International Journal of Medical Toxicology & Legal Medicine Volume 27, No. 2S, 2024
e-ISSN: 0974-4614
p-ISSN: 0972-0448

# The Role of Health Administration and Coordination among Emergency Services, Nursing, Dentistry, and Social Work in Enhancing the Effectiveness of Toxicological Interaction Analysis

**Hatem Marzwq Hadi Al Monajam[1], Hagaba Ali Saleh Alyami[2], Fares Mohamad Al Monajam[3], Abdullah Mohammed H Alyami[4], Mushabbab Ali Saleh Alyami Khabash[5], Ibrahim Mohammed H Alyami Badr[6], Mahdi Hussain Almunajim[7], Mohamed Owaid Mahdy Soliman[8], Ali Mohammed Mohammed Al Hatailah[9], Hadi Jahwil Al Mansour[10], Mohamed Manea Al Monajjam[11]**

[1]General Medical Committee Ambulance and emergency technician
[2]General Medical Committee in Najran Nursing technician
[3]General Medical Committee Ambulance and emergency specialist
[4]New Najran General Hospital Nursing technician
[5]General Hospital Dental Assistant
[6]District Health Center Nursing specialty
[7]Najran Medical Authority Nursing health assistant
[8]Najran medical committee health services management
[9]Specialist-Health Administration najran medical committee
[10]General Medical Committee in Najran Nursing technician
[11]Social specialist worker Najran Medical committee

Received: 17.08.2024 Revised: 12.09.2024 Accepted: 23.10.2024

**ABSTRACT**
Toxicological emergencies demand a coordinated, interdisciplinary approach to ensure effective prevention, diagnosis, and management. This review highlights the critical roles of health administration, emergency services, nursing, dentistry, and social work in addressing toxicological interactions and enhancing patient outcomes.Poisoning incidents, whether intentional or accidental, present a significant global health challenge, necessitating cohesive strategies for prevention, diagnosis, and management. Health administrators streamline toxicological services by implementing policies, integrating regulatory standards, and leveraging health information technologies such as electronic health records and decision-support systems. Emergency services stabilize patients and manage acute exposures through systematic approaches, while nurses contribute through continuous monitoring, education, and the application of decontamination techniques. Dentists provide critical insights into the oral manifestations of toxicity, often serving as early detectors of systemic exposures. Social workers address the psychosocial determinants, advocating for resource accessibility and long-term solutions.

**Keywords:** standards, psychosocial, Dentists, Emergency.

## INTRODUCTION

Poisoning refers to harm or impairment caused by toxic substances entering the body through ingestion, inhalation, injection, or skin contact. It can be intentional, such as in overdose or self-harm, or unintentional, like accidental toxic exposure (Kaswa, 2024). The Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study in 2019 reported that unintentional poisoning contributed to 0.14% of global deaths, whereas self-harm, often involving poisoning, accounted for 1.34% (Stephen, 2024). Acute poisoning impacts both children and adults differently. It is common in children aged 1-5 years due to curiosity and accidental exposure, while in adults, it often results from intentional acts like suicide or substance abuse. Poisoning is less common among children aged 6-12 but warrants careful psychological evaluation when it occurs (Watson et al., 2004). Management of poisoning focuses on immediate medical interventions like stabilizing airway, breathing, and circulation. Treatments include activated charcoal to limit toxin absorption, gastric lavage, or dialysis in severe cases. Preventative measures remain the most effective strategy to reduce incidents, as global trends suggest a rise in poisoning cases due to lifestyle and social behavior changes. Over three million poisoning incidents occur annually worldwide, resulting in over 250,000 deaths, with the majority in developing nations. Collaborative efforts are

crucial to reduce this burden through education, prevention, and effective clinical management strategies (Abebe et al., 2019).

Toxicological interaction analysis plays a critical role in healthcare, enabling the assessment of complex interactions between drugs, chemicals, and biological systems. Such analyses are crucial for understanding adverse drug reactions and optimizing safe therapeutic strategies (Davies et al., 2009). Beyond pharmaceuticals, environmental toxicants like pesticides, industrial chemicals, and pollutants significantly impact public health. These substances contribute to chronic diseases and acute toxicological emergencies, emphasizing the need for interdisciplinary approaches to address their long-term effects (Sokan-Adeaga et al., 2023). The scope of toxicological analysis extends beyond acute care. Prevention strategies—such as public education about chemical safety, proper medication use, and addressing environmental determinants—are essential for reducing toxic exposures in the long term (WHO, 2021).

Toxicological emergencies often require rapid, comprehensive responses involving multiple disciplines. For instance, emergency services stabilize patients and manage acute exposures, nurses provide continuous care and monitoring, dentists may detect toxic effects with oral manifestations, and social workers address underlying psychosocial and environmental factors. Effective coordination between these fields ensures seamless care delivery and better outcomes (Azharuddin et al., 2023).The complexity of toxicological cases—ranging from overdoses and chemical exposures to chronic environmental poisonings—demands a unified approach where each profession contributes its expertise. A lack of coordination can lead to delays, mismanagement, or incomplete care, underscoring the need for integrated workflows and communication systems (Bush et al., 2022).

This review aims to highlight how health administration fosters interdisciplinary coordination to improve toxicological interaction analysis. It investigates how administrative practices enhance collaboration among emergency services, nursing, dentistry, and social work, focusing on their roles in addressing toxicological crises. Furthermore, it examines how these coordinated efforts influence patient outcomes during toxicological emergencies, emphasizing the importance of integrated approaches in healthcare.

## 1. Health Administration in Toxicological Analysis

Healthcare administrators play a critical role in toxicological analysis by integrating healthcare policies, technology, and resources to ensure accurate and efficient operations. They manage administrative tasks such as compliance with health regulations, financial planning, and staff coordination, all of which are essential for maintaining high standards in toxicological services. By overseeing strategic planning and fostering clear communication, administrators align organizational goals with patient safety and care (Shelley, 2024). Their efforts enhance service quality and ensure toxicology aligns with broader healthcare objectives. Effective policies ensure standardized procedures for identifying, reporting, and managing toxicological cases. International guidelines, such as those established by the World Health Organization (WHO), serve as benchmarks for creating local and institutional policies (WHO, 2004). These policies often include protocols for toxicological screening, response times, and communication workflows, which are vital for managing emergencies and ensuring comprehensive care. In the United States, regulatory bodies like the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) also contribute by setting drug safety standards and requiring post-marketing surveillance of adverse drug reactions. Health administrators are responsible for integrating these regulatory guidelines into institutional protocols, ensuring compliance and minimizing risks associated with toxicological interactions (FDA, 2022). For example, the establishment of poison control networks across the country has been facilitated by administrative oversight, enabling centralized data collection and real-time responses to toxicological emergencies (WHO, 2022). These networks serve as critical infrastructure for monitoring and managing toxic exposures, providing a model for collaborative toxicological care.

Advances in health information technology have revolutionized toxicological analysis by improving data accessibility and accuracy. Electronic health records (EHRs) enable seamless documentation and sharing of patient information, including toxicology reports, medication histories, and environmental exposure records. Health administrators play a crucial role in selecting, implementing, and maintaining these systems, ensuring they meet the needs of all healthcare providers involved in toxicological care (Kohli & Tan, 2016).Specialized decision-support tools, such as those integrated into EHRs, have been developed to assist clinicians in identifying potential toxicological risks. For instance, algorithms that flag potential drug-drug interactions or contraindications can prevent harmful outcomes. Health administrators are responsible for training staff on the effective use of such tools and ensuring they are regularly updated to reflect the latest toxicological knowledge (Siddiqui, 2023). Another critical technological advancement is the development of poison control databases. These databases, maintained by organizations like the American Association of Poison Control Centers, provide real-time information on toxic substances, antidotes, and case management strategies (Gummin et al., 2021). The integration of these systems into hospital workflows, facilitated by health administrators, enhances the speed and accuracy of toxicological interventions.Health administrators ensure that resources—both human and material—are allocated effectively to address toxicological challenges. This involves budgeting for essential

tools, such as toxicology labs, antidotes, and training programs. For instance, the availability of naloxone in emergency departments and community settings has been prioritized as part of efforts to combat opioid overdoses, showcasing the role of health administration in resource distribution (Bohler et al., 2023).

## 2. Role of Emergency Services

Emergency department visits due to intentional and accidental overdoses are on the rise, posing significant diagnostic and treatment challenges. Emergency services are the first line of defense in toxicological crises (Liu et al., 2009). Managing intoxicated patients in emergency settings requires a structured approach focused on resuscitation, history-taking, physical examination, and treatment. Initial priorities include securing the airway, stabilizing breathing, and maintaining circulation (Aki et al., 2018). Ventilatory support may involve intubation if needed, and hypotension is treated with intravenous fluid boluses. If unresponsive to fluids, specific antidotes may be administered. For suspected opioid overdose, naloxone (0.1–2.0 mg IV) is given, alongside blood sugar evaluation and hypoglycemia management with dextrose (Erickson et al., 2007). Gathering a comprehensive history is crucial. If the patient cannot provide information, collateral sources such as family, friends, or medical records are essential. Details such as the time, route, quantity of exposure, and intent are critical. Evidence of missing medications or empty pill containers can offer vital clues. The physical examination provides further insights, assessing mental status, skin condition, pupil size, odors, and signs of drug abuse. Observations of clothing and belongings may reveal substances involved. Each detail aids in identifying toxidromes, making toxicology a cornerstone of emergency medicine. This systematic approach enhances the ability to diagnose and treat overdoses effectively, saving lives (Greene, 2016).

Decontaminating poisoned patients involves removing the toxin from the environment and the body, either externally or internally. External decontamination includes undressing the patient and thoroughly washing with water in an isolated area, especially for chemical, biological, or radiation exposures (Kumar et al., 2010). Internal methods focus on gastrointestinal decontamination or enhanced elimination techniques. Traditional methods like induced vomiting with ipecac syrup or gastric lavage are now rarely used due to limited effectiveness and increased risks. They may be considered only in alert patients within an hour of ingesting a significant amount of toxic substances, with exceptions for corrosive or hydrocarbon ingestions and unstable patients. Activated charcoal, a heated carbonaceous material, is more effective, especially when administered within the first hour of ingestion. However, it is ineffective for metals, alcohols, corrosives, and lithium (Silberman et al., 2018). Whole-bowel irrigation uses a polyethylene glycol solution to cleanse the gastrointestinal tract and is indicated for prolonged absorption toxins, sustained-release medications, or body packers (Deguigne et al., 2023). Enhanced elimination techniques, such as multiple-dose activated charcoal, hemodialysis, and hemofiltration, are reserved for severe toxicity or slow toxin clearance (Ghannoum & Gosselin, 2013).

## 3. Contributions of Nursing

Nurses hold a pivotal and multifaceted role in managing toxicological emergencies, applying their clinical expertise to ensure patient safety and recovery(Saad Hassan et al., 2021). They are central to direct patient care, focusing on stabilizing vital functions, administering treatments, and closely monitoring patients for subtle but critical changes in condition. Often the first healthcare professionals to assess poisoned patients, nurses prioritize the stabilization of airway, breathing, and circulation while conducting rapid and detailed assessments, including gathering patient histories and identifying exposure types to guide interventions(Abdallah, 2018). Their responsibilities extend to implementing decontamination procedures, such as gastric lavage or activated charcoal administration, and administering antidotes or other pharmacological therapies while diligently observing for adverse reactions to optimize outcomes. Beyond acute care, nurses play a key role in prevention by educating patients and families on safe practices, such as proper storage of hazardous materials and recognizing early signs of toxicity, significantly contributing to reducing the incidence of poisoning, especially in high-risk populations(Joda et al., 2021).

Nursing education programs increasingly emphasize the development of competencies in toxicology and emergency response. Hands-on training and simulation-based learning are recognized as effective strategies to enhance nurses' readiness for managing poisoning cases. Studies have shown that while many nurses exhibit strong practical skills, ongoing education is necessary to address gaps in knowledge, particularly regarding the management of complex toxicological scenarios (Saad Hassan et al., 2021). A 2020 study by Mohamed assessed nurses' knowledge, practices, and attitudes toward managing acute organophosphate poisoning using a descriptive exploratory design. Fifty nurses from Tanta University Hospital participated, with data collected via questionnaires, observational checklists, and attitude scales. Results revealed that 70% had inadequate knowledge, 54% displayed poor practices, and 84% exhibited negative attitudes toward care. A statistically significant link was found between knowledge, practice, and attitudes. The study recommended implementing targeted educational programs to enhance nurses' competency and attitudes in managing such cases, aiming to bridge gaps and improve overall care quality for affected patients(Mohamed, 2020).

Interdisciplinary coordination enables nurses to relay critical information to emergency teams, dentists, and social workers. This ensures that care plans are holistic and consider both immediate and long-term patient needs (Pope & Liu, 2022). Nurses also participate in patient education, helping individuals and families understand toxic risks and preventive measures. Nurses collaborate closely with physicians, pharmacists, and other healthcare professionals to develop and execute comprehensive care plans. Their insights into patient responses to treatment, informed by continuous monitoring, are invaluable for adjusting therapeutic approaches and ensuring optimal outcomes. For example, nurses' vigilance in identifying adverse drug reactions has been associated with improved patient safety in various toxicological contexts (Jordan et al., 2021).Generally, nurses' roles in toxicological case management are pivotal, encompassing patient stabilization, treatment delivery, prevention efforts, and education. Their contributions are supported by a combination of clinical expertise, specialized training, and multidisciplinary teamwork, all of which are essential for effective toxicological care. Continuous professional development and research into best practices remain critical to further enhancing their impact in this challenging and dynamic field(Fathy Khatab et al., 2021).

**4. Dentistry's Unique Perspective**

Dentists play an essential role in toxicological analysis, particularly in identifying oral and maxillofacial manifestations of systemic toxicity. Chronic exposure to heavy metals such as lead or mercury can present as oral symptoms, including gum discoloration, metallic taste, or ulcers, which may be overlooked by non-dental professionals (Balali-Mood et al., 2021). These signs often serve as early indicators of underlying toxic exposure, making dental evaluations critical for timely diagnosis and intervention. For example, Burton's lines, a bluish line along the gums, are characteristic of lead poisoning (Karami et al., 2024), while chronic mercury exposure can cause inflammation and oral ulcers (Haschek et al., 2023).In cases of substance abuse, dentists are well-positioned to identify oral health issues related to methamphetamine use or alcohol dependency (Teoh et al., 2019). Methamphetamine use, for example, leads to a condition known as "meth mouth," characterized by severe tooth decay, dry mouth (xerostomia), gum disease, and distinctive patterns of dental caries, often affecting the buccal smooth and interproximal surfaces of anterior teeth (De-Carolis et al., 2015). Additionally, these patients may present with bruxism, temporomandibular joint disorders, and malnutrition due to the appetite-suppressing effects of the drug (Teoh et al., 2019). Dentists can identify these symptoms during routine examinations and may provide early intervention by educating patients, recommending preventive measures like fluoride treatments, and referring them to substance abuse professionals for comprehensive care.In cases of alcohol dependency, dentists often encounter signs such as oral cancers, increased susceptibility to infections, and delayed wound healing due to compromised immune function and the dehydrating effects of alcohol on oral tissues. Alcohol misuse also exacerbates periodontal disease and leads to enamel erosion, primarily caused by acid reflux and vomiting common in chronic alcoholism (Priyanka et al., 2018). Screening for substance use during dental visits can serve as a gateway to addressing these issues, emphasizing the importance of integrating substance use assessments into standard dental practice.This proactive approach not only enhances patient care but also aligns with public health initiatives aimed at identifying and managing substance use disorders in various healthcare settings. By recognizing the oral manifestations of substance abuse, dentists contribute significantly to the broader effort to mitigate its health impacts and facilitate access to treatment resources. In general, dental professionals are uniquely positioned to detect these subtle changes during routine examinations, thereby contributing significantly to the broader toxicological assessment. Their findings can prompt further investigation into potential toxic exposure sources and inform multidisciplinary care plans. Moreover, integrating toxicology training into dental education could enhance diagnostic accuracy, allowing dentists to play a more proactive role in identifying systemic toxicities linked to oral health. This collaborative approach underscores the importance of the dental profession in bridging gaps between oral symptoms and systemic toxicology.

**5. The Role of Social Work**

Social workers play a critical role in addressing the broader social and environmental determinants of toxicological cases, including substance abuse, unsafe living environments, and occupational hazards. By addressing these root causes, they enhance the effectiveness of toxicological interaction analysis and improve patient outcomes. By bridging gaps in care and focusing on holistic support, social workers significantly enhance the effectiveness of interventions in toxicological cases. Their efforts help address not just the immediate health issues but also the underlying determinants, reducing the likelihood of recurrence and fostering long-term well-being **(Brady et al., 2013)**. Social workers contribute in several key ways;

**Connecting Patients with Resources:** They link individuals to community resources, rehabilitation programs, and educational initiatives to address issues like substance abuse and unsafe conditions **(Sistani et al., 2023)**. For instance, the New York State Department of Health's Post Overdose Response Teams (PORT) exemplify this by actively reaching out to overdose survivors, assessing their needs, and connecting them with addiction treatment, harm-reduction resources, and psychosocial support **(Lloyd et al., 2024)**.

**Case Management and Advocacy:** Social workers navigate complex systems to ensure clients have access to necessary resources such as healthcare, housing, and legal support. They advocate for policies that promote harm reduction and affordable treatment options for vulnerable populations **(Boehmer, 2024)**.

**Prevention and Education:** Social workers conduct preventive education campaigns and collaborate with healthcare teams to address systemic factors that contribute to recurring toxicological cases, such as socioeconomic instability and lack of healthcare access. These efforts help mitigate the risk of future incidents **(NASW, 2016)**.

**Psychosocial Support:** Post-crisis, social workers provide counseling and ongoing support to help individuals reintegrate into their communities and reduce the risk of relapse. This includes family support, therapeutic interventions, and crisis response planning **(Wells et al., 2013).**

**Post-Discharge Care for Toxicological Cases:** Social workers often bridge the gap between acute-care settings and community resources, ensuring continuity of care for patients discharged after overdoses or poisonings. They facilitate connections to addiction treatment centers, mental health services, and community support groups. By collaborating with healthcare teams, social workers improve patient engagement in treatment programs and reduce relapse risks **(Englander et al., 2019)**.

**Integration into Multidisciplinary Teams:** As part of multidisciplinary healthcare teams, social workers contribute to comprehensive care plans by addressing social determinants of health. They work alongside physicians and toxicologists to identify barriers such as housing instability, lack of transportation, or unemployment, which often exacerbate toxicological risks. This holistic approach ensures that patients receive personalized, sustainable care **(Tadic et al., 2020)**.

**Specialized Roles in Toxicology:** Depending on their settings, social workers may specialize in areas such as addiction counseling, community outreach, or case management for vulnerable populations. For instance, in emergency departments, they assist with crisis interventions and referrals, while in correctional facilities, they address the toxicological and behavioral health needs of incarcerated individuals **(Kim et al., 2016)**.

## 6. Case studies of successful policy implementation by interdisciplinary teams in addressing toxicological emergencies

### 6.1. Case Study 1: The Opioid Overdose Response Policy in the United States

The opioid epidemic in the United States has prompted significant policy reforms to mitigate overdose-related deaths, with interdisciplinary teams playing a pivotal role in managing toxicological emergencies. A cornerstone of these efforts is the widespread distribution of naloxone, an opioid antagonist that rapidly reverses the effects of overdoses (Smart & Davis, 2021). Naloxone distribution programs have been expanded through emergency medical services, pharmacies, and community-based organizations. This approach has enabled broader access, including over-the-counter availability in all 50 states (NDP, 2024). Educational initiatives complement this by equipping bystanders and non-medical personnel with the skills to administer naloxone, potentially saving lives in critical situations. Programs like the CDC's Overdose Education and Naloxone Distribution (OEND) further enhance public awareness and accessibility, addressing structural barriers that may hinder timely intervention (Dukes, 2024). Interdisciplinary collaboration—encompassing healthcare providers, public health agencies, and community organizations—is crucial for managing such emergencies. Teams work collectively to bridge gaps in overdose prevention, integrating tools like naloxone with addiction treatment and harm reduction strategies to address the underlying causes of opioid misuse. These collaborative efforts demonstrate how coordinated action can enhance the effectiveness of toxicological interventions and reduce mortality rates (Hager et al., 2019).

### 6.2. Case Study 2: Pesticide Poisoning Management in Sri Lanka

Sri Lanka faced a public health crisis in the 1990s due to widespread pesticide poisoning, which accounted for a significant number of deaths, particularly in rural areas (Van Der Hoek et al., 1998). In response, the government implemented the National Pesticide Poisoning Management Program, focusing on restricting access to highly toxic pesticides and improving the management of poisoning cases in healthcare facilities (Gunnell et al., 2007).Health administrators were central to the program's success. They collaborated with agricultural authorities to regulate pesticide availability and trained healthcare providers on identifying and treating pesticide poisoning. Additionally, the National Poisons Information Centre (NPIC) in Colombo, Sri Lanka, was established on 1988. For over three decades, the NPIC has provided critical toxicology-related services across Sri Lanka, functioning 24/7 to assist in poison-related emergencies and improve public health outcomes.The center has become a model for similar institutions in the region and continues to play a vital role in addressing acute poisoning challenges in Sri Lanka (Fernando, 2002). The results were transformative: pesticide-related deaths declined by 50% within a decade of the program's implementation. This case demonstrates how health administration can bridge public health policies with clinical toxicology to achieve measurable outcomes (Gunnell et al., 2007).

### 6.3. Case Study 3: Electronic Drug Interaction Alerts in Canada

Canada has been a leader in using technology to enhance medication safety, particularly by integrating electronic drug interaction alert systems into electronic health records (EHRs). A key development occurred in 2015 when nationwide policies encouraged hospitals and clinics to adopt clinical decision-support systems (CDSS) to flag potential drug-drug interactions (DDIs) in real time. These systems analyze patient data within EHRs and provide immediate alerts to healthcare providers about possible adverse interactions, enabling more informed prescribing decisions (Smolina et al., 2016). Health administrators oversaw the rollout of these systems, ensuring that all healthcare providers received adequate training and that the software was tailored to meet the needs of specific clinical settings. The policy also required regular updates to the drug interaction database, which health administrators coordinated with pharmacological experts **(Dahri et al., 2023)**.

### 6.4. Case Study 4: Alcohol Intoxication Management in Scotland

Scotland's Alcohol Brief Intervention (ABI) policy aimed to reduce alcohol-related harm by integrating screening and intervention protocols into primary and emergency care settings. Health administrators coordinated with social workers, emergency care providers, and public health agencies to implement this initiative (Scottish Government, 2015). Under this policy, healthcare providers were trained to conduct brief interventions with patients at risk of alcohol misuse, focusing on education and referral to support services. Simultaneously, emergency departments introduced protocols for managing acute alcohol intoxication cases, emphasizing safe and effective toxicological care.

## CONCLUSION

The integration of multiple disciplines underlines the necessity of collaborative frameworks to tackle the growing complexity of toxicological cases, from drug overdoses to environmental poisonings. By combining their expertise, healthcare professionals can address both immediate and long-term toxicological challenges, improving patient safety and healthcare outcomes. Future efforts should focus on strengthening interdisciplinary coordination, expanding access to education and resources, and leveraging technological advancements to enhance toxicological interaction analysis. This comprehensive and collaborative approach is essential to meet the demands of modern toxicological care and reduce the global burden of poisoning.

## REFERENCES

1. Abdallah, D.M., 2018. Assessment of Nurses Knowledge Regarding Initial Management of Poisoning Among Children Under 5 Years in Alamal National Hospital (December 2017 to April 2018) (Doctoral dissertation, LimyaEltyeb Alhadi).
2. Abebe, A.M., Kassaw, M.W. and Shewangashaw, N.E., 2019. Assessment of knowledge and practice of nurses on initial management of acute poisoning in Dessie referral hospital Amhara region, Ethiopia, 2018. BMC nursing, 18(1), p.60.
3. Aki, E.S., Salem, W.A. and Alessai, J., 2018. Toxicology in Emergency Medicine. In Essentials of Accident and Emergency Medicine. IntechOpen.
4. Azharuddin, S., Ogbebor, O., Shuster, M., Smith, B., Arshad, H. and Cheema, T., 2023. Toxicological emergencies. Critical Care Nursing Quarterly, 46(1), pp.82-99.
5. Balali-Mood, M., Naseri, K., Tahergorabi, Z., Khazdair, M.R. and Sadeghi, M., 2021. Toxic mechanisms of five heavy metals: mercury, lead, chromium, cadmium, and arsenic. Frontiers in pharmacology, 12, p.643972.
6. Boehmer, L. (2024, June 12). The role of social workers in treating substance use disorder. Adelphi University Online. https://online.adelphi.edu/articles/role-of-substance-abuse-social-workers/
7. Bohler, R.M., Freeman, P.R., Villani, J., Hunt, T., Linas, B.S., Walley, A.Y., Green, T.C., Lofwall, M.R., Bridden, C., Frazier, L.A. and Fanucchi, L.C., 2023. The policy landscape for naloxone distribution in four states highly impacted by fatal opioid overdoses. Drug and alcohol dependence reports, 6, p.100126.
8. Brady, K.T., Haynes, L.F., Hartwell, K.J. and Killeen, T.K., 2013. Substance use disorders and anxiety: a treatment challenge for social workers. Social work in public health, 28(3-4), pp.407-423.
9. Bush, B., Cheema, N., Frost, A. and Ahn, J., 2021. Identifying the gaps: needs Assessment to Guide Development of a dedicated Toxicology Curriculum for Emergency Medicine residents. Journal of Medical Toxicology, 17, pp.271-277.
10. Dahri, K., Araujo, L., Chen, S., Bagri, H., Walia, K., Lau, L. and Legal, M., 2023. Community pharmacist perceptions of drug–drug interactions. Canadian Pharmacists Journal/Revue des Pharmaciens du Canada, 156(1), pp.42-47.
11. Davies, E.C., Green, C.F., Taylor, S., Williamson, P.R., Mottram, D.R. and Pirmohamed, M., 2009. Adverse drug reactions in hospital in-patients: a prospective analysis of 3695 patient-episodes. PLoS one, 4(2), p.e4439.
12. De-Carolis, C., Boyd, G.A., Mancinelli, L., Pagano, S. and Eramo, S., 2015. Methamphetamine abuse and “meth mouth” in Europe. Medicina oral, patologia oral y cirugiabucal, 20(2), p.e205.

13. Deguigne, M., Legeay, M., Scholastique, A.S., Chauveau, P. and Descatha, A., 2023. Whole-bowel irrigation in cases of poisoning: a retrospective multicentre study of feasibility, tolerability, and effectiveness. Australian Critical Care, 36(3), pp.298-306.
14. Dukes, T., 2024. Prevention to Protection: Strategies for Naloxone Distribution and Overdose Education in a Community Shelter.
15. Englander, H., Dobbertin, K., Lind, B.K., Nicolaidis, C., Graven, P., Dorfman, C. and Korthuis, P.T., 2019. Inpatient addiction medicine consultation and post-hospital substance use disorder treatment engagement: a propensity-matched analysis. Journal of general internal medicine, 34, pp.2796-2803.
16. Erickson, T.B., Thompson, T.M. and Lu, J.J., 2007. The approach to the patient with an unknown overdose. Emergency medicine clinics of North America, 25(2), pp.249-281.
17. Fathy Khatab, R., Abd Elaty Ahmed, O., Elsayed Mahdy, N. and Faltas Marzouk Faltas, S., 2024. Practice of Nurses Caring for Patients with Acute Poisoning in Emergency Unit: Effect of Educational Guidelines. Egyptian Journal of Health Care, 15(2), pp.993-1002.
18. FDA (Food and Drug Administration), (2022). Postmarket Surveillance under Section 522 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act; Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff. https://2u.pw/h8K6CoW4
19. Fernando, R., 2002. The national poisons information centre in Sri Lanka: the first ten years. Journal of Toxicology: Clinical Toxicology, 40(5), pp.551-555.
20. Ghannoum, M. and Gosselin, S., 2013. Enhanced poison elimination in critical care. Advances in chronic kidney disease, 20(1), pp.94-101.
21. Greene, S., 2016. General management of poisoned patients. Tintinalli's Emergency Medicine: A Comprehensive Study Guide. 8e ed. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
22. Gummin, D.D., Mowry, J.B., Beuhler, M.C., Spyker, D.A., Bronstein, A.C., Rivers, L.J., Pham, N.P. and Weber, J., 2021. 2020 annual report of the American association of poison control centers' national poison data system (NPDS): 38th annual report. Clinical toxicology, 59(12), pp.1282-1501.
23. Gunnell, D., Fernando, R., Hewagama, M., Priyangika, W.D.D., Konradsen, F. and Eddleston, M., 2007. The impact of pesticide regulations on suicide in Sri Lanka. International journal of epidemiology, 36(6), pp.1235-1242.
24. Hager, K.D., Blue, H.L., Zhang, L. and Palombi, L.C., 2019. OPIOIDS: cultivating interprofessional collaboration to find solutions to public health problems. Journal of interprofessional care, 33(1), pp.120-124.
25. Haschek, W.M., Rousseaux, C.G., Wallig, M.A. and Bolon, B. eds., 2023. Haschek and Rousseaux's Handbook of Toxicologic Pathology, Volume 3: Environmental Toxicologic Pathology and Major Toxicant Classes. Elsevier.
26. Joda, A., Ajetunmobi, O. and Olugbake, O., 2021. Poisoning and its Management in Healthcare Facilities in Lagos State, Nigeria. Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Research.
27. Jordan, S., Logan, V., Turner, A. and Hughes, D., 2021. Using nurse-led patient monitoring to avoid medicines-related harm. Nursing Standard.
28. Karami, M., Mohammed, L.M., Dehghan, S.F., Hashemi, S.S. and Baiee, H., 2024. Burton's Line on the Gum Seen in Lead Poisoning Among Petroleum Refinery Workers in Kirkuk City, Iraq: A Case Series. Cureus, 16(5).
29. Kaswa, R., 2024. An approach to the management of acute poisoning in emergency settings. South African Family Practice, 66(1), pp.1-5.
30. Kim, K., Choi, J.S., Choi, E., Nieman, C.L., Joo, J.H., Lin, F.R., Gitlin, L.N. and Han, H.R., 2016. Effects of community-based health worker interventions to improve chronic disease management and care among vulnerable populations: a systematic review. American journal of public health, 106(4), pp.e3-e28.
31. Kohli, R. and Tan, S.S.L., 2016. Electronic health records. Mis Quarterly, 40(3), pp.553-574.
32. Kumar, V., Goel, R., Chawla, R., Silambarasan, M. and Sharma, R.K., 2010. Chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear decontamination: Recent trends and future perspective. Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences, 2(3), pp.220-238.
33. Liu, Q., Zhou, L., Zheng, N., Zhuo, L., Liu, Y. and Liu, L., 2009. Poisoning deaths in China: type and prevalence detected at the Tongji Forensic Medical Center in Hubei. Forensic science international, 193(1-3), pp.88-94.
34. Lloyd, D., George, N., Grove, D., Goldberg, R. and Clear, A., 2024. How post overdose response efforts can address social determinants of health among people who use drugs: perspectives from the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) Post Overdose Response Team (PORT). Harm Reduction Journal, 21(1), p.180.
35. Mohamed, S.H.A., 2020. Nurses Performance for Patient with Acute Organophosphate Poisoning (Doctoral dissertation, Ain Shams University).

36. NASW (National Association of Social Workers), 2016. Standards for Social Work Practice in Health Care Settings. (n.d.). https://2u.pw/hP3AYB7
37. NDP (Naloxone Distribution Programs). (2024, September 26). Overdose Resource Exchange (ORE). https://www.cdc.gov/overdose-resources/php/files/naloxone-distribution-programs.html
38. Pope, C.N. and Liu, J. eds., 2020. An Introduction to Interdisciplinary Toxicology: From Molecules to Man. Academic Press.
39. Priyanka, K., Sudhir, K.M., Reddy, V.C.S., Kumar, R.K. and Srinivasulu, G., 2017. Impact of alcohol dependency on oral health–a cross-sectional comparative study. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR, 11(6), p.ZC43.
40. Saad Hassan, S.S., Abo El-Ata, A.B. and Abdu El-kader, H.M., 2021. Critical Care Nurses'knowledge And Practices About Toxicological Emergencies. Port Said Scientific Journal of Nursing, 8(3), pp.68-83.
41. Scottish Government, 2015. Local delivery plan standard: alcohol brief interventions. national guidance: 2015-16.
42. Shelley, S. (2024, May 24). Exploring the role of healthcare administrators in modern healthcare systems. University of the Cumberlands. https://www.ucumberlands.edu/blog/exploring-the-role-of-healthcare-administrators
43. Siddiqui, D.E.S., 2023. Role of healthcare professionals in drug-drug interactions and clinical interventions. European Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, (1), pp.81-89.
44. Silberman, J., Galuska, M.A. and Taylor, A., 2018. Activated charcoa
45. Sistani, F., de Bittner, M.R. and Shaya, F.T., 2023. Social determinants of health, substance use, and drug overdose prevention. Journal of the American Pharmacists Association, 63(2), pp.628-632.
46. Smart, R. and Davis, C.S., 2021. Reducing opioid overdose deaths by expanding naloxone distribution and addressing structural barriers to care. American Journal of Public Health, 111(8), pp.1382-1384.
47. Smolina, K., Persaud, N. and Morgan, S.G., 2016. Toward better prescription drug surveillance in Canada. CMAJ, 188(11), pp.E252-E253.
48. Sokan-Adeaga, A.A., Sokan-Adeaga, M.A., Sokan-Adeaga, E.D., Oparaji, A.N., Edris, H., Tella, E.O., Balogun, F.A., Aledeh, M. and Amubieya, O.E., 2023. Environmental toxicants and health adversities: A review on interventions of phytochemicals. Journal of Public Health Research, 12(2), p.22799036231181226.
49. Stephen C. Human poisoning in South Africa - the knowledge gap. South Afr J Crit Care. 2021 Mar 17;37(1):10.7196/SAJCC.2021.v37i1.493. doi: 10.7196/SAJCC.2021.v37i1.493.
50. Tadic V, Ashcroft R, Brown JB, Dahrouge S. The Role of Social Workers in Interprofessional Primary Healthcare Teams. Healthc Policy. 2020 Aug;16(1):27-42. doi: 10.12927/hcpol.2020.26292. PMID: 32813638; PMCID: PMC7435073.
51. Teoh, L., Moses, G. and McCullough, M.J., 2019. Oral manifestations of illicit drug use. Australian dental journal, 64(3), pp.213-222.
52. Van Der Hoek, W., Konradsen, F., Athukorala, K. and Wanigadewa, T., 1998. Pesticide poisoning: a major health problem in Sri Lanka. Social science & medicine, 46(4-5), pp.495-504.
53. Watson, W.A., Litovitz, T.L., Klein-Schwartz, W., Rodgers Jr, G.C., Youniss, J., Reid, N., Rouse, W.G., Rembert, R.S. and Borys, D., 2004. 2003 annual report of the American association of poison control centers toxic exposure surveillance system. The American journal of emergency medicine, 22(5), pp.335-404.
54. Wells, E.A., Kristman-Valente, A.N., Peavy, K.M. and Jackson, T.R., 2013. Social workers and delivery of evidence-based psychosocial treatments for substance use disorders. Social Work in Public Health, 28(3-4), pp.279-301.
55. WHO (World Health Organization), 2004. Guidelines on the prevention of toxic exposures: education and public awareness activities. In Guidelines on the prevention of toxic exposures: education and public awareness activities (pp. xv-99).
56. WHO (World Health Organization), 2022. Guidelines for establishing a poison centre. https://2u.pw/2rQVKAgR
57. WHO, Chemical Safety and Health Unit (CHE). (2021, December 8). Human Health Risk Assessment Toolkit: Chemical Hazards, second edition. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240035720