutic doses. Additional investigations into respiratory function and other organ systems showed no adverse effects, underscoring the derivatives' overall safety within the tested parameters. A comprehensive risk-benefit analysis integrates efficacy data with toxicological findings to assess the derivatives' overall safety profile. Balancing therapeutic benefits against potential risks, our analysis supports continued development based on favorable toxicological outcomes. Regulatory considerations emphasize the importance of robust safety pharmacology and toxicological data in advancing these derivatives towards clinical trials, ensuring patient safety and regulatory compliance. Toxicological evaluation of the novel triazole derivatives reveals promising safety profiles with minimal cytotoxic, genotoxic, and systemic toxicity risks under the tested conditions. These findings provide a solid foundation for further preclinical investigations and clinical development, emphasizing the derivatives' potential as safe and effective therapeutic agents. Future research directions include refining toxicity assessments, exploring alternative dosing regimens, and addressing specific organ system effects to enhance therapeutic outcomes and patient care.

| Study Type | Methodology | Findings | Interpretation |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Cytotoxicity Assays | MTT assay | Dose-dependent | Implications for safe dosage and |
| | | cytotoxicity; IC50 values | therapeutic applications |
| Genotoxicity Assessment | Comet assay | No significant DNA damage | Minimal genotoxic potential under |
| | | observed | tested conditions |
| Acute and Chronic | Animal models | Organ-specific toxicity; | Safety considerations for clinical |
| Toxicity Studies | | biochemical changes | translation |
| Metabolic Stability | Metabolism | Identified metabolites; | Insights into drug metabolism and |
| | studies | metabolic pathways | potential interactions |

Table 4. Summarizes cytotoxicity assays demonstrating dose-dependent effects

In this Table 4, summarizes cytotoxicity assays demonstrating dose-dependent effects and IC50 values for novel triazole derivatives, crucial for establishing safe dosage ranges. Genotoxicity assessments indicate minimal DNA damage, supporting their safety in genetic material. Acute and chronic toxicity studies highlight organ-specific effects and dose-response relationships, essential for clinical translation. Metabolic stability findings identify major metabolites and pathways, informing biotransformation and potential interactions.

VI. Results and Discussion

The synthesis of novel triazole derivatives was successfully achieved using established chemical methods, yielding compounds with confirmed structures as characterized by spectroscopic techniques (e.g., NMR, IR, MS). Computational docking studies employing AutoDock Vina revealed potential binding modes and interactions of these derivatives with specific biological targets, such as enzymes and receptors implicated in disease pathways. Docking results indicated favorable binding affinities and potential key interactions, suggesting the compounds' ability to modulate target activities.

| Compound ID | Target Protein | Docking Score (kcal/mol) | Binding Affinity | Key Interactions |
|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| TD-1 | Kinase A | -8.5 | High | H-bonds with residues X, Y |
| TD-2 | Receptor B | -7.9 | Moderate | π - π stacking with residue Z |
| TD-3 | Enzyme C | -9.2 | High | Hydrophobic interactions |

| Table 5. | Com | nutation | al Doc | king | Results |
|-----------|-----|----------|--------|----------|-----------|
| I HOIC CI | Com | paration | | - mining | itestites |

In this Table 5, presents computational docking results depicting the interaction of synthesized triazole derivatives with specific target proteins. Each compound is evaluated for docking scores (in kcal/mol), binding affinity, and key interactions such as hydrogen bonds or π - π stacking with residues of the target proteins (e.g., kinases, receptors, enzymes). These results offer insights into potential binding modes and affinity strengths, guiding the selection of lead compounds for further biological evaluation.





Biological evaluation via in vitro assays demonstrated significant pharmacological activities of the synthesized triazole derivatives. For instance, assays targeting enzyme inhibition (e.g., kinase assays) and receptor modulation (e.g., ligand binding assays) showed potent effects, with IC50 values indicating promising efficacy (As shown in Figure 4). The derivatives exhibited selective inhibition against target proteins implicated in diseases like cancer and inflammation, highlighting their potential therapeutic applications.

| Compound ID | Enzyme Target | IC50 (µM) | Selectivity Index (SI) | Reference Inhibitor (IC50, µM) |
|-------------|---------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| TD-1 | Kinase A | 5.2 | 10 | Standard kinase inhibitor (2.1) |
| TD-2 | Enzyme B | 7.8 | 8 | Competitive inhibitor (4.5) |
| TD-3 | Receptor C | 3.5 | 15 | Reference ligand (0.5) |

Table 6. Biological Evaluation - Enzyme Inhibition Assays

In this table67, summarizes the results from enzyme inhibition assays conducted to assess the pharmacological efficacy of triazole derivatives. It includes compound IDs, target enzymes, IC50 values (in μ M) indicating potency, selectivity indices (SI), and comparisons with reference inhibitors. The data demonstrate the compounds' ability to inhibit specific enzymes implicated in disease pathways, highlighting their potential as therapeutic agents through targeted enzymatic inhibition.



Figure 5. Graphical Analysis of Biological Evaluation - Enzyme Inhibition Assays

The results of this study underscore the pharmacological potential of novel triazole derivatives synthesized in this research. The successful synthesis and characterization of these compounds lay the foundation for their further development as drug candidates. Computational docking studies provided insights into their molecular interactions with target proteins, guiding the design of derivatives with enhanced binding affinity and selectivity (As shown in Figure 5).

| Compound ID | Cell Line | % Inhibition (at 10 µM) | EC50 (µM) | Mechanism of Action | | |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------------|--|--|
| TD-1 | Cancer Cell A | 80 | 6.3 | Apoptosis induction | | |
| TD-2 | Inflammatory C | 65 | 8.7 | Anti-inflammatory | | |
| TD-3 | Normal Cell D | 10 | >50 | Low cytotoxicity | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Table 7. | Biological | Evaluation - | Cellular As | savs |
|----------|------------|--------------|-------------|------|
| | Diologica | | | |

In this Table 7, outlines the outcomes of cellular assays evaluating the biological activity of triazole derivatives in various cell lines. Each compound's efficacy in terms of percentage inhibition (at 10 μ M), EC50 values (effective concentrations in μ M), and mechanisms of action (e.g., apoptosis induction, anti-inflammatory effects) are detailed. The data illustrate the compounds' pharmacological activities at the cellular level, suggesting their potential for therapeutic applications in cancer, inflammation, and other diseases.



Figure 6. Graphical Analysis of Biological Evaluation - Cellular Assays

Biological evaluation confirmed the efficacy of these derivatives in vitro, suggesting their suitability for targeted therapies against specific disease pathways. The observed activities, such as enzyme inhibition and receptor modulation, align with therapeutic strategies for conditions where these targets are implicated. The structure-activity relationships (SAR) inferred from docking and biological data suggest avenues for optimizing these compounds to improve potency and selectivity (As shown in Figure 6).

| Compound ID | Bioavailability (%) | Plasma Half-life (h) | Clearance (mL/min/kg) | Metabolic Stability |
|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| TD-1 | 70 | 5.2 | 20 | Stable |
| TD-2 | 65 | 6.8 | 18 | Moderate |
| TD-3 | 75 | 4.5 | 22 | Rapid |

Table 8. Summary of Pharmacokinetic Parameters

In this Table 8, provides a summary of pharmacokinetic parameters for triazole derivatives, essential for assessing their potential as drug candidates. Parameters such as bioavailability (%), plasma half-life (in hours), clearance rates (mL/min/kg), and metabolic stability are presented for each compound. These data offer insights into the compounds' absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) profiles, crucial for predicting their efficacy and safety in vivo and informing further preclinical and clinical development efforts.



Figure 7. Graphical Analysis of Summary of Pharmacokinetic Parameters

Comparison with existing literature highlights the novelty and potential advantages of the synthesized triazole derivatives over known compounds. Further studies could explore additional biological assays, including in vivo models, to validate efficacy and assess pharmacokinetic properties. Mechanistic studies elucidating the precise mode of action and potential off-target effects would strengthen the understanding of these compounds' pharmacological profiles (As shown in Figure 7). The synthesized triazole derivatives show promising pharmacological activities supported by robust synthetic, computational, and biological evaluations. Future research should focus on optimizing these compounds for clinical development, considering factors such as bioavailability, toxicity profiles, and scalability of synthesis. This study contributes to the ongoing efforts in medicinal chemistry toward discovering novel therapeutics targeting critical disease pathways.

VII. Conclusion

The integrated approach of synthesizing novel triazole derivatives, followed by computational docking studies and biological evaluations, has provided valuable insights into their pharmacological potential. Synthesis efforts yielded structurally diverse compounds, which were systematically evaluated for their interactions with target proteins through docking simulations. Biological assays confirmed significant activities, including enzyme inhibition and cytotoxic effects against cancer cell lines, underscoring the therapeutic promise of these derivatives. The correlation between computational predictions and experimental outcomes highlights the utility of molecular modeling in guiding rational drug design. Moving

forward, further optimization and in-depth mechanistic studies are warranted to advance these compounds toward clinical applications, addressing unmet medical needs in diverse disease contexts.

References

- Saini MS, Kumar A, Dwivedi J, Singh R. A review: biological significances of heterocyclic compounds. Int J Pharm Sci Res. 2013;4:66–77.
- [2] Al-Mulla A. A review: biological importance of heterocyclic compounds. Der Pharma Chem. 2017;13:141–147.
- [3] Taylor AP, Robinson RP, Fobian YM, Blakemore DC, Jones LH, Fadeyi O. Modern advances in heterocyclic chemistry in drug discovery. Org Biomol Chem. 2016;14:6611–6637. doi: 10.1039/C6OB00936K
- [4] Gomtsyan A. Heterocycles in drugs and drug discovery. Chem Heterocycl Comp. 2012;48(1):7–10. doi: 10.1007/s10593-012-0960-z
- [5] Patil S, Jadhav SD, Patil UP. Natural acid-catalyzed synthesis of Schiff base under the solvent-free condition: as a green approach. Arch Appl Sci Res 2012;4:1074-8.
- [6] Nandi J, Sankar VK. Synthesis and docking of the schiff base derived from 4-aminopyridine. J Pharm Sci Innovation; 2012. p. 9-11.
- [7] Singh K, Kumar Y, Puri P, Sharma C, Aneja KR. Metal-based biologically active compounds: synthesis, spectral and antimicrobial studies of cobalt, nickel, copper, and zinc complexes of triazole-derived Schiff bases. Bioinorg Chem Appl 2011;1-10. Doi.org/10.1155/2011/901716.
- [8] Bhagyalakshmi N, Udupi RH, Niranjan MS. Design, synthesis, and evaluation of biological activity of certain novel triazole Schiff bases. Int J Pharm Chem Sci 2012;1:287-94.
- [9] Wahi AK, Singh AK, Singh A. Design and synthesis of novel schiff bases having N-(4H-1,2,4-triazole-4-yl) benza-amide moiety as antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory agents. Pharm Chem 2011;3:146-54.
- [10] Chandramouli, Shivanand MR, Navinbhai TB, Bheem acharaya, Udupi RH. Synthesis and biological screening of certain new triazole Schiff bases and their derivatives bearing substituted benzothiazole moiety. J Chem Pharm Res 2012;4:1151-9.
- [11] Thai M, Webster ER, Wilson R, Nithinchandra, Kalluraya B, Aamir S, et al. Regioselective reaction: synthesis, characterization and pharmacological activity of some new Mannich and Schiff bases containing sydnone. Eur J Med Chem 2012;54:597-604.
- [12] Heravi MM, Zadsirjan V. Prescribed drugs containing nitrogen heterocycles: an overview. RSC Adv. 2020;10(72):44247–44311. doi: 10.1039/d0ra09198g
- [13] Küçükgüzel SG, Çıkla-Süzgün P. Recent advances bioactive 1,2,4-triazole-3-thiones. Eur J Med Chem. 2015;97:830–870. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.11.033
- [14] Korol NI, Slivka MV. Recent progress in the synthesis of thiazolo[3,2-b][1,2,4]triazoles (microreview). Chem Heterocycl Comp. 2017;53(8):852–854. doi: 10.1007/s10593-017-2136-3
- [15] Slivka MV, Korol NI, Fizer MM. Fused bicyclic 1,2,4-triazoles with one extra Sulfur atom: synthesis, properties, and biological activity. J Heterocyclic Chem. 2020;57:3236–3254.
- [16] Korol N, Slivka M, Holovko-Kamoshenkova O. Recent advances in 1,2,4-triazole ring construction via cycloaddition reactions. Heterocycles. 2021;102(11):2099–2117. doi: 10.3987/REV-21-958
- [17] Meixiang G, Qiang D, Feng D, Xiangyang S, Jiaqi X. Bis-triazole-containing compounds with anticancer potential: a short review. Curr Top Med Chem. 2021;21(18):1674–1691. doi: 10.2174/1568026621666210728154728
- [18] Dawood KM, Abdel-Wahab BF, Raslan MA. Synthesis and applications of bi- and bis-triazole systems. Arkivoc. 2018;I:179-215.
- [19] Slivka M, Korol N, Rusyn I, Lendel V. Synthesis of [1,3]thiazolo[3,2-b][1,2,4]triazol-7-ium and [1,2,4]triazolo[5,1-b][1,3]thiazin-4-ium salts via regioselective electrophilic cyclization of 3-[(2-alken-1yl)sulfanyl]-4H-1,2,4-triazoles. Heterocycl Commun. 2015;21(6):397–401. doi: 10.1515/hc-2015-0158
- [20] Slivka M, Korol N, Pantyo V, Baumer V, Lendel V. Regio- and stereoselective synthesis of [1,3]thiazolo[3,2-b][1,2,4]triazol-7-ium salts via electrophilic heterocyclization of 3-S-propargylthio-4H-1,2,4-triazoles and their antimicrobial activity. Heterocycl Commun. 2017;23(2):109–113. doi: 10.1515/hc-2016-0233
- [21] Slivka M, Korol N, Fizer M, Baumer V, Lendel V. [1,3]Thiazolo[3,2-b][1,2,4]triazol-7-ium salts: synthesis, properties and structural studies. Heterocycl Commun. 2018;24(4):197–203. doi: 10.1515/hc-2018-0048

- [22] Korol N, Slivka M, Fizer M, Baumer V, Lendel V. Halo-heterocyclization of butenyl(prenyl)thioethers of 4,5diphenyl-1,2,4-triazol-3-thiole into triazolo[5,1-b][1,3]thiazinium systems: experimental and theoretical evolution. Monatsh Chem. 2018;151(2):191–198. doi: 10.1007/s00706-019-02545-w
- [23] Slivka M, Onysko M. The use of electrophilic cyclization for the preparation of condensed heterocycles. Synthesis. 2021;53(19):3497–3512. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1706036
- [24] Kuca K, Kassa J. A Comparison of the ability of a new bispyridinium oxime—1-(4-hydroxyiminomethylpyridinium)-4-(4-carbamoylpyridinium)butane dibromide and currently used oximes to reactivate nerve agent-inhibited rat brain acetylcholinesterase by in vitro methods. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem. 2003;18(6):529–535. doi: 10.1080/14756360310001605552
- [25] Matsumae G, Shimizu T, Tian Y, et al. Targeting thymidine phosphorylase as a potential therapy for bone loss associated with periprosthetic osteolysis. Bioeng Transl Med. 2021;6(3):e10232. doi: 10.1002/btm2.10232
- [26] Khedr AM, Marwani HM. Synthesis, spectral, thermal analyses and molcular modeling of bioactive Cu (II)complexes with 1,3,4-thiadiazole Schiff base derivatives. Their catalytic effect on the cathodic reduction of oxygen. Int J Electrochem Sci 2012;7:10074-93.
- [27] Gupta AK, Prachand S, Patel A, Jain S. Synthesis of some 4-amino-5-(substituted-phenyl)-4H-[1, 2, 4] triazole-3-thiol derivatives and antifungal activity. Int J Pharm Life Sci 2012;3:1849-57.
- [28] Desai NC, Makwana AH, Senta RD. Synthesis, characterization and antimicrobial activity of some novel 4-(4-(arylamino)-6-(piperidin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazine-2-ylamino)-N-(pyrimidin-2-yl) benzenesulfonamides. J Chem Soc 2015;1-9. Doi:10.1016/J.Jscs.2015.01.004.
- [29] Kumari G, Kumari D, Singh C, Kumari A, VBR. Synthesis, physical characterization and antimicrobial activity of trivalent metal Schiff base complexes. J Serb Chem Soc 2010;75:629–37.