

## Perceptions of the operating room educational setting by surgical residents in emergency department at Saudi Arabia 2024

Mazin Mohammed Azrai<sup>1</sup>, Randa Fahad Al Matrafi<sup>1</sup>, Hashim Hussain Alsharif<sup>2</sup>, Salem Dukhayn Mohammed Alqahtani<sup>3</sup>, Ali Mohammed Shaiban Humedi<sup>4</sup>, Ali Abdullah Mohammed Nasheb<sup>4</sup>, Abdu Hassan Ahmed Kadi<sup>4</sup>, Sultan Hamood Moh<sup>4</sup>, D Humadi<sup>4</sup>, Osamah Ibrahim alkhalf najmi<sup>4</sup>, Essam Yahya Hussain Humedi<sup>4</sup>, Ahmed Masad Nafe Alharbi<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Emergency physician registrar, Al noor hospital Makkah, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>2</sup>Surgical technician, Almadinnaalmunawara hospital, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>3</sup>Emergency Medical Services, Al Ruwaidah Hospital, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>4</sup>Technician-Operation Rooms, Al-Twual General Hospital, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>5</sup>Operation technician, Primary care health center, Sayyid Al-Shuhada, Saudi Arabia.

---

Received: 19.08.2024

Revised: 10.09.2024

Accepted: 05.10.2024

---

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Operating room experiences are especially important for surgical residents' learning, and the term "environment" refers to the "climate" that affects each aspect of learning in an educational setting. Therefore, **the aims of the study:** To assess surgical residents' perceptions about the educational environment in the operating room and related elements at the emergency department of Al Noor Hospital in Makkah, Saudi Arabia.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional study was conducted in January 2024 among surgical residents to assess their perceptions of the operating room educational environment using the OREEM questionnaire. Descriptive statistics (mean, median, SD) were used to summarize demographic data and OREEM scores. The student t-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) testing followed by posthoc tests were used for comparison of quantitative data, with p-values < 0.05 considered significant.

**Results:** 79.8% of the participants were male and 20.2% were female with a mean age of 28 years. The overall mean score was 69% with subscale scores for teaching and training at 47.9/65.0 (73.7%), learning opportunities at 34.5/55.0 (62.7%), the atmosphere at 28.9/40.00 (72.4%) and workload/supervision/ support at 27.5/40.0 (68.7%). Male and female residents differed significantly in perceptions of "atmosphere" ( $t_{127} = 3.35$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and in junior versus senior residents' perceptions of the "learning opportunities" and "atmosphere" at p-values of 0.023 and 0.028 respectively. However, age, marital status, and specific surgical training programs did not have a significant effect on the scores.

**Conclusion:** Overall, residents had positive perceptions of their training and teaching, learning opportunities, the atmosphere in the operation theatre, and the supervision they received in the operation theatre. The operating room's "teaching and training" component received the highest score, while the operating room's "learning opportunities" component received the lowest. This indicates the importance of establishing a positive learning environment with sufficient "hands-on" experience, especially during emergencies. In addition, preoperative planning, case discussions, and feedback after the surgery should be routine.

**Keywords:** Perceptions, operating room educational setting, surgical residents, emergency department

### INTRODUCTION

In the operating room, surgical residents can learn the fundamentals of the surgical craft technical skills, knowledge, and confidence in a unique setting<sup>(1, 2)</sup>. Through an apprenticeship system, trainees learn surgical skills from consultants who serve as mentors to them as they rotate through their units over a certain amount of time<sup>(3, 4)</sup>. Knowledge and technical skills are imparted through a variety of instructional strategies, such as didactic lessons with feedback sessions and supervised exposure to graded operational experience<sup>(3, 5-7)</sup>. Putting these principles into effect has always been the trainer's problem<sup>(4)</sup>.

The educational environment is a crucial component of the curriculum and is described as how instructors or students understand the medical milieu that underlies all aspects of education in an academic setting<sup>(8, 9)</sup>. The apprenticeship-style surgical residency programs require a great deal of hands-on training in the operating room, where technical skills and knowledge are refined through didactic sessions and supervised exposure<sup>(10-12)</sup>. But the translation of Since the learning environment is vulnerable to individual complexity, case nuances, and potential

distractions, putting these educational principles into effective practice continues to be a tough challenge for trainers<sup>(10)</sup>.

The optimization of the educational experience within the operating room is critical for surgical residents who invest over 10,000 h in clinical training, making it the basis of their professional development<sup>(10)</sup>. Mastery of surgical skills is essentially tied to sufficient practice and continued mentorship, positioning operative experience as a main predictor of satisfaction with surgical training<sup>(13, 14)</sup>. Despite its recognized significance, studies reveal that a substantial percentage of surgical residents express dissatisfaction with their operative experiences, ranging from 65% to 85%<sup>(15, 16)</sup>. Moreover, a systematic review indicates that the reduction in duty hours has led to a decline in trainees' operative experience, impacting their performance in certification exams<sup>(17)</sup>.

In addition to measuring resident satisfaction, evaluating the instructional environment in the operating room is crucial for guaranteeing the caliber of surgical residency programs<sup>(18-20)</sup>. This assessment goes beyond personal opinions to include aspects like duties, patient safety, sterility, time management, and resource availability, all of which add to the overall educational experiences. The surgical team experiences conflict as a result of worries about these factors, highlighting the necessity of a comprehensive comprehension of the clinical learning environment<sup>(21)</sup>.

Disparities exist across several subscales, such as workload/supervision/support, highlighting areas for possible development, even if some studies find excellent overall mean ratings in the assessment of the educational environment. It has been shown that perceptions of the clinical learning environment in surgical residency programs vary by gender, program type, residency year, and geographic location<sup>(20-24)</sup>. Beyond individual satisfaction, these views have an impact on learning results, patient care quality, and the likelihood of stress and burnout in both students and teachers<sup>(20)</sup>.

International research have revealed differing opinions about the OR learning environment in surgical residency programs. Ibrahim et al., (2013)<sup>(21)</sup> showed that overall mean score of 67.5%, revealed subtle variances, particularly in the demanding workload/supervision/support subscale (48.3%) and the operating room atmosphere (79.2%), which were further exacerbated by gender-based variations<sup>(21)</sup>. In a similar vein, residents in general surgery regularly expressed less satisfaction than their counterparts in other specialties<sup>(20)</sup>. At the same time, Saudi urology residents voiced their displeasure, pointing out that a surgeon's teaching style is crucial<sup>(24)</sup>. A thorough grasp of the various elements affecting the educational environment in surgical training programs around the world is necessary, as evidenced by reduced operating hours and worries about individualized faculty engagement as a result of the country's excess of surgical residents<sup>(17, 15)</sup>.

Few research have been done in Saudi Arabia on this subject, despite the great unmet demand for surgeons, the poor instruction in operating rooms, and the high cost of operating rooms as teaching spaces. The attitude of surgical trainees towards the OR educational environment and the associated determinants was not captured in a study, which focused on the concerning disparity between the perceptions of surgical residents and faculty regarding intraoperative teaching. Therefore, the present study aimed to build upon the evidence identified in previous studies by evaluating the surgical trainee's perspective and the factors associated with the current operating room educational environment in the surgical department using an appropriate instrument. The operation room educational environment measure (OREEM), a validated tool comprising 40 Likert-type items divided into four subscales, is widely used to assess the educational environment in the operating theatre<sup>(20, 22)</sup>.

## METHOD

An analytical cross-sectional study was conducted from January to March 2024, the emergency department of Al Noor Hospital in Makkah, Saudi Arabia. Convenience sampling was used to select participants. The sample size was calculated based on a prior presumption that the level of perception of the learning environment was 50% since there has not been a published study in the past in Saudi Arabia surgical residency program with the desired precision of  $d = 0.05$ . The sample size was determined using the single-population proportion formula and adding a nonresponse rate of 10%. The final sample size calculated for this study was 94 residents, and given that the sample size is comparable to the total number of residents, all the surgery residents (postgraduate years 1–4) who had been actively working in the hospital for at least six months were included in the study. Residents on sick leave, maternity leave, and currently on detachment sites were excluded from the study.

Study instrument and procedure: Within the medical environment, there are several instruments developed such as the Dundee Ready Educational Environment Measure (DREEM), Postgraduate Hospital Educational Environment Measure (PHEEM), Anesthetic Theatre Educational Environment Measure (ATEEM), and Operation Theatre Educational Environment Measure (OREEM), to name a few. The OREEM scale stands out as the most extensively used specific measure for evaluating the OR educational environment across all of the reviewed studies<sup>(20, 23, 26)</sup>.

OREEM was administered to surgery residents, using a paper-based questionnaire. Residents were asked to respond to a set of 40 statements related to the operating room educational environment with the use of a 5-point Likert scale, with possible responses ranging from "strongly agree," "agree," "undecided," "disagree," and "strongly disagree." The inventory also requested information about the age, gender, marital status, and training

program of the resident, the level of training by postgraduate year, and the global satisfaction score out of 100. The 40 items of the questionnaire were divided into four major subscales. Inventory items 1 through 13 address the residents' perceptions of the preceptor or "surgical attending" in teaching and training; items 14 through 24 address the residents' perceptions of learning opportunities; items 25 through 32 cover the residents' perceptions of the atmosphere in the operating room; and items 33 through 40 relate to the residents' perceptions of the workload, supervision, and support. The residents completed the inventory on different dates, and thus, responses were in reference to different consultants and operating room experiences across the totality of their training. The minimum score was 40 and the possible maximum score was 200. A score of at least 120 out of 200 was considered favorable. A value above 120 indicates a more satisfactory perception of the educational environment as indicated by most studies conducted using this parameter<sup>(13, 27-29)</sup>.

Data processing began by checking the data gathered for accuracy and completeness. Each completed questionnaire was assigned a unique code and entered into a computer using epi. Info version 3.5.1 and exported to SPSS version 28.00 for further analysis. A summary of the data was presented using frequency distributions, graphs, and plots. Continuous variables are described as mean and standard deviation and categorical variables as frequencies and percentages. Student's t-test and one-way ANOVA were used as methods of inferential statistics to assess any significant differences based on gender and marital status, year of training, and training program. Spearman's correlation was also calculated to find any association between the various subscales of the questionnaire and the global satisfaction score. The confidence interval was set to 95%, with a 5% margin of error.

A pilot study was conducted on 5% of randomly selected residents to ascertain whether the questions and instructions were sufficiently understood or required revision and additional instructions. Following these modifications, the questionnaire was distributed to the study population. Questions raised by the residents regarding confusing or unclear statements were cleared by the principal investigator. Additionally, all the collected data were reviewed and checked for completeness upon submission. Ethical Permission was obtained from the Institutional Research. The study objectives and anticipated potential risks and benefits were explained to the residents. Participants were assured that their data and information would be kept confidential and that they would not be shared outside the concerned bodies. To protect the confidentiality of information, names or other personal identifiers were not included in the questionnaires.

## RESULTS

**Table (1)** shows socio-demographic characteristics. 103 (79.8%) of the respondents, were male and 26 (20.2%) were female, with a mean age of 28.5 + 2 Years. The respondents were distributed across the levels of training from postgraduate years (PGY) 1 to 5. (PGY-1 residents comprised 42(32.6%), PGY-2 residents, 28(21.7%); PGY-3, 38(29.5%); PGY-4, 13(10.1%); and PGY-5, 8(6.2%).

Surgery residents from different departments were included in the study, and the majority of participants 53(41.1%) belonged to the general surgery department, followed by orthopedic surgery (19.4%), urology (9.3%), and neurosurgery (9.3%). Most of the residents were single (67.4%). **Table (1)**

The mean total score was 138.8/200 (69%). The mean scores on the subscales were as follows: teaching and training 47.9/65.0 (73.7%); learning opportunities 34.5/55.0 (62.7%); atmosphere 28.9/40.0 (72.4%); and workload/supervision/ support 27.5/40.0 (68.7%). Analysis of subscale scores showed that the operating room "teaching and training" subscale had the highest score, with a score of 73.7%. The lowest scoring subscale was 'learning opportunities' (62.7%).

The three highest scoring items were no. 6 "My preceptor's surgical skills were very good. (4.4+0.7)", no. 31 "I (do not) feel discriminated against in the operating room because of my race. (4.3+1.04)" and no. 30 "I (do not) feel discriminated in the operating room because of my sex. (4.3+1.07)". Moreover, the 7 items with "satisfactory" mean scores (mean item score > 4) were, "My attending has a pleasant personality," "I get along well with my attending," and "I understand what my attending is trying to teach me," "My attending's surgical skills are very good," "I feel discriminated against in the operating room because of my sex," "I feel discriminated against in the operating room because of my race," and "I am(not) asked to perform operations alone that I do not feel competent at performing."

The remaining questionnaire items were found to be less than satisfactory (mean item score <4), indicating the need for further investigation and improvement. The three lowest-ranked items were statements no. 27 "The nursing staff dislikes it when I operate as the operation takes longer. (2.4+1.0)", no. 19 "More senior residents or consultants take my opportunities to operate. (2.68+1.08)" And no. 20 "The number of emergency procedures was sufficient for me to gain the correct operative experience. (2.8+1.25)". These items should be prioritized in future program evaluations (**Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5**).

The reliability analysis was performed using Cronbach's alpha coefficient which was computed for the overall questionnaire and for each of the four subscales to measure the internal consistency of the Questionnaire. The Cronbach's alpha values were interpreted according to Richardson's suggestion. The Cronbach's alpha for the overall questionnaire was 0.865. The following were the Cronbach's alpha values for the factors indicated:

“teaching and training” subscale 0.868; “supervision/ workload/support” subscale 0.770; “learning opportunities” subscale 0.684; “atmosphere” atmosphere subscale 0.723. All Cronbach's alpha coefficients were considered to be within the acceptable to good range, except for learning opportunities. However, when our data was analyzed to exclude each question in turn, using the “alpha item deleted”, no significant improvement was seen with the removal

of any of the 40 questions. Spearman correlation was calculated for the overall score as well as subscales and found a strong positive correlation among all subscale scores and overall scores ( $p$ -value < 0.05 with  $r$ -value ranging from 0.56 to 0.8).

Factors associated with OREEM scale score comparisons were made between gender, junior and senior level residents, marital status, and different departments. No significant difference was identified regarding the OR teaching perception according to marital status and the different departments in the one-way ANOVA analysis. In the two-sample  $t$ -test analysis for gender, a statistically significant difference ( $t_{127} = 3.35$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) was shown in the “atmosphere” subscale score between females (26.3/40 or 65.7%) and males (29.6/40 or 74%). The average “atmosphere” subscale score for females was lower than the mean subscale score for males by 3.35. This was corroborated by item analysis that revealed responses to items 29, 30, and 31, which comprise part of the “atmosphere” subscale, differed significantly between the two sexes. In addition, items 2 and 25 also were noted to contribute to the difference in overall scores.

Other items also were rated less favorably by female respondents including statement no. 6 “My consultant's surgical skills are very good. ( $t_{127} = 2.95$ ,  $p < 0.004$ )”, and no. 9 “Before the operation my consultant discusses what part of the procedure I will perform. ( $t_{127} = 2.7$ ,  $p < 0.008$ )”, whereas no. 8 “My consultant immediately takes the instruments away when I do not perform well. ( $t_{127} = -2.07$ ,  $p < 0.040$ )” was rated more favorably by females. However, there was no statistically significant difference in the overall total score of the OREEM measure between males and females. The level of training affected the perception of the residents about the OR learning environment mainly regarding “learning opportunities” and “atmosphere.” The mean score for “learning opportunities” for junior and senior residents was  $33.4 + 6.4$  and  $35.8 + 5.4$ , respectively ( $p < 0.023$ ), while the mean score for “atmosphere” was  $28.1 + 5.04$  for juniors and  $29.9 + 4.07$  for seniors ( $p < 0.028$ ). Six out of the 40 OREEM items (no. 3, 14, 17, 20, 26, and 27) were statistically different between junior and senior residents ( $p < 0.05$ ). The overall OREEM mean score for junior and senior residents, however, was comparable with no significant difference (Table 6).

**Table 1:** Characteristics of surgical residents ( $n = 129$ )

Characteristics		No. of participants	
		No.	%
Sex	Male	103	79.8
	Female	26	20.2
Postgraduate	I	42	32.6
	II	28	21.7
	III	38	29.5
	IV	13	10.1
	V	8	6.2
Marital status	Married	36	27.9
	Single	87	67.4
	Engaged	5	3.9
	Other	1	0.8
Training Program	General Surgery	53	41.1
	Pediatric surgery	5	3.9
	Urology surgery	12	9.3
	Neurosurgery	12	9.3
	Plastic surgery	7	5.4
	Orthopedics	25	19.4
	ENT	9	7.0
	Maxillofacial	6	4.7

**Table 2:** Responses to the OREEM survey Likert questions: Subscale teaching and training among surgical residents

Teaching and training	Mean score	+SD
My consultant has a pleasant personality.	4	0.8
I get on well with my consultant	4	0.7
My consultant is enthusiastic about teaching	3.94	0.8

My consultant has a genuine interest in my progress	3.78	0.9
I understand what my consultant is trying to teach me	4.17	0.8
My consultant's surgical skills are very good	4.42	0.7
My consultant gives me time to practice my surgical skills in theatre	3.74	1.0
My consultant immediately takes the instruments away when I do not perform well.	3.04	1.1
Before the operation, my consultant discusses the surgical technique planned.	3.32	1.1
Before the operation, my consultant discusses what part of the procedure, I will perform.	2.99	1.1
My consultant expects my surgical skills to be as good as his/her.	3.12	1.2
My consultant gives me feedback on my performance.	3.51	1.0
My consultant's criticism is constructive.	3.81	0.9

**Table 3:** Responses to the OREEM survey questions: Subscale learning opportunities among surgical residents

<b>Learning opportunities</b>	<b>Mean score</b>	<b>+SD</b>
The type of operations performed on this rotation is too complex for my level.	3.39	1.1
The elective operating list has the right case mix to suit my training.	3.55	1.1
There are too few cases on the elective list to give me the opportunity to operate.	3.17	1.1
I get enough opportunities to assist.	3.18	1.1
There are enough operating theatre sessions per week for me to gain the appropriate experience.	2.89	1.1
More senior residents or consultants take my opportunities to operate.	2.68	1.1
The number of emergency procedures is sufficient for me to gain the right operative experience.	2.79	1.2
The variety of emergency cases gives me the appropriate exposure.	3.04	1.2
My consultant is in too much of a rush during emergency cases to let me operate.	3.41	0.9
I miss out on the operative experience because of restrictions on working hours.	3.30	1.1
I have the opportunity to develop the skills required at my stage.	3.08	1.1

**Table 4:** Responses to the OREEM survey questions: Subscale operating room atmosphere among surgical residents

<b>Operating room atmosphere</b>	<b>Mean score</b>	<b>+SD</b>
The atmosphere in the operating theatre is pleasant.	3.66	1.0
In the operating theatre, I don't like being corrected in front of medical students, nurses, and residents.	3.96	0.9
The nursing staff dislikes it when I operate as the operation takes longer.	2.36	1.0
The anesthetists put pressure on my consultant to operate himself to reduce anesthetist time.	2.74	1.1
The staff in the operating theatre is friendly.	3.66	0.9
I feel discriminated against in theatre because of my sex.	4.26	1.1
I feel discriminated against in theatre because of my race.	4.31	1.0
I feel part of a team in theatre.	3.98	0.9

**Table 5:** Responses to the OREEM survey questions: Subscale workload/supervision/support among surgical residents

<b>Subscale workload/supervision/support</b>	<b>Mean score</b>	<b>+SD</b>
--	-------------------	------------

<b>Subscale workload/supervision/support</b>	<b>Mean score</b>	<b>+SD</b>
I am too busy doing other work to go to the theatre	3.26	1.1
I am often too tired to get the most out of theatre teaching.	3.19	1.0
I am so stressed in the operating theatre that I do not learn as much as I should.	3.26	1.1
I am asked to perform operations alone that I do not feel competent.	4.10	0.9
When I am in the theatre, there is nobody to cover the ward	3.72	1.1
I get called during operations.	3.25	1.2
The level of supervision in theatre is adequate for my level.	3.54	1.0
The operative cases are too long.	3.16	1.2

**Table 6:** Association between the residents' background information and Operating Room Educational Environment Measure (OREEM) scores among surgical residents

<b>Characteristics</b>	<b>Operating Room Educational Environment Measure a</b>				<b>Overall</b>
	<b>Trainer &amp; Training</b>	<b>Learning Opportunities</b>	<b>Atmosphere in the OR</b>	<b>Supervision, Workload and Support</b>	
Gender					
	3.56+0.66	3.16+0.51	3.28+0.60	3.52+0.76	3.39+0.38
Male	3.7+0.57	3.12+0.56	3.70+0.56	3.41+0.67	3.49+0.42
p-value*	0.238	0.788	0.001*	0.46	0.27
Level of training					
	3.7 +0.57	3.03+0.58	3.5 +0.63	3.49+0.64	3.45+0.44
Senior residents	3.6+0.61	3.26+0.48	3.74+0.51	3.36+0.74	3.5 +0.39
p-value*	0.399	0.023*	0.028*	0.309	0.478
Department					
	3.66+0.54	2.85+0.48	3.57+0.58	3.63+0.55	3.41+0.40
Pediatricsurgery	3.83+0.85	3.58+0.51	3.83+0.53	3.48+0.38	3.69+0.56
Urology	3.75+0.44	2.92+0.39	3.94+0.48	3.59+0.48	3.53+0.32
Plasticsurgery	3.27+0.75	3.22+0.27	3.39+0.61	3.01+0.67	3.23+0.39
Orthopedics	4.04+0.43	3.47+0.51	3.74+0.53	3.48+0.83	3.71+0.41
Neurosurgery	3.39+0.56	3.31+0.53	3.53+0.40	2.73+0.73	3.26+0.39
ENT	3.31+0.87	3.28+0.68	3.91+0.63	3.61+0.38	3.48+0.51
Maxillofacial	3.77+0.23	3.64+0.36	2.75+0.55	2.81+0.89	3.34+0.07
Department	0.186	0.119	0.001	0.052	0.169

Bold and italic ones are statistically significant.

<sup>a</sup> Values reported are mean and standard deviation (SD).

\* Student t-test was used for "Gender" and "Level of training," while a 1-way ANOVA test was used for "department."

## DISCUSSION

The educational environment has a big impact on how things are taught and learned. The merits and shortcomings of the surgical residency program's operation room instruction can be assessed using OREEM. It has been utilized in several researches to evaluate the residents' educational environment. To evaluate the OR educational environment of the postgraduate surgical residency programs, we used the OREEM measure for the first time since the programs' establishment. The population of surgical trainees was young, with an average age of 28.5 + 2.1 years. Nigeria, the Netherlands, and the United States are among the nations with similar compositions.<sup>(30)</sup>

In contrast to the number of female medical school graduates, our surgical training was noteworthy for having a male gender majority of 79.8%. In a similar vein, women made up to 22% of surgical trainees in the US and 28% in the Netherlands<sup>(31, 32)</sup>. More thorough qualitative research will be necessary to identify the precise obstacles. Overall, the educational environment was found to be satisfactory based on the OREEM mean score of 69% in this study<sup>(33)</sup>, even though it has been argued that any score below 80% in the nonparametric scale of the Likert scale is less than satisfactory<sup>(22)</sup>.

A study conducted among Saudi Arabian urology residents revealed a similar total inventory score of 67.95%<sup>(34)</sup>. Another study from Nigeria that included 33 surgery residents reported one of the lowest scores of 69.74<sup>(21)</sup>. On the other hand, higher scores have been reported from the studies done in the UK and Canada with OREEM scores of 79.16% and 74.4% respectively<sup>(35)</sup>. More favorable overall scores have been observed when comparing our results to research from other departments, such as pediatrics, obstetrics and gynecology, and

intensive care training<sup>(36)</sup>. Another study has also revealed that there is a concerning difference in how consulting surgeons and surgical residents see the instructional environment in the operating room<sup>(13)</sup>.

Analysis of subscale scores showed the subscale of operating room “teaching and training” had the highest score with a score of 73.7% and the lowest scoring subscale was “Learning opportunities” at 62.7% which is reflected in 3 of the lowest scored items (nos 27, 19 and 20). Similarly, the original study conducted for the validation of the questionnaire among Scottish basic trainees indicated that the highest rated subscale was “teaching and training” and the lowest subscale score for the Scottish trainees was “learning opportunities”<sup>(33)</sup>. Other studies have also reported similarly positive scores for the “teaching and training” subscale. However, the “learning opportunities” subscale has been positively reported in other studies with mean scores of 72.6% and 76.21%<sup>(22, 28)</sup>.

The overall score did not indicate any differences between junior and senior residents in their perception of the operating room educational environment similar to the studies conducted by Kanashiro among Canadian general surgery residents and Ibrahim et al., (2013)<sup>(21, 22)</sup>. However, on the subscale of “learning opportunities,” and “atmosphere” junior residents scored lower than senior residents; this difference was statistically significant ( $p$ -value < 0.05). There are mixed results regarding the difference in perceptions between senior and junior residents with some studies reporting no statistically significant differences regarding the total measure score or any of its subscales' scores<sup>(29, 34)</sup> and others the reverse<sup>(28)</sup> [22]. This could be explained by the fact that juniors typically observe and help more in the operating room, while seniors typically perform the majority of surgical procedures with assistance, have a more supervisory role in the ward, and carry out administrative tasks like scheduling. Junior residents also scored worse on five survey questions ( $p$  < 0.05), including those relating to opportunities afforded to trainees to ‘scrub in’ and practice their skills, the operative case-mix, and pressure placed on trainees to ‘hurry up’. This has been similarly reflected in the comments section by several residents. The Cronbach  $\alpha$  was high at 0.865 for the 40 statements. This high reliability of the OREEM questionnaire is comparable to other studies<sup>(14, 21, and 22)</sup> and supports the fact that the OREEM questionnaire has a high internal consistency when administered among various groups of residents in different environments. The Cronbach  $\alpha$  also was high at 0.868 for the teaching and training domain, and it was good at 0.770 for “supervision/workload/support” perception and at 0.684 for “learning opportunities” domains, which are comparable to those reported by others. Our results showed that the OREEM's content internal consistency is acceptable and valid to distinguish between various influences that contribute to the educational environment in the operating room and indicate that the separate items/subscales of this questionnaire appear to consistently measure the construct of the educational environment in the OR. When our data were analyzed to exclude each question in turn, using the “alpha if item deleted”, no significant improvement was seen with the removal of any of the 40 questions.

### Conclusion and recommendations

The residents' opinions of their training and supervisors, the learning possibilities in the operating room, the environment in the operating room, and the supervision they received were all deemed favorable by the overall OREEM score, which was determined to be 69% (138/200). The subscales measuring “teaching and training” and “learning opportunities” in the operating room had the greatest and lowest scores, respectively. Furthermore, we found that while training level had an impact on the “learning opportunities” and “atmosphere” domains, gender mostly influenced residents' perceptions of the OR learning environment with reference to the “atmosphere” scale.

For surgeons to become technically proficient, the operating room must be designed as a learning environment. The report recommends cooperation between college officials, academic staff, and residents. Increased duty hours for residents, a wider range of case exposure, and improved preoperative preparation and communication are among the recommendations. Faculty development workshops are necessary to emphasize persistent interactive intraoperative participation along with constructive feedback. Proactive steps must be taken to comprehend and resolve the concerns raised by female residents in surgical residency programs in order to close the gender-based disparity in how they perceive the teaching environment.

### REFERENCES

1. Vollmer CM, Jr, Newman LR, Huang G, Irish J, Hurst J, Horvath K. Perspectives on intraoperative teaching: Divergence and convergence between learner and teacher. *J Surg Educ*. 2011;68:485–94. doi: 10.1016/j.jsurg.2011.05.010.
2. Butvidas LD, Anderson CI, Balogh D, Basson MD. Disparities between resident and attending surgeon perceptions of intraoperative teaching. *Am J Surg*. 2011;201:385–9. doi: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2010.08.027.
3. Bode CO, Nwawolo CC, Giwa-Osagie OF. Surgical education at the West African College of Surgeons. *World J Surg*. 2008;32:2162–6. doi: 10.1007/s00268-008-9710-x.
4. Mukhopadhyay S, China S. Teaching and learning in the operating theatre: A framework for trainers and advanced trainees in obstetrics and gynaecology. *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2010;30:238–40. doi: 10.3109/01443610903585242.

5. Wanzel KR, Ward M, Reznick RK. Teaching the surgical craft: From selection to certification. *Curr Probl Surg*. 2002;39:573–659. doi: 10.1067/mog.2002.123481.
6. Hamdorf JM, Hall JC. Acquiring surgical skills. *Br J Surg*. 2000;87:28–37. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2168.2000.01327.x.
7. Barnes RW. Surgical handicraft: Teaching and learning surgical skills. *Am J Surg*. 1987;153:422–7. doi: 10.1016/0002-9610(87)90783-5.
8. Norouzi N, Imani B. Clinical education stressors in operating room students: a qualitative study. *Investig Educ Enferm* 2021 Jan;39(1):e08.
9. Riveros-Perez E, Riveros R, Zimmerman NM, Turan A. Anesthesiology residents' perception of educational environment: comparison between different years of training. *J Clin Anesth* 2016 Dec 1;35:376–83.
10. Mukhopadhyay S, China S. Teaching and learning in the operating theatre: a framework for trainers and advanced trainees in obstetrics and gynaecology. *J Obstet Gynaecol (Lahore)* 2010 Apr;30(3):238–40.
11. Bode CO, Nwawolo CC, Giwa-Osagie OF. Surgical education at the west African College of Surgeons. *World J Surg* 2008 Oct;32(10):2162–6.
12. Truskett P. Is part-time surgical training feasible? *ANZ J Surg* 2012 Dec;82(12): 859–60.
13. Bekele A, Kotisso B, Shiferaw S. The gap between surgical resident and faculty surgeons concerning operating theatre teaching: report from Addis Ababa. *Ethiopia East Cent Afr J surg* 2013;18(2):3–6.
14. Sadiq S, Qureshi MFH, Lakhani M, Shah M, Ayub MH. Poor learning in operation theatres causing brain drain. *MedEdPublish* 2019 Jun 12;8:131.
15. Hamour OA, Alshareef Z, Abdella AA. The general surgery residents perception for the training program at the King Faisal Specialist Hospital and research center, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *J Health Edu Res Dev* 2016;04(01):166.
16. Al Shanafey S, Alzahrani A, AlBallaa A, Alballaa A. Surgical resident satisfaction with the current surgical training program in the Riyadh area From the Departments of a Surgery. *Ann Saudi Med* 29(5).
17. Ahmed N, Devitt KS, Keshet I, Spicer J, Imrie K, Feldman L, et al. A systematic review of the effects of resident duty hour restrictions in surgery: impact on resident wellness, training, and patient outcomes. *Ann Surg* 2014;259(6):1041–53.
18. Berrani H, Abouqal R, Izgua AT. Moroccan residents' perceptions of the hospital learning environment measured with the french version of the postgraduate hospital educational environment measure. *J Educ Eval Health Prof* 2020 Jan 31; 17(4).
19. Ko'nings KD, Brand-Gruwel S, Van Merri'enboer JJG. Towards more powerful learning environments through combining the perspectives of designers, teachers, and students. *Br J Educ Psychol* 2005 Dec;75(4):645–60.
20. Marwan Y, Luo L, Toobaie A, Benaroch T, Snell L. Operating room educational environment in Canada: perceptions of surgical residents. *J Surg Educ* 2021 Jan 1; 78(1):60–8.
21. Ibrahim A, Delia IZ, Edaigbini SA, Abubakar A, Dahiru IL, Lawal ZY. Teaching the surgical craft: surgery residents perception of the operating theater educational environment in a tertiary institution in Nigeria. *Niger J Surg* 2013;19(2):61–617.
22. Kanashiro J, McAleer S, Roff S. Assessing the educational environment in the operating room - a measure of resident perception at one Canadian institution. *Surgery* 2006 Feb;139(2):150–8.
23. Soomro SH, Ur Rehman SS, Hussain F. Perception of educational environment in the operating theatre by surgical residents, a single-Centre prospective study. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2017;67:1864–79.
24. Binsaleh S, Babaeer A, Alkhayal A, Madbouly K. Evaluation of the learning environment of urology residency training using the postgraduate hospital educational environment measure inventory. *Adv Med Educ Pract* 2015;6:271–7.
25. Nagraj S, Wall D, Jones E. Can STEEM be used to measure the educational environment within the operating theatre for undergraduate medical students? *Med Teach* 2006 Nov;28(7):642–7.
26. Diwadkar GB, Jelovsek JE. Measuring surgical trainee perceptions to assess the operating room educational environment. *J Surg Educ* 2010 Jul;67(4):210–6.
27. Al-Qahtani MF, Al-Sheikh M. Assessment of educational environment of surgical theatre at a teaching hospital of a saudi university: using surgical theatre educational environment measures. *Oman Med J* 2012;27(3):217–23.
28. Talat N, Sethi A. Perception of surgical residents about learning in operation theatres at CHICH using STEEM. *Health Prof Educ J* 2019;2(2):47–53.
29. Nagraj S, Wall D, Jones E. Trainees' perceptions of the current operating theatre educational environment. *Bull Roy Coll Surg England* 2007 Nov 1;89(10):358–60.
30. Ojo E, Chirdan O, Ajape A, Agbo S, Oguntola A, Adejumo A, et al. Post graduate surgical training in Nigeria: the trainees' perspective. *Niger Med J* 2014;55(4):342.
31. Mayer KL, Perez Rv, Ho HS. Factors affecting choice of surgical residency training program. In: *Journal of*

- Surgical Research. Academic Press Inc.; 2001. p. 71–5.
32. Wijnhoven BPL, Watson DI, van den Ende ED. Current status and future perspective of general surgical trainees in the Netherlands. *World J Surg* 2008 Jan;32(1): 119–24.
  33. Cassar K. Development of an instrument to measure the surgical operating theatre learning environment as perceived by basic surgical trainees. *Med Teach* 2004 May;26(3):260–4.
  34. Binsaleh S, Babaeer A, Rabah D, Madbouly K. Evaluation of urology residents' perception of surgical theater educational environment. *J Surg Educ* 2015 Jan 1;72 (1):73–9.
  35. Rupani N. The surgical trainee perception of the operating room educational environment. *Int Scholar Sci Res Innov* 2022;16(11):2022–3.
  36. zomike UO, Udeh EI, Ugwu EO, Nwangwu EI, Nwosu NI, Ughasoro MD, et al. Evaluation of postgraduate educational environment in a Nigerian teaching Hospital. *Niger J Clin Pract* 2020 Nov 1;23(11):1583–9.