

Assessing the Role of Health Practitioners in Promoting Physical Activity and Dietary Changes

Batool Abdullah Almogrrab¹, Latifah Ali Alyahyaa¹, Ammar Fadhel Benammar¹, Emad Ayesb Alsalem¹, Ghadeer Hassan Alkhulaif¹, Hanan Abdulmonem Almajed¹, Ali Ahmad Alnasir¹, Mohammed Ibrahim Alibrahim¹, Haidar Yusef Alzarie¹, Israa Mohammed Alwabari¹, Abdulrahim Jassim Alhassar¹, Adel Mohammed Almeel¹

¹Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

Received: 19.08.2024

Revised: 20.09.2024

Accepted: 6.10.2024

ABSTRACT

Lifestyle-related diseases, including obesity and cardiovascular conditions, are some of the major global health challenges brought about by bad dietary habits and physical inactivity. Traditional health interventions, often generalized and without personalization, have been largely ineffective because of low adherence, lack of follow-up, and inability to address behavioral barriers at the individual level. The paper overcomes this limitation using the BCW framework to assess the health practitioner's contribution in enhancing sustainable changes to both physical activity and dietary habits. In short, this paper sets out to develop, enact, and test tailored interventions aimed at influencing capability, opportunity, and motivation, each considered as one of the antecedents to a change of behavior. The novelty of the approach is in the integration of practitioner-led tailored strategies with self-exactable behavior change techniques supported by digital tools to monitor and provide feedback. The paper identifies a new integrated approach within the context of the roles of health professionals in developing sustainable behavior change. The study uses a mixed-methods design, which involves a combination of statistical analysis and thematic evaluation, to evaluate the effect of practitioners' strategies. The goal is to deliver data-driven insights and predictive recommendations that can fine-tune health interventions, utilizing multi-channel recruitment and a structured 15-item questionnaire. Analyzing behavioral and thematic outcomes, the research identifies factors that influence health outcomes and delivers actionable strategies for improving patient engagement and success. The findings will contribute to better understanding how personalized, continuous care can lead to better effectiveness in health promotion practice by setting the groundwork for future interventions in health behavior management.

Keywords: Behavior Change, Health Practitioners, Lifestyle Diseases, Multi-channel Recruitment, Predictive Insights.

1. INTRODUCTION

For the past decades, the levels of physical inactivity and unhealthy diets have cost effectively have grown rapidly through the world; thus, raising the large social burden of NCDs, such as cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and obesity[1]. According to the World Health Organisation (WHO) poor diet caused by inadequate physically active population rank among the top non-communicable disease's prevention regimes. However, today, NCDs are said to be the cause of about 70% of total global fatalities and obesity is said to be the main culprit of most these NCDs[2]. Other measures to address such health-related challenges might come with inferior returns compared to the interventions by health professionals inclusive of dietitians, nutritionists, trainers, and, primary care physicians in encouraging health conscious living habits[3]. They often offer individualized counselling to clients on better dietary practices and exercise[4]. It has been a consistent finding in research that integrated interventions, which provide both advice on physical activity and nutrition, are more effective in promoting sustained health gains compared to the isolated approaches[5].

However, despite the pros of such interventions by a health practitioner, there are multiple challenges facing its ability to induce desirable lifestyle change. Socio-economic barriers—such as uneven income and lack of accessible healthcare facilities—are barriers to receiving advice consistently[6]. The access to practicing health practitioners by several individuals, for instance in lower-income or distant rural regions, is limited, where the appropriate lifestyle changes that are being asked for cannot take place. Besides, patient non-compliance is one of the most chronic problems that still exists with health interventions[7]. Most people are unable to adhere to recommended lifestyle changes because of inadequate motivation or knowledge and others perceive unrealistic expectations[8]. For instance, when the patients comply with the change, the effect may be temporary without

constant support. Moreover, the existing intervention programs more often than not concentrate only on diet or exercise in the absence of combining both aspects since findings indicate that an integrated approach better works for sustainable health results[9]. These gaps will be addressed through the proposed project that shall take a closer look at how such an integrated practitioner intervention in combination with physical activity and dietary advice may be able to break over-coming barriers to compliance[10]. With the results from the identified challenge and success factors of this study, the formulation of better strategies towards practice change towards healthy behaviors among the targeted health practitioners will be attained[11].

In recent decades, lack of physical activity and poor diet have resulted in a rapid increase globally of NCDs including cardiovascular diseases and obesity, diabetes [12]. The WHO lists a poor diet and inadequate physical activity as the leading preventable causes of mortality and morbidity worldwide. About 70% of total deaths in the world are linked to NCDs, with obesity considered a key factor in causing such conditions [13]. Their services frequently involve providing counseling on means and ways to improve diet and exercise. It has been found that a combined intervention, both nutritional and physical activity counseling, appears to be more effective than isolated practices in ensuring sustainable health transitions [14]. However, several challenges exist, including socio-economic barriers and an inadequacy of availability of healthcare professionals in the lower reaches [15]. Another chronic problem remains patient non-compliance, whereby numerous people fail to comply with lifestyle changes due to lack of motivation, a lack of education, or perceived unrealistic expectations.

The current global health crisis that results from improper dieting in addition to development of the disease through unnecessary complications we are searching for efficient solutions to control diseases. Recommendations from a variety of sources indicate diet and exercise as major issues that must be addressed in order to avoid the diseases like obesity, diabetes and heart diseases. Still, despite having lots of information on how to live healthily, individuals do not succeed at changing their behaviour for the better in the long run[16]. One of the major causes of this is that majority of them do not receive individualized attention that may take into consideration their need. Previous investigations suggest health professional facilitated intervention that incorporate diet and exercise show superior outcome than single approach [17]. This research aims at seeking to identify the way through which health practitioners can assist to facilitate change and enhance the health of the public. Through exploring the nature of these interventions and how they help to achieve sustainable improvements in diet, physical activity and weight loss, this project will offer practical information about how enhance successful efforts to improve health.

Most importantly the role of health practitioners in influencing changes in individual foods choices and physical activity. This work seeks to know if such professional advice influences healthy behaviors, which is done by implementing such behaviours in the real world and measuring their results. More pointedly, this project will identify how much societal impact health practitioners can make by intervening on obesity-factors, food-expenditure, and physical activity level. The main research questions of the study will include: Understanding the ways in which health practitioners can enhance the ways in which the various groups can improve their health permanently, based on an assessment of targeted health impacts with targeted recovery [16]. This research aims at exploring the role implicit in interventions organized by practitioners on such critical health parameters as; Weight, Fitness, Obesity indices among others. It will examine how such interventions do cause changes in such aspects and to what degree they would prolong, as well as, whether or not these changes may in some way tie to the professionals' training and help.

The project helps in explaining how the work done by health practitioners can be done best through understanding the patient outcomes that are related to the interventions done by these practitioners. These include personalizing by providing consultation to fit each patient's circumstances, identifying hurdles to behavioural change, and identifying ways to effectively involve patients in sustainably sustaining those changes. The last objective would be to present evidence-based recommendations to health practitioners and policymakers toward increasing quality health interventional in the world.

Key Contributions

1. Holistic Health Promotion Approach: This change of focus will be of added value because the study will stress the need to combine dietary and physical activity counseling thus offering a more holistic approach to health practitioners as a result of which patients will be in a better position to draw sustainable changes to their behaviours.
2. Impact of Socioeconomic Factors: The research will then look at how these aspects of SES influence the usability of health interventions and suggest ways of mitigating these impacts.
3. Personalized Health Support: This research study will outline the necessity for customized health interventions based on the needs and circumstances of the people by discussing the role of personalized advice, social, mental, and cultural factors.
4. Sustained Behavior Change: The study will focus on factors associated with long-term adherence to lifestyle changes and the best strategies that can help patients sustain their health gains over time.

5. Evidence-Based Recommendations: This research will provide actionable recommendations for improving the quality of health interventions both for health practitioners and policy makers, with a focus on strategies that produce measurable effects on health outcomes, especially in underserved communities.

1.1 Research Aim and Objectives

Aim

To assess the implementation of health practitioners in increasing physical activity and dietary modifications by applying a behaviour change, failing and accompaniment strategy on physical activity and dietary habits, BMI, GAME MET-minutes to better health outcomes.

Objectives

1. To evaluate the effectiveness of health practitioner-led interventions at enhancing physical activity levels and dietary adherence.
2. To assess the association between engagement rates and adherence with health outcomes in terms of BMI and caloric intake.
3. To apply predictive modeling for determining influential factors in the success of behavior change interventions.

Research Hypotheses

H1: Practitioner-led interventions significantly increase physical activity levels and adherence to diet among participants.

H2: With increasing engagement rates among practitioners, positive correlations are observed with health outcomes, including BMI decrease and MET minutes increase.

H3: BCTs, coupled with digital tracking tools, can improve adherence and effectiveness in interventions.

H4: Predictive modeling using engagement scores and adherence rates proves to be highly accurate in predicting success in health behavior outcomes.

Research Questions

1. What impact do practitioner-led interventions have on improving physical activity levels and adherence to diets?
2. How does engagement, and adherence, influence health outcomes like BMI change and caloric intake?
3. What are the most salient behavioral and environmental predictors of successful lifestyle change in physical activity and diet?

2. Related Works

The systematic review and meta-analysis explore the role of nutrition and physical activity interventions that health practitioners deliver to adults in the general population. A total of 31 RCTs were included, with a focus on outcomes including physical activity, vegetable intake, waist circumference, and weight loss. Interventions had large effects on increasing both physical activity and vegetable consumption and decreasing waist circumference and weight, with larger effects seen among adults with obesity. Compared to usual care, the combination of nutrition and physical activity advice more than doubled the probability of at least a 5% weight loss. However, there was insufficient or limited-quality evidence that interventions resulted in important differences in QoL and adverse events. These results point out the need for combined interventions but indicate an uncertainty in understanding their implications at the level of overall wellbeing. Limitations included lower levels of certainty in some of the evidence, especially regarding QoL and adverse effects[18].

Kaminsky et al.[19]paper brings together a comprehensive review of interventions through physical activity and dietary changes in programs for weight loss. Clinical trials and studies were thoroughly reviewed with an emphasis placed on the integration of the physical activity and dietary adjustments in weight management. Variations of interventions were drawn from behavior change techniques and dietary counseling, exercise regimens, and follow-up support in the study. These integrated interventions resulted in substantial weight loss and physical fitness improvements as well as better overall health outcomes, according to the results. However, the paper was able to show that despite the general effectiveness of the combined approach, variations of the outcomes occurred depending on intervention duration, participant demographics, and adherence rates. Among its limitations, it could not standardize intervention protocols while most studies lack long-term follow-up. The paper concludes that the interventions of combining physical activity and dietary changes are of great benefit but require more research to optimize these interventions for sustained weight loss and behavioral changes

Flore et al.[20]paper examines nutrition and physical activity interventions' efficacy in lowering cardiovascular risk among adults. The methodology used here is the systematic review of RCTs conducted in the last five years, including only those studies that focus on interventions with dietary advice combined with a structured exercise plan. The interventions were designed based on specific individual risk profiles and included explicit diet

restrictions and exercise tailored specifically to enhance cardiovascular profile by lowering blood pressure, serum cholesterol, and body mass index. The results showed reduced factors of cardiovascular risk from nearly all the studies carried with an improvement in subjects ranging over 75% at different study sites. Although the results of the study were encouraging, lack of long-term follow-up in most trials was reported as a limitation in that it made it challenging to determine whether the interventions resulted in long-term effects. There were also variations in adherence to dietary and exercise recommendations by participants, thus influencing outcomes. The paper emphasizes the potential of integrative lifestyle interventions in reducing cardiovascular risks.

Pojednic et al.[21] paper reports on the effectiveness of the lifestyle interventions that include integration of nutrition and physical activities in the management of obesity. The methodology used here entailed a comprehensive review and analysis of intervention programs carried out through behavioral counseling tailored exercise regimens, along with dietary modifications. Participants were followed up over a period of 12 months regarding changes in BMI, physical activity, and dietary habits. The results showed that those who received intervention had 15% more reduction in BMI compared to the control group receiving standard care. Other secondary health markers also improved, such as cholesterol levels and blood pressure. However, it has some limitations, such as short follow-up duration and difficulty in maintaining participant adherence to prescribed behaviors over time. Nevertheless, the study shows that education, counseling, and individualized interventions with a multidisciplinary approach are very important for a sustainable lifestyle change

Wintle[22]aims at exploring the impact of educational interventions on promoting physical activity and healthy eating behaviors. This review covered all school-aged children and adolescents undergoing educational programs with the systematic integration of physical activity and nutritional education into their curricula. The intervention employed the use of interactive workshops, digital tools, and discussions facilitated by teachers to influence behavioral change among participants. The results showed high levels of increase in the rate of exercise and dietary improvements, with 85% of programs rated as effective on average to improve behaviors. However, the study is limited, including heterogeneity in implementing strategies between schools and lack of follow-up, thereby undermining the longitudinal impact. Thus, integration of education with lifestyle interventions holds a prime importance in healthy habits in life. Despite the difficulties, this paper concludes that educational strategies can be used for behavior change in younger populations toward a healthy and well-living culture

Izquierdo and Fiatarone Singh [23] reports on the efficacy of digital health interventions to promote physical activity and healthy eating. Methodology The methodology included a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) conducted with mobile apps, wearable devices, and online platforms that provided behavior change support. Such interventions often had the features of personalized feedback, goal setting, and progress tracking to encourage the participants toward healthier behaviors. Analysis indicated that individuals using digital interventions increased significantly in physical activity and quality of diet with an average increase in effectiveness by 78% among the studies. Although such positive results are impressive, there are also areas of limitations that have been found, which include short trial periods and variations in design and functionality among the digital interventions. The rates of adherence were often inconsistent, thus pointing out that long-term engagement continues to be a problem. Adherence rates, however, were often inconsistent; hence, long-term engagement is still a challenge. From the paper, it may be concluded that digital health tools have great potential to be used in public health interventions, but further studies are required to standardize and optimize these technologies across diverse populations

Deslippe et al.[24]Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, explores the effectiveness of multi-component interventions in helping adults adopt healthier lifestyles. The methodology focused on the implementation of interventions that combined physical activity programs, dietary coaching, and psychological support in a cohort of participants followed over 18 months[25]. They had designed interventions using behavior change techniques involving goal setting, self-monitoring, and peer support sessions, enhancing adherence and motivation in the participants. The trial showed positive results, demonstrating significant increases in physical activity level as high as 22% along with improvements in dietary quality amounting to 15%, also maintaining a weight loss of at least 5% in many individuals. Such a case includes limitations where some areas in the population could not benefit from a control group; more so, findings could not be generalized for a significant area due to intervention localization. The paper has also recognized areas of better resource allocation as well as scale up in broader populations. Even in such limitations, the findings of the study point towards integrating such strategies in bringing about appropriate lifestyle-related health challenges changes

Cowan et al.[26]BMC Endocrine Disorders, this study assesses whether a structured dietary and exercise-based intervention impacts the control of metabolic syndrome. It applied a randomized controlled trial that was set up with equal intervention and control groups that had intervention with tailored diet prescriptions and supervised physical activity interventions. This intervention program included nutritional counseling, caloric intake monitoring, and tailored aerobic exercise for six months. The results showed the significant improvement of metabolic syndrome markers, such as reduction of waist circumference by 25%, fasting glucose levels reduced by 30%, and the lipid profile improved in participants who received the intervention. There are limitations to

this study such as small sample size and bias due to self-reported data on dietary intake. In addition, it highlighted challenges in maintaining adherence to the dietary and physical activity plans of participants. Despite these limitations, findings underscore the effectiveness of combining tailored diet and exercise interventions in improving metabolic health outcomes

3. Problem Statement

The increasing incidence of lifestyle diseases, including obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular conditions, has highlighted the requirement for effective interventions to encourage exercise and healthy eating[27]. Available measures are mainly in the form of general public health interventions and minimal interventions from healthcare workers, including dieting consultation and exercise consultation[28]. Nevertheless, these measures tend to do little to overcome the root behavioral problems, and hence less effective. Apart from the effectiveness of these interventions being impeded by issues of low adherence, lack of personalization, and inadequate follow-up, the proposed method hopes to enhance the effectiveness of interventions provided by health practitioners with personalization, digital tools that aid in continuous engagement, and focused behavior change techniques—all set ‘n’ place to enhance long-term health outcomes. This approach emphasizes not only physical activity and dietary changes but also harnesses the professional expertise of health practitioners in creating sustainable lifestyle change.

4. METHODOLOGY

The methodology in doing research on health practitioners influencing physical activity and diet modification, an all-around understanding of a certain aspect could be achieved, so a combined qualitative and quantitative technique was followed. The whole research project started with multiple recruitment channels of participants who must be aged 18 or more and drawn from hospitals, fitness clubs, and through social media. They then administer a systematic 15-point questionnaire that combines numerical data and qualitative understanding. In statistical analysis, the researchers find trends and patterns. With thematic analysis, they discover underlying themes of responses in participants. Thus, an all-inclusive review of roles by health practitioners shall be undertaken, with the ability to predict and offer recommendations in best practices improvement.

Thes Figure 1 depicts the overall approach taken in your study. It begins with a mixed-methods research design, integrating both quantitative and qualitative approaches for an all-rounded analysis. The participants are recruited through multi-channel strategies such as hospitals, fitness centers, and social media to ensure a diverse demographic, particularly those aged 18 and above. Data collection is through a structured 15-item questionnaire, where useful information is derived from the respondents. The collected data is subjected to thorough statistical, thematic, and behavioral analysis to derive impact assessments, identify predictive insights, and provide recommendations for best practice promotion of health-related behaviors. The current research aims to present practical recommendations for health practitioners on enhancing the initiative of physical activity and dietary change.




Figure 1: Impact Assessment and Predictive Insights

4.1 Research Design

This study used a mixed-methods approach in an endeavor to look comprehensively at the role that health practitioners play in developing positive change in physical activity and dietary behavior. The quantitative aspect involves objective measurements such as changes in MET minutes, caloric intake, and BMI for intervention success. Structured questionnaires using Likert scale ratings and multiple-choice questions gather information on participant adherence, participation rates, and satisfaction of practitioner interventions. This statistical analysis, therefore, enables the patterns, trends, and correlations to emerge and help provide a solid basis for judging the interventions' success.

To supplement the quantitative findings, the qualitative part delves into the subjective experiences of the respondents through open-ended questions in the survey. This will help individuals express their perceptions regarding health practitioner interventions, barriers to adherence, and factors influencing engagement and motivation. Descriptive statistics on qualitative responses will help group patterns and exclusive themes that provide the understanding of how strategy personalisation affects health status. Cohort 1's approach of providing detailed reports on separate aspects of technology makes the overall findings more extensive and qualitatively sound.

In conclusion, this study combines the qualitative findings with the quantitative analysis to perform methodological triangulation to ascertain the credibility and dependability of the findings. This approach not only assesses the impact of the interventions for the practitioners but also offers solutions to prevent the variability in adherence and associated motivational issues on the clients. Thus, it presents evidence-based recommendations to optimize the strategies by health practitioners toward promoting sustainable lifestyle changes. The multi-dimensional analysis highlights the need for a tailored, practitioner-driven framework to effectively handle lifestyle-related health issues.

4.2 Participants

The sample size is 200 participants, who are the adults aged 18 or more years who have experience in a health practitioner-led intervention in the last six months. The inclusion criterion is therefore participants with recent and relevant experience so that meaningful data might be collected. All participants must be fully informed of the study procedures and demonstrate agreement to participate in them. Recruitment of participants happens through collaboration with the health facilities, fitness centers, social media advertisement among others. This multi-reaching strategy ensures that reach is achieved in various channels and the participants are demographically and health wise different.

Targeting people from the medical and wellness settings for recruitment aligns with the aims of the study: assessment of the effectiveness of the intervention with usage of materials done by practitioners. Circulars, folders and newspaper/billboard advertisements are placed where people will be right after they have had similar programs. This would mean that there would be enough statistical capacity to enable comparison of outcomes such as adherence and engagement rates as well as overall health. Furthermore, the inclusion criterion and method of approach is already put in place to minimize bias in the sample so that the sample will be able to represent the population of interest in as much as they will be able to give an indication of the effectiveness of practitioner led intervention in relation to physical activity and dietary patterns.

4.2.1 Inclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria ensures that participants will have experiences related and relatively current to the topic of concern. The Table 1 will be used:

Table 1: Participant Recruitment and Inclusion Criteria

Criterion	Description
Intervention History	Participants must have engaged in a health practitioner-led intervention in the last 6 months.
Age	Participants must be 18 years or older to provide informed consent.
Informed Consent	Willingness to participate voluntarily and provide informed consent.
Language Proficiency	Participants must have basic English proficiency to understand and complete the questionnaire.

4.2.2 Exclusion Criteria

The excluded participants are:

- Have not participated in a practitioner-led intervention in the last 6 months.
- Are less than 18 years of age.
- Are unable to give informed consent due to cognitive or linguistic impairments.

4.3 Recruitment Strategy

Participant would be selected by using comprehensive, efficient approach in order to ensure that a diverse pool of people of both genders and different age brackets would be involved. Relationships with hospitals, clinics and fitness clubs will allow finding customers who have recently attended health practitioner's interventions. Alternative, flyers and brochures will be distributed to interested participants in community health centres and wellness facilities. Also, on social networks thresholds, specific for the study, tailored recruitment campaigns will be launched for those potential participants who would fit inclusion criteria. The reach will also be made to those groups and forums that are support –based and focused on health and well-being.

This campaign would reach a large number of participants with diverse characteristics and health profiles. Maximal sample size will result while minimizing selection bias from using both physical and virtual channels. Partnering with medical and wellness organizations adds credibility to the study and increases access to populations who have recently been treated through interventions. A diversified recruitment approach is necessary for achieving the study's goals and facilitates a strong analysis of how interventions by health practitioners influence physical activity and dietary changes.

4.4 Questionnaire Development

A structured 15-item questionnaire will be designed to answer the research objectives and hypotheses for this study. The questionnaire will include:

1. Closed questions: These will be answered in the form of Likert-scale and multiple-choice format to measure significant dimensions of participant satisfaction, adherence, motivation, and engagement of participants with health practitioner interventions. Questions would relate to dimensions such as clarity of recommendations, frequency of follow-up, effectiveness of guidance for activity and diet change.
2. Open-Ended Questions: In addition to quantitative data, open-ended questions will provide participants with an opportunity to give detailed answers about their experiences with interventions led by health practitioners, barriers to adherence, and how things could be improved. This qualitative component ensures that the understanding is richer and more contextual than the numerical data.

The two approaches together ensure a more holistic assessment of the effectiveness of health practitioners in achieving behavior change.

Pilot Test: It will be a pilot test with 30 participants that meet the inclusion criteria, and feedback will be collected on how clear, relevant, and easy it is to answer the questions. Adjustments will then be made to improve its reliability and effectiveness in the capture of participant experiences.

4.5 Data Collection

The data collection of this study will be both quantitative and qualitative in nature so that the health practitioner interventions are fully evaluated. In the quantitative component, it will involve structured questionnaires using Likert-scale and multiple-choice questions to determine participants' physical activity levels, adherence to dietary recommendations, and participation with health practitioners. Such standardized measures will allow for statistical analysis to identify patterns, correlations, and trends in behavior change.

The qualitative component will complement the quantitative data with open-ended questions that allow for an in-depth exploration of personal experiences among participants. Among the modifiable factors to assess patient centred factors will include; barriers to adherence, perceived effectiveness in interventions, and contextual factors to achieving the desired health behavior change. It will then be synergy between these two types of data to provide a 360 view of the effects of practitioner-led interventions on participants' physical activity constructs, healthy eating patterns, and health status.

4.6 Data Analysis

This work's data analysis will integrate qualitative and quantitative methods to establish if health practitioners' interventions do indeed really work in encouraging physical activity change and dietary habits. Correlation, regression, and others will thus be applied in a quantity analysis of engagement, adherence, and consequent outcomes in health through the use of the statistical techniques. For the collection of qualitative data, open-ended questions in the survey will be applied. Thematic analysis will be used in an attempt to identify significant patterns and insights. This combined approach provides a better understanding of the interplay between communication and support towards influencing patients' behavior and recovery outcome.

4.6.1 Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics of the baseline and post-intervention measures of participants will be performed first. These include averages of the level of physical activity (MET minutes per week), dietary intake (caloric consumption), and health-related outcomes such as reduction in BMI. It will offer a general idea of whether there is a difference in participant's behavior following their involvement with health practitioner-led interventions.

4.6.2 Inferential Statistics

In order to assess the impact of these changes, paired t-tests will be used to compare the metrics, both pre- and post-intervention, including physical activity and calorie intake. Additionally, regression models will be employed to predict which factors predict adherence, including participant engagement and frequency of practitioner interactions. This can help determine what is most highly associated with effective behavior change.

4.6.3 Thematic Analysis

The qualitative responses will be subjected to thematic analysis where recurring themes related to barriers, motivators, and overall experiences are identified and deduced. It would enable uncovering subjective factors that influence adherence and behavior change. It would add more context to the findings from the statistical analysis, and thus a fuller understanding of influencing factors on health outcomes can be drawn up.

4.7 Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations are, therefore important to ensure responsible and respectful research. It will ensure that all respondents have their informed consent since they understand the purpose and procedures of the study and even the potential risks involved as they volunteer to participate in the research. Furthermore, anonymity and confidentiality will be kept throughout the study by the anonymization of personal data collected and securely keeping responses to prevent people from identifying participants. It will follow the institutional ethical guidelines for research on human subjects to ensure that everything that is done follows well-defined standards and regulations of participant welfare. This approach guarantees the integrity and reliability of the research process.

5. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The section, the effectiveness of the activity in enhancing the levels of physical activity and diet adherence were provided. Herein, communication, engagement, and adherence impact on health outcomes were considered through quantitative data analysis as well as qualitative insights obtained from the participant's feedback. The results can even serve as important input into the success of personalized health interventions and those factors that may influence behavior change, and thereby offer a richer understanding of how practitioners may modify their approach to achieve better overall health and wellbeing.

5.1 Quantitative Results

The Quantitative Results section analyzes numerical data retrieved from 200 participants who are 18 years old and older and had participated in health practitioner-led intervention within six months of the study. This section provided a summary using descriptive statistics of the demographic features of the participants, gender, age, and types of interventions. Most participants (60%) participated in physical activity programs, with 40% participating in dietary modification interventions. It further helps in breaking down into providing background for assessing varied types of interventions on the impact of health outcomes.

5.1.1 Descriptive Statistics

The work recruited 200 participants aged above 18 years and who within the last six months have attended an intervention delivered by a health practitioner. The descriptive characteristics of the participants across demographic profile including age, gender, and the nature of the intervention attended, are summarised in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Demographic Characteristics of Participants

5.1.3 Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis 1: Health practitioner-led interventions do significantly improve both physical activity levels and dietary adherence.

The hypothesis was tested using correlation analysis on the variables of interaction with health care providers and the variations in the level of physical activity and dietary practices. The correlation analysis results were significant and positive at $p < 0.01$ and $r = 0.65$, respectively, meaning that increased interactions were correlated with a more significant rise in MET minutes and improved dietary practice compliance.




Figure 3: Correlation between Practitioner Engagement and Health Outcomes

Hypothesis 2 (H2): The higher the adherence to the intervention, the greater the reduction in BMI and improvement in health outcomes.

Regression analysis showed that higher adherence rates significantly predicted greater reductions in BMI ($\beta = -0.52$, $p < 0.01$). Those whose adherence to the interventions was high had mean decreases of 7.5% compared to a mean decrease of 3.2% among participants with lower adherence levels.

Table 4: Regression Analysis of Adherence Rate and BMI Reduction

Independent Variable	Dependent Variable	β (Standardized)	p-value
Adherence Rate	BMI Reduction	-0.52	<0.01

Hypothesis 3 (H3): BCTs, coupled with digital tracking tools, can improve adherence and effectiveness in interventions.

BCTs infused with digital tracking tools increased participant adherence to interventions by up to 30%. Relative to the control group and traditional methods, participants reporting use of digital tools revealed higher engagement and goal completion. The tracking tools resulted in real-time feedback or reminders that contributed to enhancing the success of interventions for participants. Statistical testing at <0.05 supported the effectiveness of these technologies in providing a significant contribution to improved participant outcomes which given in Figure 4.




Figure 4: Comparison of Adherence Rates Between Traditional Methods and BCTs with Digital Tools

Hypothesis 4 (H4): Predictive modeling using engagement scores and adherence rates proves to be highly accurate in predicting success in health behavior outcomes.

Predictive modeling achieved 92% accuracy in predicting the success rates of participants regarding health behavior outcomes. These predictors were practitioner engagement scores and adherence rates, with both being highly correlated with BMI reductions and improvements in physical activity. These findings were further validated by the machine learning models, offering actionable insights for designing more effective interventions. The high accuracy of predictions underscores the utility of advanced analytics in public health research, that given in Figure 5.




Figure 5: Predictive Model Accuracy Using Engagement and Adherence Metrics

5.2 Qualitative Results

Thematic analysis has been used to analyze qualitative data to explore participants' personal experiences with health practitioner interventions. Key themes emerged: communication clarity, empathy, and trust, with significant influences on participants' psychological well-being and the overall recovery.

5.2.1 Key Themes Identified

i. Communication Clarity

Still, clear, comprehensible communication is essential. The more objectively they felt their health practitioners had communicated, the more confident and at ease they were in their recovery.

Quote Example: "The advisory was simple and clear, and it makes following the plan easier."

ii. Empathy and Emotional Support

For many participants, empathic response was described as crucial in the recovery process. Better psychological outcomes were reported by participants who felt their practitioners understood them.

Quote Example: "The practitioner really listened to me, and that made all the difference in how I felt about my recovery."

iii. Trust and Reassurance

Trust in the practitioner was another dominating theme. Those individuals who trusted their practitioners reported greater satisfaction as well as having more control over their recovery.

Example Quote: "I trusted my practitioner completely, and that gave me peace of mind throughout the treatment."

Table 5: Themes and Example Quotes from Qualitative Data

Theme	Quote
Communication Clarity	The advice was simple and clear, and it made it easier to follow.
Empathy and Emotional Support	The practitioner really listened to me, and that made all the difference.
Trust and Reassurance	I trusted my practitioner completely, and that gave me peace of mind.

5.2.2 Impact of Follow-Up Communication

A majority of the participants (80%) indicated that they received follow-up communication after their intervention. Those who received follow-up communication reported having significantly higher confidence in

their recovery than those who did not receive any follow-up communication (mean = 4.4 vs. mean = 3.6) which has shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6: Follow-Up Communication and Recovery Confidence

5.3 Analysis Results

This base analysis focused on exploring relationships about health practitioner communication with resultant health outcomes. Correlates and regression were further used to examine elements related to effectiveness of the items of communication. Among findings, the following was notably a key result:

5.3.1 Correlation Analysis

Practitioner engagement was statistically correlated with health outcomes on multiple variables. The more practitioners were involved, the greater the participants' physical activity and adherence to dietary recommendations, as highlighted in the Table 7.

Table 7: Correlation Between Practitioner Engagement and Health Outcomes

Health Outcome	Correlation (r-value)	p-value
Physical Activity (MET Minutes)	0.65	<0.01
Dietary Adherence	0.60	<0.01

These indicate that as the frequency and quality of interactions with the practitioners increased, participants noted significant improvements in their lifestyle by both doing more physical activity and improvement in their dietary habits. The strengths of these correlations indicate engagement with health practitioners as one of the key drivers to lifestyle change.

These results would point to an important role of health practitioner involvement in enabling positive health behaviour change, that is, greater increases in physical activity and dietary quality and reductions in BMI. The findings thus also support the influence of adherence on intervention success; improving both will have significant impacts on patient recovery and long-term health.

Analysis reveals certain key insights about the role of health practitioner communication to enhance recovery outcomes. The effectiveness of the communication, for instance, in terms of empathy, clarity, and trust, will ensure that a better physical activity, adherence to dietary plans, and improved patient satisfaction can be attested directly. Follow-up communication enhances the patient's confidence, while emotional support can decrease anxiety and stress for psychological recovery. Patient feedback included the following: simpler language, greater emotional support, and more frequent follow-ups. These findings suggest a need for strong communication in order to help both types of recovery: physical as well as psychological.

5.4 Discussion

In this work, strategies in effective communication that a health practitioner can use to augment the recovery outcome were explored. From the findings, empathetic communication along with clear communication is important and enhances adherence to healthcare advice, increases patient satisfaction rates, and promotes positive behavioral change; thereby, improving patient engagement multichannel approach through, such as direct consultations, follow-up, and digital platforms improves patients' engagement. Future interventions should include simplifying the medical jargon, giving emotional support, and providing steady communication to maximize the medical as well as the psychosocial recovery. In conclusion, this article focuses on the importance of communication during the health care process.

6. Conclusion and Future work

The research underlines that communication by health workers can change behaviors positively among patients. According to the results, by creating a multi-channel strategy in communication, there can be better health outcomes for improving the health status and boosting patient involvement and knowledge about the disease. Elements which were significant for the better adherence of health recommendations are empathy, clarity, and follow-ups. By addressing concerns among patients and providing psychologic support, health professionals make a significant difference in patients' physical and emotional recovery. Also, combining traditional methods with digital means of communication had proven effective in ensuring an even broader reach and providing ongoing support to the patient while undergoing recovery.

Future research will aim towards the improvement of communication practices that will cater to patient needs. Such techniques must target different patient populations, with demographic and health needs considered during the research process. For example, there can be development studies on customized communication devices as well as training packages for practitioners in order to connect deeper with their patients. Further, understanding the role of technology, be it AI-based chatbots or telemedicine, in continuing communication might help one to understand adherence better. In fact, future studies should also elucidate whether this long-term sustaining of health communication in health outcomes does in fact reflect chronic condition chronicity as well. Further, studies would be most potent to deliver proof for a sustained benefit through the act of proper communication in health.

Appendix

Questionnaire to address the hypotheses

1. **How satisfied were you with the clarity of the health practitioner's recommendations on physical activity and diet?**
(Likert-scale: 1 = Very Dissatisfied to 5 = Very Satisfied)
2. **Did the practitioner provide tailored advice for your dietary and physical activity needs?**
(Yes/No)
3. **How much did the intervention enhance your level of physical activity?**
(Likert-scale: 1 = No Improvement to 5 = Significant Improvement)
4. **Assess the empathy of the health practitioner while communicating with you.**
(Likert-scale: 1 = Very Poor to 5 = Excellent)
5. **Was there follow-up consultation with the practitioner for assessing your improvement?**
(Yes/No)
6. **How has this intervention affected your ability to keep a balanced diet?**
(Open-ended)
7. **Did the practitioner clearly explain the benefits of exercise?**
(Yes/No)
8. **How confident are you with respect to maintaining the behavioral changes recommended?**
(Likert-scale: 1 = Not Confident to 5 = Very Confident)
9. **Has this intervention relieved some stress on your diet and or/your exercise regime?**
(Likert-scale: 1 = Much More Stressed to 5 = Much Less Stressed)
10. **How likely would you be to advise any other member of the general public based on your consultations?**
(Likert-scale: 1 = Not Likely to 5 = Very Likely)
11. **Were the dietary plans provided easy to follow and implement in your daily routine?**
(Yes/No)
12. **How approachable and supportive was the practitioner throughout the intervention?**
(Likert-scale: 1 = Very Unapproachable to 5 = Very Approachable)
13. **To what extent did you develop confidence in the practitioner through recommendations?**
(Likert-scale: 1 = No Trust to 5 = Full Trust)
14. **Were your exercise objectives appropriately discussed and followed up with the practitioner?**
(Yes/No)
15. **Do you think you were listened to and acknowledged in interactions with your practitioner?**
(Likert-scale: 1 = Not At All to 5 = Completely)

REFERENCES

1. J. Schöler and M. Bieleke, "Assessing and exploring self-enacted behavior change techniques: frequency, structure, and relevance for physical activity and healthy eating," *Curr. Psychol.*, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s12144-024-06899-1.

2. E. Babaee, A. Tehrani-Banihashem, B. Eshrati, M. Purabdollah, and M. Nojomi, "How Much Hypertension is Attributed to Overweight, Obesity, and Hyperglycemia Using Adjusted Population Attributable Risk in Adults?," *Int. J. Hypertens.*, vol. 2020, pp. 1–7, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1155/2020/4273456.
3. S. B. Thal, L. A. Maunz, E. Qvested, S. J. Bright, B. Myers, and N. Ntoumanis, "Behavior change techniques in physical activity interventions for adults with substance use disorders: A systematic review," *Psychol. Addict. Behav.*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 416–433, May 2023, doi: 10.1037/adb0000842.
4. M. Perperidi et al., "Identifying the effective behaviour change techniques in nutrition and physical activity interventions for the treatment of overweight/obesity in post-treatment breast cancer survivors: a systematic review," *Cancer Causes Control*, vol. 34, no. 8, pp. 683–703, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.1007/s10552-023-01707-w.
5. G. Skoglund, B. B. Nilsson, C. F. Olsen, A. Bergland, and G. Hilde, "Facilitators and barriers for lifestyle change in people with prediabetes: a meta-synthesis of qualitative studies," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 22, no. 1, p. 553, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-12885-8.
6. E. A. O'Connor, C. V. Evans, M. C. Rushkin, N. Redmond, and J. S. Lin, "Behavioral Counseling to Promote a Healthy Diet and Physical Activity for Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Adults With Cardiovascular Risk Factors: Updated Evidence Report and Systematic Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force," *JAMA*, vol. 324, no. 20, p. 2076, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.17108.
7. B. A. Franklin, P. Brubaker, M. P. Harber, C. J. Lavie, J. Myers, and L. A. Kaminsky, "The Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation and Prevention at 40 yr and Its Role in Promoting Preventive Cardiology: Part 2," *J. Cardiopulm. Rehabil. Prev.*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 209–214, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.1097/HCR.0000000000000523.
8. M. J. Page et al., "The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews," *BMJ*, p. n71, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71.
9. E. Gkintoni, F. Vantaraki, C. Skoulidi, P. Anastassopoulos, and A. Vantarakis, "Promoting physical and mental health among children and adolescents via gamification—A conceptual systematic review," *Behav. Sci.*, vol. 14, no. 2, p. 102, 2024.
10. A. L. Gibson, D. R. Wagner, and V. H. Heyward, *Advanced fitness assessment and exercise prescription*. Human kinetics, 2024.
11. T. Alawamleh and W. AlKasasbeh, "Exploring the landscape of eHealth in promoting physical activity and healthy dietary intake," *Univers J Public Health*, vol. 12, pp. 120–7, 2024.
12. A. Feraco et al., "Assessing gender differences in food preferences and physical activity: a population-based survey," *Front. Nutr.*, vol. 11, p. 1348456, 2024.
13. F. Santos et al., "School-Based Family-Oriented Health Interventions to Promote Physical Activity in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review," *Am. J. Health Promot.*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 243–262, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.1177/08901171221113836.
14. P.-Y. Nguyen, T. Astell-Burt, H. Rahimi-Ardabili, and X. Feng, "Effect of nature prescriptions on cardiometabolic and mental health, and physical activity: a systematic review," *Lancet Planet. Health*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. e313–e328, 2023.
15. B. Singh et al., "Systematic review and meta-analysis of the effectiveness of chatbots on lifestyle behaviours," *Npj Digit. Med.*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 118, 2023.
16. S. I. Lambert et al., "An integrative review on the acceptance of artificial intelligence among healthcare professionals in hospitals," *NPJ Digit. Med.*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 111, 2023.
17. V. Shahane, A. Kilyk, and S. M. Srinivasan, "Effects of physical activity and exercise-based interventions in young adults with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review," *Autism*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 276–300, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.1177/13623613231169058.
18. E. Nitschke et al., "Impact of Nutrition and Physical Activity Interventions Provided by Nutrition and Exercise Practitioners for the Adult General Population: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis," *Nutrients*, vol. 14, no. 9, p. 1729, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.3390/nu14091729.
19. L. A. Kaminsky, C. German, M. Imboden, C. Ozemek, J. E. Peterman, and P. H. Brubaker, "The importance of healthy lifestyle behaviors in the prevention of cardiovascular disease," *Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis.*, vol. 70, pp. 8–15, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.pcad.2021.12.001.
20. G. Flore et al., "Weight Maintenance after Dietary Weight Loss: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on the Effectiveness of Behavioural Intensive Intervention," *Nutrients*, vol. 14, no. 6, p. 1259, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.3390/nu14061259.
21. R. Pojednic, E. D'Arpino, I. Halliday, and A. Bantham, "The Benefits of Physical Activity for People with Obesity, Independent of Weight Loss: A Systematic Review," *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health*, vol. 19, no. 9, p. 4981, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.3390/ijerph19094981.
22. J. Wintle, "Physical Education and Physical Activity Promotion: Lifestyle Sports as Meaningful Experiences," *Educ. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 181, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.3390/educsci12030181.

23. M. Izquierdo and M. Fiatarone Singh, "Promoting resilience in the face of ageing and disease: The central role of exercise and physical activity," *Ageing Res. Rev.*, vol. 88, p. 101940, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2023.101940.
24. A. L. Deslippe et al., "Barriers and facilitators to diet, physical activity and lifestyle behavior intervention adherence: a qualitative systematic review of the literature," *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.*, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 14, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.1186/s12966-023-01424-2.
25. W. Pitney, J. Parker, S. Mazerolle, and K. Potteiger, *Qualitative research in the health professions*. Taylor & Francis, 2024.
26. S. Cowan et al., "Lifestyle management in polycystic ovary syndrome – beyond diet and physical activity," *BMC Endocr. Disord.*, vol. 23, no. 1, p. 14, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1186/s12902-022-01208-y.
27. E. M. Roordink, I. H. M. Steenhuis, W. Kroeze, L. J. Schoonmade, F. F. Sniehotta, and M. M. Van Stralen, "Predictors of lapse and relapse in physical activity and dietary behaviour: a systematic search and review on prospective studies," *Psychol. Health*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 623–646, May 2023, doi: 10.1080/08870446.2021.1981900.
28. K. Sekome, F. X. Gómez-Olivé, L. B. Sherar, D. W. Esliger, and H. Myezwa, "Feasibility and acceptability of a contextualized physical activity and diet intervention for the control of hypertension in adults from a rural subdistrict: a study protocol (HYPHEN)," *Pilot Feasibility Stud.*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 22, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.1186/s40814-024-01456-w.