International Journal of Medical Toxicology & Legal Medicine Volume 27, No. 4, 2024
e-ISSN: 0974-4614
p-ISSN: 0972-0448

Enhancing Diagnostic Accuracy in Radiological Technology: A Comprehensive Study of Specialist Contributions

Abdullah Khalaf Q. Alshammari[1], Abdullah Hamed M. Albaqawi[1], Abdulrahim Saud Alazmi[2], Zamil Saud Saad Altamimi[3], Sultan Albishri Mutair Alshammari[4], Dhari Labdan Enad Alshammari[5], Abdulaziz Sonaideah Alsonaidah[6], Dhari Saleh Alabidah[7]

[1]Specialist, Radiological Technology Baqaa General Hospital
[2]Specialist, Radiological Technology King Khalid Hospital
[3]Specialist, Radiological Technology PHC, Alwiday
[4]Specialist, Radiological Technology PHC, Alqaid
[5]Specialist, Radiological Technology Hail General Hospital
[6]Specialist, Radiological Technology King Salman Specialist Hospital
[7]Specialist, Radiological Technology Hail MOH

Received: 17.08.2024 Revised: 15.09.2024 Accepted: 08.10.2024

ABSTRACT
Radiological technology lies at the heart of modern diagnostic medicine, affording unequaled insight into the structures of the living human body. Only through accurate, timely diagnostic imaging is informed medical decision-making possible, directly affecting patient outcomes through facilitation, early detection, correct diagnosis, and proper treatment. This paper examines the vital contributions of radiological technology specialists in the enhancement of diagnostic accuracy. It looks at their multidimensional tasks, which relate to the manipulation of complex imaging machinery, the positioning of patients to achieve the best possible images, keeping radiation exposure at minimum levels, and other techniques associated with providing quality images. The paper further mentions how, with progress in radiological modalities, digital radiography, CT, MRI, and newer introductions of AI, diagnostic capabilities have considerably improved. The paper further explores some of the challenges that might be faced by the radiological specialists, including new and complex technologies, the time factor especially the clinical time, and the cooperative level of the patient during the procedure. All these sometimes affect diagnosis, hence the importance of training and professional development programs. This paper also established how important it is to work in collaboration with the radiologic technologist, the radiologist, and other healthcare professionals as far as diagnosis is concerned. Based on these considerations, this paper presents the results of a systematic review of demands for continuous technological innovation, skills enhancement, and collaboration in order to ensure that the quality of radiological diagnostic services is maintained or improved, and experience has shown that relentless efforts are required in an attempt to achieve the best quality of patient care and to advance the modality of radiological technology.

Keywords: modalities, digital, radiography, CT, MRI, technology.

INTRODUCTION

Radiological technology has made life much easier for the doctors who engage in medical diagnosis. It is one of the most important methods in the diagnosis and monitoring of several disorders affecting man. From the detection of bone fractures to joint dislocations and diagnosing such life-threatening diseases as cancer, cardiovascular diseases, and neurological disorders, radiology remains pivotal in modern health care. Thus, the creation of precise, high-quality photographs describing the body's anatomical characteristics would be considered radiological technology. Clinical judgments based on accurate interpretation of these pictures would directly affect patient care and treatment, which would ultimately affect patient outcomes. (1,2)

It is by no means easy to get quality images; this entails much expertise in the knowledge of the field. The radiologic technologists are the health professionals responsible for operating the imaging equipment, and for the accurate positioning of the patient to achieve diagnostic quality in the resultant image. Much more than aiming a camera and pressing a button is required (1,2). They must carefully position the patient for maximum diagnostic quality, manipulate technical aspects of the imaging equipment, while minimizing unnecessary radiation exposure to the patient. The experts involved in this field are the radiologic technologists, and hence, they have to ensure that clear and proper diagnostic images are realized, which mostly lack the appearance of artifacts, which may lead to misdiagnosis, wasting time for the patient. (1,3)

The contribution of the radiologic technologist is very important to the overall success in diagnostic imaging. The book enables one, with diagnostic imaging, to identify one's condition, devise appropriate treatment strategies, monitor progress, and even go so far as to evaluate treatment outcomes. Without the specialized training and technical know-how of a radiologic technologist, the process might be compromised, leading to possible incorrect diagnoses, unnecessary procedures, or missed opportunities for early intervention (2). They should be able to update themselves with the medical imaging technologies. Within the last decade, rapid changes have taken place in the branch of radiology. New inventions have taken place in digital radiography, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasound, and positron emission tomography (PET) imaging. These have greatly enhanced the speed, accuracy, and capability of diagnostic imaging, enabling health professionals to diagnose conditions quicker and more reliably than ever before. (1-4)

In addition to all of these advantages, the development has brought up new difficulties. Due to the intricacy of contemporary imaging equipment, radiologic technicians must constantly improve their abilities and expertise in order to use the equipment properly (4). Additional considerations include the patient's participation throughout the imaging procedure, efficient use of time in a hectic clinical setting, and the production of high-quality pictures without the use of excessive radiation. Added factors that continue to drive the need for continuing professional development and advanced training programs for radiologic technologists. (5)

This paper describes the multidimensional role of radiological technology specialists in general and, in particular, their contributions toward increasing the accuracy in diagnosis. It will elaborate on the crucial role played by radiologic technologists in quality image acquisition, professional growth, and how changes in the science of imaging affect the character of their work. In light of this, the paper will highlight challenges facing radiologic specialists and the strategies needed in overcoming these, so that it may ensure the continued provision of the best care to the patients. The study emphasizes the essence of collaboration, technology innovation, and ongoing learning in sustaining the highest standards possible in diagnostic imagery.

The Importance of Diagnostic Accuracy in Radiological Technology

Diagnostic imaging accuracy is critical in medicine because it has a direct impact on therapeutic choices and patient outcomes. Radiological procedures include X-rays, MRI, and CT scans, which reveal diverse views of the inside of the body. These numerous imaging technologies give physicians with precise images of organs, tissues, bones, and blood arteries, allowing them to discover anomalies that might otherwise go unnoticed. Examples are X-rays, a standard test in the diagnosis of fractures and lung conditions; MRI scans, which give excellent soft tissue detail for neurological disorders, tumors, and musculoskeletal problems. Though the scans give great detail of the body and are thus very common in diagnosing diseases, cancers, internal bleeding, and cardiovascular ailments also form part of the long list. (6,7)

However, serious consequences arise, especially in terms of mistakes in diagnostic imaging. Poor or suboptimal images lead one to misdiagnosis, which may further result in delayed treatment, inappropriate interventions, and even a host of unnecessary procedures. For example, undetected fractures or even tumors may delay the much-wanted treatment and aggravate the condition, perhaps endangering the health of the patient. Poor image quality may further involve re-testing thus exposing patients to unnecessary radiation or discomfort and increasing health care expenditure. (8)The radiologic technologists are the important personnel responsible for precision in diagnostic images obtained. They are specialists in preparing patients, operating equipment for imaging, and striving to obtain the best possible image. This is very important because their technical expertness is highly valuable in managing the clear, precise, and interpretable images. A proper positioning of a patient by a radiologic technologist is of a great importance for the acquisition of an image correctly. They also have to adjust their respective settings of equipment in order to obtain best image quality with the lowest possible risks related to radiation exposure. (9)

There is also great concern in radiology because of the exposure to radiation in minimal amounts. Moreover, ionizing radiation cannot avoid harmful effects if use is extended or unnecessary; hence, radiation is very dangerous to the human body because it highly devastates soft tissues and increases the risk of cancer. They are trained into the principles of safety, regarding exposure to radiation by learning how to use adequate shielding properly, limiting exposure time, and using the optimum dose of radiation. This way, one can be guaranteed that patients will get sufficient diagnostic information without exposing them to undue risks. (10)Thus, it means the work of radiologic technologists goes far beyond the simple production of images. The charged responsibility of strained balance in ensuring image quality, patient safety, and radiation protection. Attention to detail, skills, and expertise will directly impact the diagnostic process's accuracy and, by extension, will affect patient care and health outcomes. This is one field that keeps on constantly changing with technology; hence, there is an increasing need for radiologic technologists who can guarantee diagnostic accuracy. Continuous training and development are, therefore, in higher focus, since after all, new tools and techniques keep on cropping up. (10,11)

The Role of Radiological Technology Specialists

The radiologic technologists-also known as the radiographers-place a very important role in ensuring that medical images are accurately diagnostic. Their work is much, much beyond what medical imaging operations entail. Healthcare professionals are part of the healthcare team who must use the expertise to produce good-quality images with perfect safety for patients while minimizing unnecessary exposure to radiation. The role of radiologic technologists can broadly be allocated into a set of pivotal functions, and with each such specific one them contributing to the ultimate success of the diagnostic imaging process. (10-12)

1. Equipment Operation and Patient Positioning

Most of the core responsibilities of radiologic technologists are carried out by operating sophisticated imaging equipment, including X-ray machines, MRI scanners, and CT scanners. Such machines are very advanced and require technically proficient operators to keep them performing correctly. Being able to adjust equipment for the best and most accurate images requires mastering all the aspects of technology involved: from exposure levels to scanning protocols and configurations that change with each type of examination. For instance, in setting up X-ray or MRI scans, radiologic technologists should be able to set up equipment so as to acquire clear and accurate images. (13)

Appropriate patient positioning is a critical aspect in radiology. Patient positioning during imaging procedures is very crucial and important to ensure that diagnostic-quality images are obtained. Inadequate positioning will lead to suboptimal images, which may require repeat imaging sessions, with subsequent exposure of patients to radiation, thus increasing healthcare costs. Furthermore, diagnostic errors may occur from poor positioning, which may delay proper treatment or lead to inappropriate medical decisions. Radiologic technologists should know much about human anatomy since patients have to be positioned precisely for a specific kind of scan. They should know body structure, alignment, and positioning techniques tailored to the patient's condition and the requirements of the procedure for diagnosis. (14)For example, certain positioning of the patient is going to be more difficult, such as with patients in pain, immobilized patients, and those with certain conditions that can make positioning very difficult. The technologist should be empathetic and have strong communication skills to make sure that the patients are comfortable and cooperative for the procedure. They have to be problem solvers to adapt and find the best possible position for optimal imaging while ensuring the least amount of discomfort to the patient. (15)

2. Image Quality and Safety Protocols

After the patient is appropriately positioned, diagnostic images must then be of good quality. Radiologic technologists must review images for issues regarding clarity, sharpness, and adequate exposure. Of course, the quality of images plays an important role in making a proper diagnosis, since blurry or poor images may mask information and result in a delay in diagnosis. Technologists should, therefore, review the acquired images immediately so that they can ensure that those images meet the required quality. If an image proves inadequate, readjustments may be called for - possibly by repositioning the patient, by adjusting the machine settings, or by rescan. (16,17)Apart from the production of quality images, radiologic technologists must ensure radiation safety. Radiology is a science that encompasses ionizing radiation, essential for diagnostic image production but is very risky on health if it is not properly controlled. Overdosage of radiation can cause tissue damage and increased propensity towards cancer in patients and other health workers. Therefore, the duty of radiologic technologists lies in following strict rules of radiation safety. (18)

These protocols involve exposure time reduction, adequate shielding, and the utilization of the lowest dosage of radiation that would be effective for any given procedure. And they must be watchful with the equipment and procedures as far as overexposure is concerned hence preventing its accidental overexposure. For instance, in X-rays, some other imaging modalities make use of protective barriers or lead aprons to shield untargeted regions of the body like the reproductive organs or thyroid gland. Furthermore, technologists take time to explain the procedure to the patient, including steps followed in minimizing dosage, to ensure trust and openness during the procedure. (19)The radiologic technologists have to be updated continuously regarding newer aspects of radiation safety and also be more proactive in applying best principles to provide greater protection for both patients and staff. This would include proper training and certification in proper radiation safety protocols and a continued pursuit of emerging technologies that help reduce the risks associated with radiation exposure. (20)

3. Collaboration with Healthcare Teams

The job description of radiologic technologists embraces a much more considerable range of application than the mere act of imaging. Radiologic technologists do not work in isolation. Instead, they closely collaborate with other health care workers. In general, technologists form part of a multidisciplinary team composed of many professionals, including radiologists, physicians, nurses, and specialists of various types. Ensuring proper images through the efforts of radiologic technologists creates significant avenues for the delivery of informed medical services. (21)Typically, in most health facilities, technologists help radiologists to obtain the images

necessary for diagnosis. Many times, a radiologic technologist would be required to alter imaging protocols based on preliminary radiologist results. For example, in case the initial scan delivers an unexpected result or in the event the patient has sensitivity to certain positions, the technologist may alter the procedure to assure that a radiologist can draw proper conclusions. Such cooperation enhances the effectiveness of the diagnosis process through which healthcare professionals can deliver on time to the patients' needs. (22)
Radiologic technologists develop, through experience, modes of communications with radiologists and other medical staff for relaying information that is crucial. For instance, during scanning, should any abnormalities be experienced, like patient discomfort or motion, or results which may have suspicions, the technologist would need to relate this as soon as possible to the radiologist or physician in charge, should there be a need to adjust. In this form of approach, there is an improvement in the likelihood of getting the most accurate diagnosis, which in turn will result in better care to the patient. (22,23)Additionally, radiologic technologists are responsible for educating the patient regarding the method of imaging and the reasons the procedure will be done. This helps to put the patient at ease and reduce anxiety about the procedure. It also ensures the patient is cooperative and comfortable throughout the procedure. Technologists also explain safety procedures related to radiation exposure and make sure the patient feels informed about the steps taken to protect their health. (24)

4. Continuous Learning and Professional Development
X-ray imaging technologies are constantly in development; therefore, the work of the radiologic technologists requires continuous learning and adaptation. New imaging methods and techniques constantly involve training for technologists to work with and skillfully manage new equipment. Continuous professional development is necessary to sustain high levels of care because medical imaging is an ever-evolving modality that challenges technologists to stay updated on state-of-the-art safety protocols and technological advances. It is this ongoing education that allows the radiologic technologist to stay current and keeps them competently managing increasingly sophisticated imaging procedures, while continuing to support patients with the care they deserve. (25)

Contributions of Radiological Technology Specialists in Enhancing Diagnostic Accuracy
Radiologic technologists are highly contributing professionals to improved diagnostic efficiency by their expertise, whereas the imaging modalities are appropriately conducted and the data obtained accurate and informative to the medical professional. Their contributions begin from the selection of the right imaging modality to the minimization of radiation exposure and patient cooperation. The following outlines their key contributions towards the achievement of accurate diagnostic results. (26)

1- High-Quality Imaging Techniques
Radiologic technologists are typically expected to select the most suitable imaging technique from among those options presented, based on a stated clinical need for a treatment or a diagnosis, and the particular illness or condition with which the patient presents. Knowledgeable of different imaging modalities, such as X-ray, MRI, CT scans, and ultrasound, a technologist will be ready to determine which procedure is likely to produce the most accurate and informative result. They consider the site of pathology, suspected pathology, and patient factors such as age, past medical history, and allergies. (2)
As an example, in conditions that involve soft tissue injuries or neurological disorders, MRI could be an option since this modality can capture with better detail soft tissues and organs. Conversely, a CT would be selected for trauma where clear cross-sectional images of bones and organs are necessary to clearly view structures. By making that determination, radiologic technologists ensure the most appropriate imaging modality is selected to provide clearest and most accurate images. This decision-making is very critical because it directly affects the process of diagnosis and outcome. (27)Following that, a radiologic technician is responsible for appropriately adjusting imaging equipment settings to produce optimal picture quality. This involves selecting the proper exposure setting, adjusting contrast levels, and ensuring that the images produced are clean and distortion-free. Proper technique ensures that the images produced are crisp, clear, and detailed enough for radiologists to interpret accurately. (28)

2- Radiation Dose Optimization
Radiologic technologists also play a vital role in the optimization of radiation doses. The main problem in diagnostic imaging, which receives considerable attention in X-ray and CT studies, is the ionizing radiation exposure of the patient. Since radiation is one form of energy that produces an image, its harmful effects are associated with a high dose of exposure, which may increase the risk of cancer. Therefore, exposure to radiation needs to be minimized without compromising the diagnostic quality in the image. (29)
The technologists follow strict protocols with regard to the management of radiation doses; the principle of "As Low As Reasonably Achievable" reminds the professionals not to compromise on the quality of the images. The technologist adjusts equipment settings according to a patient's size and age, as well as on the type of imaging

needed, to keep the dose of radiation as low as possible while still achieving clear, accurate images. In addition, technologists are educated to use such protection means like lead aprons, thyroid shields, and other barriers that might protect one's body from ionizing radiation exposure in areas other than the field of interest. (30)Besides, radiologic technologists continuously monitor and assess their practices for radiation safety. Most of them are involved in some quality assurance activities in health centers where radiation practices are regularly assessed and improved. Given this perspective, the technologists are always involved in contributing to the development and maintenance of practices related to radiation protection and patient safety through periodic review and adherence to safety principles. (31)

3- Pre-Screening and Patient Education

The second most essential role of radiologic technologists is pre-screening and patient education. Before doing any imaging, technicians will ensure that the patients are suitable candidates for the procedure. For example, in MRI procedures that may include the use of magnetic fields, personnel constantly look for metal implants, pacemakers, or other contraindications that might jeopardize the patient or impair image quality. They also comment on any allergies to contrast agents, which may be a contraindication for different imaging studies using contrast agents, like CT scans or MRIs. (32,33)

They also interview the patient at length to go through their past medical history and previous complications that might come up in the procedure. All this pre-screening helps in alleviating any relative or absolute contraindications that could delay the procedure any further or worsen the complication. If the case involves a contrast agent, the technologist can administer it, all the while monitoring for allergic reactions and asking the patient about it ahead of time to reduce any associated anxiety. (34)Besides pre-screening, the technologists play a very important role in educating the patient regarding the procedure itself. Quite often, prior to diagnostic imaging, a few patients could feel anxious or apprehensive, and here again, it rests upon the technologist to explain the expected outcome of the exam. They outline in very clear detail the steps involved in the procedure, explain how the patient needs to position themself, and give instructions on holding still to avoid motion artifacts in the images. Thus, good education of the patients would mean that they will trust you and be at ease, hence better cooperation during the imaging process. (35)

The radiologic technologist should promote effective communication with the patient, ensuring that the patient is well educated and minimizing anxiety and discomfort. This also prepares the patient better and helps the patients get good quality images. Patient cooperation reduces repeated scans, radiation exposure, and health care costs. (32)

4- Collaboration with Radiologists and Healthcare Teams

Although radiologic technicians operate independently, their efforts are tightly coordinated with those of radiologists and physicians to ensure that the imaging matches the clinical goals of the patient's treatment. They participate by making important subjective judgments during imaging, altering procedures depending on the radiologist's particular demands, or responding to unexpected results throughout the imaging process. This typically improves the efficiency with which diagnostics are performed. (22)

If the images are inconclusive, meaning they require more imaging, the technologists play a crucial role through protocol adjustments or changes in positioning to obtain the images needed. The dynamic of cooperation ensures that the images taken will be of the best quality to provide information for good diagnoses. In addition, many radiologic technologists would also assist the radiologists in the interpretation of images. Other jobs could be the post-processing of images by filtering or adjusting them in order to bring out clarity or focus that can significantly enhance image diagnostic value. (36)

Radiological Technological Advances

The technological advances within the field of radiology have literally rewritten the rulebook on so many levels, not just concerning new diagnostic capabilities but also in the care of patients themselves. Innovations have refined the processes involved in imaging to be more accurate and less invasive, as well as quick. The more technology advances, the more new ways are introduced to make methods of diagnosis better, quicker, and more valid for health professionals. (37)

1- Digital Radiography

Nowadays, DR becomes a standard in the majority of medical institutions, replacing traditional film-based techniques. The main advantage of digital radiography is the immediate creation of top-quality images. While the conventional radiography required much time in exposing and developing films, a digital picture can be viewed and manipulated on the computer in just a few seconds, therefore accelerating diagnosis and treatment. Moreover, digital images are easily manipulated for contrast, brightness, and other parameters to yield better views of abnormalities. The capability of storing and electronically sending digital images has further streamlined the process of diagnosis with increased collaboration by healthcare providers. In addition, digitally

archived images reduce the possibility of lost or damaged films, further improving efficiency and reliability in patient records. (38)Digital radiography further reduces radiation exposure. In conventional film-based methods, radiologists often have to use higher doses of radiation in order to get good quality images. In the case of DR, however, lower doses of radiation can be used without compromising the high quality of the image, which is particularly important for patient safety, especially in vulnerable populations such as children and pregnant women. (39)

2- MRI and CT Scan Improvements

As far as the technology of MRI and CT is concerned, great development has been attained in the last few years. The two technologies are applied in diagnosing a wide array of pathologies, ranging from neurological conditions to musculoskeletal injuries. One of the most conspicuous improvements with respect to MRI technology relates to the enhancement of the image resolution. Presently, modern MRI machines are capable of producing highly structuralized images of soft tissues so that healthcare providers can find even the most minute abnormalities, such as a small tumor or an ailment in its infancy. (41,42)

Another big advance with MRI includes reducing the time taken to perform the scan. Whereas MRI scans used to take upwards of one hour-the patients being uncomfortably positioned and making it difficult for scheduling-in recent times, with the introduction of faster MRI machines, the time for scans has come down considerably, thus making it more comfortable for the patients and increasing the throughput in the health-care facilities. (43)On the other hand, CT too has been augmented by the introduction of high-resolution imaging and quick scanning. Therefore, high-resolution CTs can better illustrate bones, organs, and blood vessels than their predecessors, allowing doctors to diagnose a case with higher precision. Due to the notably improved speeds in scanning patients, the dose of radiation exposure is greatly reduced in modern CT scanners, thus greatly facilitating clinical operations. These advantages have made CT scans an essential modality of diagnosis in emergency medicine, where a rapid diagnosis is crucial. (44)

3- Artificial Intelligence Integration

Artificial Intelligence in radiology has advanced to the forefront, changing the interpretation of images. The designed algorithm in AI will analyze medical images for patterns that would be quite difficult or impossible for a human radiologist to identify. A subset of AI, Machine Learning allows the system to "learn" large amounts of medical data, improving the accuracy with time. The gamut now ranges from tumor and fracture detection to the identification of lung diseases, all done at incredible speeds and with incredible accuracy by AI tools. (45)

Because AI is able to rapidly review enormous amounts of imaging data, it frees the radiologist to spend more time on the most complex cases. This will lend greater efficiency to the work and reduce the possibility of human error. For example, AI can automatically flag a potential abnormality in an image; a radiologist will then review those images to confirm. In such a way, the integration of AI into diagnosis also improves diagnostic precision, reduces workload for radiologists, and cuts down time to diagnosis, benefiting the patient who needs timely medical intervention. (46)Besides, AI can achieve a much higher level of homogeneity in diagnostic interpretations. Different radiologists might hold various opinions about the same picture, while AI systems can provide structured analysis-very useful in supporting diagnoses to be as precise and consistent as possible by different health care providers. (47)

Challenges in Radiological Technology

While radiological technology does bring great improvements, there remain several challenges in the field that radiologic technologists are facing. These are able to affect not only the diagnostic test but also the efficiency of the work and do, therefore, need constant attention by healthcare providers and technologists, as well as policymakers. (47,48)

1- Technological Complexity

This rapid evolution in radiological technologies puts pressure on technologists to continually update their skills and knowledge so that they are able to use new pieces of equipment effectively. Modern imaging systems are extremely complex and require specialized training in the technologist for appropriate use. The continual addition of new features and capabilities makes the task of staying current with technological growth almost overwhelming. Technologists must possess knowledge not only in the technical operation of the equipment, but also in the latest advances in diagnostic imaging techniques and their implications for patient care. (1)

Besides that, there are heightened demands to maintain the equipment and to solve technical difficulties stemming from the use of complex imaging systems by healthcare professionals. The type of technology supporting present-day imaging systems is such that a malfunctioning piece of equipment can significantly interfere with the diagnosis by delaying patients' care and causing possible medical errors. (44)

2- Workload and Time Pressure

Most radiologic technologists are employed in fast-paced, high-volume settings. Many image a steady stream of patients during a shift, often having minimal preparation time to ensure the optimal outcome of each procedure, thereby increasing the potential for positioning, technical, or preparatory errors. Although efficiency needs to be weighed against accuracy, the demands on technologists often result in compromise. (1)

Time constraints further limit the time that technologists can devote to reassuring each patient and explaining a procedure. This rush towards completing the imaging studies in time is also one of the factors that lead to burnout, hence affecting the well-being of technologists and the quality of care given to patients. (48)

3- Patient Cooperation and Positioning

This, of course, requires the cooperation of patients with respect to positioning and instruction during imaging modalities. Certain patients, such as pediatric, elderly, and critically ill patients, may not tolerate positioning or instructions given during an imaging study. Such patients might have difficulties maintaining still positions; for example, young children may not stay still during MRI, yielding motion artifacts that will require repeated imaging. This will delay diagnosis and increase exposure to radiation, as well as raising healthcare costs. (49)

Among others, radiologic technologists should possess high levels of communication and patience to explain to patients why they must cooperate during the imaging process. They also need to be proliferate in different positioning skills to ultimately correctly align the patient for a good image. In some instances, this may involve the use of sedation or other interventions to help patients remain still during procedures, further complicating the process. (23)

Continuous Professional Development and Training

The radiologic technologists should pursue continuous education and professional development so that they maintain and improve the accuracy of diagnosis. This includes obtaining certifications in specialized imaging modalities, attending workshops and conferences, and participating in ongoing training to keep pace with technological advances and current best practices in the industry. Continuous professional growth is required for new equipment and techniques in radiology to ensure the technologist is up to date on changing trends. (1)

Besides providing enhanced patient care, ongoing education and training will put the technologist in a very competitive environment, either from the economic point of view or concerning the progress that this branch takes. By staying updated with the latest technological advancement and best practices, the contribution to the accuracy, efficiency, and safety of diagnostic imaging by the radiologic technologist will result in positive ways in patients' outcomes and increased quality of healthcare. (50,53)

CONCLUSION

The radiologic technologist works tirelessly to enhance the diagnostic precision that will directly touch the lives of patients. Skill in the use of sophisticated imaging machinery, the production of high-quality images, and cooperation with health teams facilitate the work of obtaining accurate diagnoses. Diagnostic precisions have increased significantly as radiology technology has advanced, including digital radiography, MRI, and AI. These technologies promise speedier and more precise outcomes, lowering human error and enhancing overall care. However, problems remain, including certain concerns with quickly evolving technology, time restrictions in high-demand environments, and the requirement for patient compliance with operations. These may impinge on the effectiveness and efficiency of imaging studies. As a result of this fact, constant professional development will be necessary to stay on the very leading edge of health care technology as a radiologic technologist. They keep up with the latest developments and enhance their skills so that they are continually able to contribute to the highest attainable level of diagnostic accuracy and patient care in a constantly evolving healthcare environment.

REFERENCES

1. European Society of Radiology 2009 (2010). The future role of radiology in healthcare. Insights into imaging, 1(1), 2–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13244-009-0007-x
2. Hussain, S., Mubeen, I., Ullah, N., Shah, S. S. U. D., Khan, B. A., Zahoor, M., Ullah, R., Khan, F. A., & Sultan, M. A. (2022). Modern Diagnostic Imaging Technique Applications and Risk Factors in the Medical Field: A Review. BioMed research international, 2022, 5164970. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5164970
3. Dance, D. R., Christofides, S., Maidment, A. D. A., McLean, I. D., & Ng, K. H. (2014). Diagnostic radiology physics. International Atomic Energy Agency, 299, 12-14.
4. Najjar R. (2023). Redefining Radiology: A Review of Artificial Intelligence Integration in Medical Imaging. Diagnostics (Basel, Switzerland), 13(17), 2760. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics13172760
5. Brady, A. P., Allen, B., Chong, J., Kotter, E., Kottler, N., Mongan, J., Oakden-Rayner, L., Pinto Dos Santos, D., Tang, A., Wald, C., &Slavotinek, J. (2024). Developing, purchasing, implementing and monitoring AI tools in radiology: Practical considerations. A multi-society statement from the ACR, CAR,

ESR, RANZCR & RSNA. Journal of medical imaging and radiation oncology, 68(1), 7–26. https://doi.org/10.1111/1754-9485.13612
6. Waheed, S., Tahir, M. J., Ullah, I., Alwalid, O., Irshad, S. G., Asghar, M. S., & Yousaf, Z. (2022). The impact of dependence on advanced imaging techniques on the current radiology practice. Annals of medicine and surgery (2012), 78, 103708. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2022.103708
7. Pogue, B. W., & Wilson, B. C. (2018). Optical and x-ray technology synergies enabling diagnostic and therapeutic applications in medicine. Journal of biomedical optics, 23(12), 121610-121610.
8. Zhang, L., Wen, X., Li, J. W., Jiang, X., Yang, X. F., & Li, M. (2023). Diagnostic error and bias in the department of radiology: a pictorial essay. Insights into imaging, 14(1), 163. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13244-023-01521-7
9. European Society of Radiology (ESR) communications@ myESR. org Brady Adrian P. Beets-Tan Regina G. Brkljačić Boris Catalano Carlo Rockall Andrea Fuchsjäger Michael. (2022). The role of radiologist in the changing world of healthcare: a White Paper of the European Society of Radiology (ESR). Insights into Imaging, 13(1), 100.
10. Frane N, Bitterman A. Radiation Safety and Protection. [Updated 2023 May 22]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK557499/
11. European Society of Radiology (ESR), & European Federation of Radiographer Societies (EFRS) (2019). Patient Safety in Medical Imaging: a joint paper of the European Society of Radiology (ESR) and the European Federation of Radiographer Societies (EFRS). Insights into imaging, 10(1), 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13244-019-0721-y
12. Martins, A. M. R. C. (2019). Allied health medical imaging and radiotherapy technologists: studies on leadership (Doctoral dissertation, Instituto Politécnico de Lisboa, Escola Superior de Tecnologia da Saúde de Lisboa).
13. Welch Haynes, K., & Ashworth Despino, J. (2021). Teaching Professionalism in Radiologic Technology. Radiologic Science & Education, 26(2).
14. Tafti A, Byerly DW. X-ray Radiographic Patient Positioning. [Updated 2022 Dec 11]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK565865/
15. Babaii, A., Mohammadi, E., &Sadooghiasl, A. (2021). The Meaning of the Empathetic Nurse-Patient Communication: A Qualitative Study. Journal of patient experience, 8, 23743735211056432. https://doi.org/10.1177/23743735211056432
16. Kjelle, E., & Chilanga, C. (2022). The assessment of image quality and diagnostic value in X-ray images: a survey on radiographers' reasons for rejecting images. Insights into imaging, 13(1), 36. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13244-022-01169-9
17. Tompe A, Sargar K. X-Ray Image Quality Assurance. [Updated 2022 Oct 17]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK564362/
18. Igarashi, T. (2022). Recent trends in medical radiation protection. Nihon HoshasenGijutsu Gakkai Zasshi.
19. Lukoff, J., & Olmos, J. (2017). Minimizing Medical Radiation Exposure by Incorporating a New Radiation "Vital Sign" into the Electronic Medical Record: Quality of Care and Patient Safety. The Permanente journal, 21, 17-007. https://doi.org/10.7812/TPP/17-007
20. Guide, S. S. (2018). Radiation protection and safety in medical uses of ionizing radiation. Specific Safety Guide SSG-46, IAEA, Vienna.
21. Reed, J. R. (2011). A review of ethics for the radiologic technologist. Radiologic Technology, 82(6), 519-536.
22. Knechtges, P. M., & Carlos, R. C. (2007). The evolving role of radiologists within the health care system. Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR, 4(9), 626–635. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2007.05.014
23. Emmerson BR, Young M. Radiology Patient Safety and Communication. [Updated 2023 Jan 30]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK567713/
24. King, S., Woodley, J., & Walsh, N. (2021). A systematic review of non-pharmacologic interventions to reduce anxiety in adults in advance of diagnostic imaging procedures. Radiography, 27(2), 688-697.
25. Ou, X., Chen, X., Xu, X., Xie, L., Chen, X., Hong, Z., Bai, H., Liu, X., Chen, Q., Li, L., & Yang, H. (2021). Recent Development in X-Ray Imaging Technology: Future and Challenges. Research (Washington, D.C.), 2021, 9892152. https://doi.org/10.34133/2021/9892152
26. Forrai, G., Kovács, E., Ambrózay, É., Barta, M., Borbély, K., Lengyel, Z., Ormándi, K., Péntek, Z., Tünde, T., & Sebő, É. (2022). Use of Diagnostic Imaging Modalities in Modern Screening, Diagnostics and

Management of Breast Tumours 1st Central-Eastern European Professional Consensus Statement on Breast Cancer. Pathology oncology research : POR, 28, 1610382. https://doi.org/10.3389/pore.2022.1610382
27. Florkow, M. C., Willemsen, K., Mascarenhas, V. V., Oei, E. H. G., van Stralen, M., &Seevinck, P. R. (2022). Magnetic Resonance Imaging Versus Computed Tomography for Three-Dimensional Bone Imaging of Musculoskeletal Pathologies: A Review. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI, 56(1), 11–34. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.28067
28. Committee on Diagnostic Error in Health Care; Board on Health Care Services; Institute of Medicine; The National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Balogh EP, Miller BT, Ball JR, editors. Improving Diagnosis in Health Care. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2015 Dec 29. 2, The Diagnostic Process. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK338593/
29. Akram S, Chowdhury YS. Radiation Exposure Of Medical Imaging. [Updated 2022 Nov 14]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK565909/
30. Le Heron, J., Padovani, R., Smith, I., &Czarwinski, R. (2010). Radiation protection of medical staff. European journal of radiology, 76(1), 20-23.
31. Tsapaki, V. (2020). Radiation dose optimization in diagnostic and interventional radiology: Current issues and future perspectives. Physica Medica, 79, 16-21.
32. Dowd, S. B., & Ott, K. (1998). The radiologic technologist's role in patient education. Radiologic technology, 69(5), 443–464.
33. Hricak, H., Abdel-Wahab, M., Atun, R., Lette, M. M., Paez, D., Brink, J. A., Donoso-Bach, L., Frija, G., Hierath, M., Holmberg, O., Khong, P. L., Lewis, J. S., McGinty, G., Oyen, W. J. G., Shulman, L. N., Ward, Z. J., & Scott, A. M. (2021). Medical imaging and nuclear medicine: a Lancet Oncology Commission. The Lancet. Oncology, 22(4), e136–e172. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30751-8
34. Légaré, F., Adekpedjou, R., Stacey, D., Turcotte, S., Kryworuchko, J., Graham, I. D., Lyddiatt, A., Politi, M. C., Thomson, R., Elwyn, G., & Donner-Banzhoff, N. (2018). Interventions for increasing the use of shared decision making by healthcare professionals. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews, 7(7), CD006732. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006732.pub4
35. Fakes K. (2024). Patient experiences and anxiety related to medical imaging: challenges and potential solutions. Journal of medical radiation sciences, 71(1), 3–6. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmrs.748
36. National Research Council (US) and Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on the Mathematics and Physics of Emerging Dynamic Biomedical Imaging. Mathematics and Physics of Emerging Biomedical Imaging. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 1996. Chapter 12, Image-Guided Minimally Invasive Diagnostic and Therapeutic Interventional Procedures. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK232483/
37. Najjar R. (2023). Redefining Radiology: A Review of Artificial Intelligence Integration in Medical Imaging. Diagnostics (Basel, Switzerland), 13(17), 2760. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics13172760
38. Bansal G. J. (2006). Digital radiography. A comparison with modern conventional imaging. Postgraduate medical journal, 82(969), 425–428. https://doi.org/10.1136/pgmj.2005.038448
39. International Atomic Energy Agency. (2011). Avoidance of Unnecessary Dose to Patients While Transitioning from Analogue to Digital Radiology. IAEA.
40. Kabasawa H. (2022). MR Imaging in the 21st Century: Technical Innovation over the First Two Decades. Magnetic resonance in medical sciences : MRMS : an official journal of Japan Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 21(1), 71–82. https://doi.org/10.2463/mrms.rev.2021-0011
41. Katti, G., Ara, S. A., & Shireen, A. (2011). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)–A review. International journal of dental clinics, 3(1), 65-70.
42. GharehMohammadi, F., & Sebro, R. A. (2024). Efficient Health Care: Decreasing MRI Scan Time. Radiology. Artificial intelligence, 6(3), e240174. https://doi.org/10.1148/ryai.240174
43. Patel PR, De Jesus O. CT Scan. [Updated 2023 Jan 2]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK567796/
44. Pinto-Coelho L. (2023). How Artificial Intelligence Is Shaping Medical Imaging Technology: A Survey of Innovations and Applications. Bioengineering (Basel, Switzerland), 10(12), 1435. https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering10121435
45. Srivastav, S., Chandrakar, R., Gupta, S., Babhulkar, V., Agrawal, S., Jaiswal, A., ... & Wanjari, M. B. (2023). ChatGPT in radiology: the advantages and limitations of artificial intelligence for medical imaging diagnosis. Cureus, 15(7).
46. Hosny, A., Parmar, C., Quackenbush, J., Schwartz, L. H., & Aerts, H. J. W. L. (2018). Artificial intelligence in radiology. Nature reviews. Cancer, 18(8), 500–510. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-018-0016-5
47. Hardy, M., & Harvey, H. (2020). Artificial intelligence in diagnostic imaging: impact on the radiography profession. The British journal of radiology, 93(1108), 20190840. https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20190840

48. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; National Academy of Medicine; Committee on Systems Approaches to Improve Patient Care by Supporting Clinician Well-Being. Taking Action Against Clinician Burnout: A Systems Approach to Professional Well-Being. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2019 Oct 23. 4, Factors Contributing to Clinician Burnout and Professional Well-Being. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK552615/
49. Abed M, Sandean DP. Magnetic Resonance Imaging Patient Positioning. [Updated 2022 Dec 11]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK565882/
50. Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., ... & Wright, R. (2023). Opinion Paper:"So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. International Journal of Information Management, 71, 102642.
51. Hamdan, A., Hassanien, A. E., Razzaque, A., &Alareeni, B. (Eds.). (2021). The fourth industrial revolution: Implementation of artificial intelligence for growing business success (Vol. 935). Springer Nature.
52. Booth, R. G., Strudwick, G., McBride, S., O'Connor, S., & Solano López, A. L. (2021). How the nursing profession should adapt for a digital future. The BMJ, 373, n1190. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n1190
53. Betz, U. A., Arora, L., Assal, R. A., Azevedo, H., Baldwin, J., Becker, M. S., ... & Zhao, G. (2023). Game changers in science and technology-now and beyond. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 193, 122588.