

Interdisciplinary Approaches to Health: The Role of Nutrition, Radiology, Sociology, and Laboratory Science in Comprehensive Patient Care

Mansour Saleh Alduhami¹, Abdullah Sulaiman Almuzaini², Abdulsalam Saleh Alduhami³, Khalid Saleh Alduhami⁴, Hamza Soliman Alghufily⁵, Abdulmonem Mohammed Alduhami⁶, Mohammed Saleh Alduhami⁷, Abdullah Nasser Alrumayh⁸

¹Clinical Nutrition, Alrass General Hospital

²Radiological Technology, Alrass General Hospital

³Technician Laboratory, Alrass General Hospital

⁴Technician Laboratory, Alrass General Hospital

⁵Laboratory Specialist, Alrass General Hospital

⁶Specialist Sociology, Alrass General Hospital

⁷Radiological Technology, Alrass General Hospital, Alrass, Saudi Arabia.

⁸Clinical Nutrition, Qassim Health Cluster, Qassim, Saudi Arabia

Received: 18.08.2024

Revised: 26.09.2024

Accepted: 23.10.2024

ABSTRACT

The complexity of today's healthcare systems apparently urges a team-oriented and interdisciplinary approach to patient care. Modern medical science has come to realize that the needs of the patient transcend the boundaries of an isolated intervention on the part of specialists. Each one of these disciplines-nutrition, radiology, sociology, laboratory science-all provide something unique and irreplaceable that allows them to attain a comprehensive overview of health within all its biological, psychological, and social dimensions. The following paper attempts to discuss the four major roles these disciplines play in the delivery of comprehensive, patient-centered healthcare. Nutritional sciences provide a foundation for physical health; the sum of diet plays an important role in the prevention of chronic diseases and in many recovery processes. Meanwhile, radiology provides much-needed diagnostic capability: the ability to visualize internal conditions and disease processes and/or their progression is critical to diagnosis and treatment. While sociology provides awareness of the social determinants, it realizes the socioeconomic backgrounds and varying cultural influences and access to health care services in major influencers in patients' behaviors and health outcomes and their ability to adhere to treatment plans. Laboratory science, with accurate diagnostic testing, allows for personalized care along with the monitoring of disease markers through specific biochemical information. This paper highlights the contribution of each discipline and, therefore, stands in support of an integrated, holistic approach for better patient outcomes and improvements in the patient experience. All these fields combined together weave a necessary, smooth integration of health professionals into a sophisticated understanding of the patient needs beyond those of symptomatic and diagnostic consideration. Combined, these perspectives enable more tailor-made care and have the potential to lead healthcare systems toward treatment that is not only medically appropriate but also compassionate and responsive to a patient's life situation.

Keywords: capability, treatment, symptomatic, situation.

INTRODUCTION

Traditional medicine has often been delivered within a silo approach where the expert in nutrition-the dietitian-concerns himself with nutrition issues alone; the radiologist, with imaging; the sociologist, with social contexts; and the laboratory scientist, with diagnostic tests. Each of these disciplines brings a certain unique and important value to the care of patients. However, this silo approach often misses the interconnectivity of health concerns and results in missed opportunities for improved patient outcomes. Current healthcare challenges are increasingly complex; the interdisciplinary models that bring together various specializations to address the health/disease multidimensionality are generally being recognized. (1,2)

An interdisciplinary approach knits such diversified fields as nutrition, radiology, sociology, and laboratory science into one, facilitating interaction among professionals in the clinical management process. This collaborative model facilitates an integrated patient assessment that considers physical, psychological, and

social determinants of health for a more effective process of care in order to meet individual needs. This paper discusses the contribution of nutrition, radiology, sociology, and laboratory science in relation to patient care, underlining the unique contribution that each discipline brings into the elaboration of a comprehensive model of cohesive and holistic care that finally improves health outcomes. (3)

Nutritional, preventive, and therapeutic health forms the very foundation of recovery, management of chronic diseases, as well as overall wellbeing. Symptom management and physical functioning, as well as immune tolerance, can relate to personalized nutrition best on a patient. An example will be an oncologist working with a nutritionist in an aim to help patients who suffer from malnutrition due to side effects associated with chemotherapy such as nausea and poor appetite. (1)

Also, this integrated model takes into consideration the drug-nutrient interactions-for example, vitamin K interacting with blood thinners-in which the expertise of dietitians and pharmacists has to come forward. It is by this synergy that nutrition interventions can be precisely fitted according to the patient's medical context and complications minimized, enhancing recovery. (4,5)

Radiology assists diagnosis by means of visualizing internal conditions that are not easily detected by physical examination. The imaging encompasses X-rays, MRI, CT scans, and ultrasound, all of which are very vital in the diagnosis of a myriad of diseases, starting from fractures up to internal conditions. As an example, in cancer treatment, radiology renders staging of tumors more accurate, while monitoring response to various modes of treatment thus guides further treatment. In that respect, because imaging science is most often practiced in collaboration with other specialists, radiologists are confident that imaging will help foster accurate diagnosis and treatment planning and contribute to better evidence-based decision-making for health teams. (6)

Wherein it focuses on those aspects of society that influence health: socio-economic status, attitudes, education, and access to a variety of resources. Indeed, sociologists and social workers bring very crucial knowledge about the social contexts of patients, barriers they face regarding access to health care, and interventions within the community. In low-income communities, such a patient may observe that apparently available means of access to healthy nutrition, available healthcare facilities, or proper health education all turn out in the process of being an obstacle, making him most vulnerable to chronic diseases such as diabetes or hypertension. (2,3)

A sociological approach to practice enables health teams to implement plans that cater to the needs and considerations of patients with specific circumstances, thus ensuring care that is much fairer and more effective. More importantly, social determinants inform the development of community health initiatives aimed at enhancing not only disease prevention but also general well-being, thereby making the system of health responsive to various populations. (7)

Laboratory medicine plays a major role in diagnosis, monitoring, and personalized medicine in that it warrants the clinical laboratory to provide important data to guide treatment decisions. The inclusion of blood analysis and genetic testing in laboratory tests allows for the identification of a disease, assessment of treatment, or monitoring of the progress of the disease. (7)

For instance, with the advances in genomics, laboratory scientists are able to help in personalizing care by identifying genetic predispositions and making treatments accordingly. A patient suffering from diabetes is in constant need of regular laboratory tests so that treatment plans could be modified with changing levels of blood glucose to avoid complications and hence improve the quality of life. It is further honed in collaboration with other specialists to ensure that treatments are infinitely tailored for individual needs. (8,9)

Such a broad model of care would come to include a care team in nutrition, radiology, sociology, laboratory science-all with various levels of expertise. This broadens communications and enhances coordination, affording an expanded vision of the health needs for each patient. In diabetes, for example, a patient benefits from having dietitians for meal planning, radiologists who ensure complications are caught early, social workers to tackle lifestyle difficulties, and laboratory scientists who help monitor the important biomarkers of the disease. Only this level of organized care could consider the whole patient-addressing the physical, psychological, and social dimensions of health. Ultimately, interdisciplinary care is not just a fleeting fad but an organic growth in health care to meet the ever-growing demand for treatments that are both patient-centered and tailored, reflecting that all health factors are interconnected. (10)

Nutrition: The Foundation of Health

Nutrition is of prime importance in the prevention and management of many health conditions. A healthy diet supports all basic functions of the body, including immunity, and is therefore important for the prevention of chronic diseases, such as obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular conditions, and certain cancers. Proper nutrition goes beyond dietary choices; it is inextricably linked with the ability of patients to recover from disease, tolerate treatments, and sustain long-term health. Those whose immune systems are already compromised, either through chemotherapy or recovery from surgery, can particularly be served with food targeted at accelerating recovery, minimizing complications, and improving quality of life. (11)

A multidisciplinary approach to health as a team can include nutritionists and doctors, which would help patients benefit with an approach to nutritional needs. For example, cancer patients receiving chemotherapy are in

danger of malnutrition resulting from the side effects related to nausea, vomiting, and loss of appetite. In this case, the nutritionist will be able to suggest a specialized diet that can enhance a patient's immune system in order to minimize negative side effects from a treatment perspective and improve natural body healing. Knowledge of how nutrition interacts with medication could be critical in the same way. Vitamin K interacts with anticoagulant medications, and nutritional planning will be highly relevant to patients on blood thinners. Woven into the model of care, nutrition allows providers to manage some very complex conditions and to match diet to the needs of the patient closely. Treatment is far more effective. (12-14)

Imaging: Diagnostic Power and Decision-Making

It is the very heart of radiology in diagnostics that provides unique insights into the structures within the body through several different imaging modalities, including X-rays, MRI, CT scans, and ultrasounds. These various tools facilitate pinpoint accurate diagnosis, permit observation of disease through its course, and aid in the formulation of appropriate treatment plans. Radiologists play an important role in an interdisciplinary care team to interpret imaging that informs clinical decisions and supports the early detection of conditions when physical signs and symptoms may not be readily evident. (6,15)

In oncology, radiology is a critical modality to the staging of cancer, measurement of tumor size, and assessment of disease spread to appropriate surgical and treatment interventions. Radiologists work hand-in-hand with oncologists and surgeons, hence in a large way optimizing patient outcomes by way of appropriate diagnosis and smooth pathways of care. In orthopedic cases, the radiology helps in ascertaining whether there are any fractures or degenerative changes that would limit mobility and affect the quality of life. Further, if appropriately interlinked, health professionals create a cohesive and efficient treatment plan for diagnostic accuracy and optimization of patient care. (16,17)

Sociology: Understanding Social Determinants of Health

Sociology has a distinctive role to play in terms of health in highlighting how the major determinants of health problems—socioeconomic status, educational attainment, cultural orientation, and access to health care, among others—are closely linked to health outcomes. Social context, therefore, forms an important contribution to the appropriate and quality level of care, as these factors would ensure the health behaviors, use of health resources, and prospectively the health course are gauged. The consideration of social determinants, especially when vulnerable populations are most often affected, becomes particularly critical. (7)

These are the social determinants that social workers, psychologists, and sociologists identify and address in healthcare. For example, a patient who lives at a low-income level may experience decreased access to healthy nutrition, lack of health education, and limited access to health services, rendering this individual more vulnerable to chronic diseases such as diabetes and hypertension. Interdisciplinary care, informed by sociological insights, can help overcome such barriers as transportation issues, social isolation, or systemic discrimination. Knowledge of the social environment within which each patient lives, the health team will better design effective individualized treatment to maximize long-term health and reduce health disparities. (18)

Sociological insights are a very important basis on which interventions for prevention are designed at the community level in the area of public health. For example, by studying trends and social determinants, sociologists can contribute to the delineation of specific programs aimed at reducing disease prevalence and enhancing general public health outcomes. Recognition of the social dimensions of health is integral to creating a health system responsive to diverse population needs and committed to the reduction of healthcare inequities. (19)

Laboratory Science: Diagnostic Precision and Personalized Medicine

Laboratory science supports diagnostic precision and the development of personalized medicine, from routine blood and urine analyses to complex genetic testing. Laboratory testing plays an important role in diagnosing disease cause, monitoring the progress of a particular disease condition, as well as assessing treatment outcomes. Because of recent technological advancement in laboratory sciences such as genomics and proteomics, treatments can now be provided targeted at very precise function and the functions can be tailored to individual patients. (20)

The laboratory scientist works with a clinician to interpret the results of the tests so that treatment plans can be tailored for each individual patient. Genetic testing enables the identification of at-risk individuals who have predispositions toward specific diseases and, therefore, permits early intervention and preventive care. Laboratory monitoring is also critical in the management of most chronic diseases; for example, diabetic patients depend on frequent blood glucose measurements to determine appropriate adjustments in treatment. Laboratory science enables the monitoring of a patient in real time and makes care adjustments based on the data provided, which advances the efficacy of treatments and matches interventions to the individual patient's current health status. (21,22)

The diagnosis and treatment of infectious diseases are based on several laboratory tests: blood cultures, various tests to detect nucleic acid, antimicrobial susceptibility profiles. In outbreaks, the rapid and accurate diagnosis is important for the control of the outbreaks, prevention of the spread of disease, and appropriate therapy. The science of the laboratory not only sustains individual patient care but also contributes to the initiatives of public health through the provision of data that is important in epidemiological surveillance and the management of outbreaks. (23)

Integration of Disciplines toward Comprehensive Care

An integrated model of care puts nutrition, radiology, sociology, and laboratory science under one roof in a way that provides a complete picture of the health needs of the individual. Interdisciplinary collaboration requires good communication and a shared commitment to the value of each discipline's contribution to patient care. It is within this model that physician-led healthcare teams, including dietitians, social workers, radiologists, and laboratory scientists, come together in the formulation of personalized plans of care which address the biological, psychological, and social components of health. (1,24)

Specialized care, for example, for a diabetic patient requires many experts: a dietitian who will come up with an appropriate meal package, a radiologist to follow up on signs and symptoms that may suggest other complications such as cardiovascular disease, a social worker who helps the patient overcome various social or economic obstacles impeding adequate care, and a laboratory scientist in charge of blood sugar levels and implementing treatments for adjusting them. Each of these specialists contributes one important element toward the care of the patient, making sure that all aspects of health—physical, social, and mental—are adequately covered. (25)

The benefits of such an interdisciplinary approach spill over well beyond the realm of individual patient care, further fostering a health system that is adaptive, more efficient, and responsive to the complexities of modern health problems. Such a model of care allows for nutrition, radiology, sociology, and laboratory science to come together to help find comprehensive strategies for the prevention of disease, early diagnosis, and personalized treatment. The synergy enables each patient's care need to be approached from his or her specific health requirement to wider social and personal factors contributing to such a health journey. (26)

This can be seen to mean that integration for such a purpose allows for a patient-centered approach, embracing collaboration over isolation in recognition of the transitive nature of health. Recognizing each field's role in the continuum of care, providers can strive toward elevating the level of care to improve patient outcomes and address broader health determinants in a way that promotes equitable access to high-quality health care. As the healthcare landscape continues to change, it is these interdisciplinary models that stand out as a beacon for innovative, holistic care, attuned to a diversity of needs among today's patients. (27-30)

CONCLUSION

Indeed, an interdisciplinary approach to health care is the much-needed evolution in the ways of designing and providing a medical service-need mechanism, as the challenges posed by modern medicine grow with each passing day. This model does not relate to adding a number of disciplines within a healthcare team; rather, it is a question of culture—the collaboration of five or more perspectives and specialties in harmony. A healthcare professional integrates fields such as nutrition, radiology, sociology, and laboratory science to create a model that is truly patient-centered, flexible, and attentive to the multiple dimensions of health. Nutrition delivers personalized dietary assistance to help prevent disease, recover from illness, and complement treatment plans for diseases in which nutritional needs have an impact on the disease outcome, such as diabetes and cancer. Radiology involves various imaging capabilities that are necessary for diagnosis, staging of disease, and real-time monitoring, all of which bear importance in making informed decisions and timely interventions. Sociology contributes an understanding of the social determinants of health and how socio-economic status, access to resources, and cultural factors influence health outcomes and accessibility of care, especially in vulnerable populations. Whereas laboratory science introduces yet another dimension, offering specific diagnostic information that forms the very basis on which disease processes can be followed, treatments modified, and personalized medicine opened. These interrelate in synergy, creating a complete picture for each individual patient: a continuum of biological, social, and environmental perspectives. This is much more than improving patient outcomes; it is an approach that advances equity by meeting the patient where they live, with consideration of their specific needs and burdens. As health systems continue their evolution through changing times, embracing interprofessional collaboration will be essential to quality, efficient care that meets the needs of both individuals and populations. This makes the health landscape resilient, responsive, and in a better position to handle increasing pressures that are constantly shifting towards patient care.

REFERENCES

1. Bendowska, A., & Baum, E. (2023). The Significance of Cooperation in Interdisciplinary Health Care Teams as Perceived by Polish Medical Students. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 20(2), 954. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20020954>
2. Smye, S. W., & Frangi, A. F. (2021). Interdisciplinary research: shaping the healthcare of the future. *Future healthcare journal*, 8(2), e218–e223. <https://doi.org/10.7861/fhj.2021-0025>
3. Mitchell, P. (2012). Core principles & values of effective team-based health care.
4. Kesari A, Noel JY. Nutritional Assessment. [Updated 2023 Apr 10]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK580496/>
5. Slawson, D. L., Fitzgerald, N., & Morgan, K. T. (2013). Position of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics: the role of nutrition in health promotion and chronic disease prevention. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 113(7), 972–979. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2013.05.005>
6. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Health and Medicine Division; Board on Health Care Services; Committee on Identifying New or Improved Diagnostic or Evaluative Techniques. *Advances in the Diagnosis and Evaluation of Disabling Physical Health Conditions*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2023 May 1. 3, Overview of Selected Techniques for Diagnosing and Evaluating Patients. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK593686/>
7. Braveman, P., & Gottlieb, L. (2014). The social determinants of health: it's time to consider the causes of the causes. *Public health reports (Washington, D.C. : 1974)*, 129 Suppl 2(Suppl 2), 19–31. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00333549141291S206>
8. Goetz, L. H., & Schork, N. J. (2018). Personalized medicine: motivation, challenges, and progress. *Fertility and sterility*, 109(6), 952–963. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2018.05.006>
9. Bayot ML, Lopes JE, Zubair M, et al. Clinical Laboratory. [Updated 2024 Jan 26]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK535358/>
10. Jacobs, J., Dougherty, A., McCarn, B., Saiyed, N. S., Ignoffo, S., Wagener, C., Miguel, C. S., & Martinez, L. (2024). Impact of a multi-disciplinary team-based care model for patients living with diabetes on health outcomes: a mixed-methods study. *BMC health services research*, 24(1), 746. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-11062-4>
11. Gropper S. S. (2023). The Role of Nutrition in Chronic Disease. *Nutrients*, 15(3), 664. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu15030664>
12. PDQ Supportive and Palliative Care Editorial Board. Nutrition in Cancer Care (PDQ®): Health Professional Version. 2017 Apr 19. In: PDQ Cancer Information Summaries [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Cancer Institute (US); 2002-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK65854.3/>
13. Parsons, H. M., Forte, M. L., Abdi, H. I., Brandt, S., Claussen, A. M., Wilt, T., Klein, M., Ester, E., Landsteiner, A., Shaikut, A., Sibley, S. S., Slavin, J., Sowerby, C., Ng, W., & Butler, M. (2023). Nutrition as prevention for improved cancer health outcomes: a systematic literature review. *JNCI cancer spectrum*, 7(3), pkad035. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jncics/pkad035>
14. Arends, J., Bachmann, P., Baracos, V., Barthelemy, N., Bertz, H., Bozzetti, F., Fearon, K., Hütterer, E., Iseining, E., Kaasa, S., Krznaric, Z., Laird, B., Larsson, M., Laviano, A., Mühlebach, S., Muscaritoli, M., Oldervoll, L., Ravasco, P., Solheim, T., Strasser, F., ... Preiser, J. C. (2017). ESPEN guidelines on nutrition in cancer patients. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*, 36(1), 11–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2016.07.015>
15. Pinto-Coelho L. (2023). How Artificial Intelligence Is Shaping Medical Imaging Technology: A Survey of Innovations and Applications. *Bioengineering (Basel, Switzerland)*, 10(12), 1435. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering10121435>
16. Hricak, H., Abdel-Wahab, M., Atun, R., Lette, M. M., Paez, D., Brink, J. A., Donoso-Bach, L., Frija, G., Hierath, M., Holmberg, O., Khong, P. L., Lewis, J. S., McGinty, G., Oyen, W. J. G., Shulman, L. N., Ward, Z. J., & Scott, A. M. (2021). Medical imaging and nuclear medicine: a Lancet Oncology Commission. *The Lancet. Oncology*, 22(4), e136–e172. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(20\)30751-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30751-8)
17. Pulumati, A., Pulumati, A., Dwarakanath, B. S., Verma, A., & Papineni, R. V. L. (2023). Technological advancements in cancer diagnostics: Improvements and limitations. *Cancer reports (Hoboken, N.J.)*, 6(2), e1764. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cnr2.1764>
18. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; National Academy of Medicine; Committee on the Future of Nursing 2020–2030; Flaubert JL, Le Menestrel S, Williams DR, et al., editors. *The Future of Nursing 2020–2030: Charting a Path to Achieve Health Equity*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2021 May 11. 2, Social Determinants of Health and Health Equity. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK573923/>

19. Hacker, K., Auerbach, J., Ikeda, R., Philip, C., & Houry, D. (2022). Social Determinants of Health-An Approach Taken at CDC. *Journal of public health management and practice : JPHMP*, 28(6), 589–594. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PHH.0000000000001626>
20. Ho, D., Quake, S. R., McCabe, E. R. B., Chng, W. J., Chow, E. K., Ding, X., Gelb, B. D., Ginsburg, G. S., Hassenstab, J., Ho, C. M., Mobley, W. C., Nolan, G. P., Rosen, S. T., Tan, P., Yen, Y., & Zarrinpar, A. (2020). Enabling Technologies for Personalized and Precision Medicine. *Trends in biotechnology*, 38(5), 497–518. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2019.12.021>
21. Wang, R. C., & Wang, Z. (2023). Precision Medicine: Disease Subtyping and Tailored Treatment. *Cancers*, 15(15), 3837. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15153837>
22. Leiding JW, Holland SM. Chronic Granulomatous Disease. 2012 Aug 9 [Updated 2022 Apr 21]. In: Adam MP, Feldman J, Mirzaa GM, et al., editors. *GeneReviews®* [Internet]. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, Seattle; 1993-2024. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK99496/>
23. Burrell, C. J., Howard, C. R., & Murphy, F. A. (2017). Laboratory Diagnosis of Virus Diseases. *Fenner and White's Medical Virology*, 135–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-375156-0.00010-2>
24. Lennox-Chhugani N. (2023). Inter-Disciplinary Work in the Context of Integrated Care - a Theoretical and Methodological Framework. *International journal of integrated care*, 23(2), 29. <https://doi.org/10.5334/ijic.7544>
25. Ghimire B, Sakiewicz AJ. Management of Cardiovascular and Diabetes Risks Based on National Guidelines. [Updated 2023 Jul 25]. In: *StatPearls* [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK580534/>
26. Warren, J. L., & Warren, J. S. (2023). The Case for Understanding Interdisciplinary Relationships in Health Care. *Ochsner journal*, 23(2), 94–97. <https://doi.org/10.31486/toj.22.0111>
27. Bodenheimer, T., & Sinsky, C. (2014). From triple to quadruple aim: care of the patient requires care of the provider. *Annals of family medicine*, 12(6), 573–576. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.1713>
28. Reeves, S., Perrier, L., Goldman, J., Freeth, D., & Zwarenstein, M. (2013). Interprofessional education: effects on professional practice and healthcare outcomes (update). *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, 2013(3), CD002213. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD002213.pub3>
29. Valentijn, P. P., Schepman, S. M., Opheij, W., & Bruijnzeels, M. A. (2013). Understanding integrated care: a comprehensive conceptual framework based on the integrative functions of primary care. *International journal of integrated care*, 13, e010. <https://doi.org/10.5334/ijic.886>
30. Reeves, S., Perrier, L., Goldman, J., Freeth, D., & Zwarenstein, M. (2013). Interprofessional education: effects on professional practice and healthcare outcomes (update). *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, 2013(3), CD002213. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD002213.pub3>