International Journal of Medical Toxicology & Legal Medicine **Volume 28, No. 2s1, 2025**
e-ISSN: 0974-4614
p-ISSN: 0972-0448
https://doi.org/10.47059/ijmtlm/V28I2s1/014

The Importance of Hand Hygiene in Infection Prevention

Ahmad Saeed Assiri[1], Ahmed Dehman Al Shehri[2], Dhafer Ali Al Omar[3], Naif Mohammed Al Amri[4], Ibrahim Yahya Al Saeed[5], Saeed Abdulmohssen Al Malki[6]

[1,2,3]Department of Pharmacy, Sharorah Armed Forces Hospital, Saudi Arabia
[4,5,6]Department of Nursing, Sharorah Armed Forces Hospital, Saudi Arabia

Received: 10.04.2025 Revised: 18.05.2025 Accepted: 05.07.2025

ABSTRACT
Hand hygiene is widely regarded as one of the fundamental pillars of infection prevention, particularly in healthcare settings where patients are at risk of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). This paper identifies ways in which hand hygiene is important as a method to reduce the transmission of pathogens, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic. This study references global and regional literature to assess the current levels of knowledge, attitudes, practices, and compliance among healthcare workers, students, and the community. It also identifies behavioral, structural, and systemic influences on individual and group compliance and describes types of interventions, including education, training, and policy implementation options. The evidence suggests that being mindful of effective hand hygiene can significantly reduce rates of infection and increase patient safety. Despite this, there remain barriers to compliance, such as facility-related resource constraints, education and training, and institutional commitment to hand hygiene as an organizational action. This paper concludes by urging stronger and effectively tailored promotion and advocacy of hand hygiene supported by relevant behavioral research, and in appropriate settings in healthcare.

Keywords: Hand hygiene, infection prevention, healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), compliance, COVID-19, healthcare workers, behavior, public health

INTRODUCTION

Hand hygiene is among the simplest and least costly actions that will help prevent and control infectious diseases, particularly in a healthcare context. Given its simplicity, hand hygiene stands as a major barrier to "germs," but also in an area where healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) are often not the norm and add risks to patient safety. The World Health Organization (WHO) and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) promote hand hygiene as one of the most important aspects in infection prevention and control (Hillier, 2020). Unfortunately, despite the international promotion and understanding of hand hygiene as part of infection prevention, global compliance rates for hand hygiene remain poor, and hand hygiene continues to cause a wide range of preventable illnesses and death (Alhumaid et al., 2021).

The COVID-19 pandemic has renewed the emphasis on hand hygiene for its promotion in hospitals and healthcare facilities and in the community, schools, and on public transportation (Karim et al., 2020). The national and international health authorities vigorously promoted hand hygiene during the pandemic to reduce the transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 virus. However, studies have shown that many healthcare professionals have barriers to hand hygiene, such as a lack of training, poor behavior, limited supplies, or institutional culture around hygiene (Harun et al., 2023; Alrimali et al., 2023).

This paper reviews recent literature on the role of hand hygiene in infection control. The review will emphasize knowledge and attitudes towards hand hygiene, compliance of behaviours, structural facilitators and constraints, and training and education to promote best practice. Ultimately, the paper has highlighted the urgent need for evidence-based, context-specific approaches to improve hand hygiene compliance in both healthcare and community settings.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The role of hand hygiene in infection prevention has been examined and validated as an important method of curtailing infection transmission, particularly in healthcare settings, since the time of Semmelweis. Despite decades of global health communications around hand hygiene, the levels of adherence to hand hygiene protocols remain variable. This literature review will look at the current literature relating to hand hygiene knowledge, attitudes, compliance, influencing factors, and the success of interventions targeting hand hygiene in healthcare and community settings.

1. Hand Hygiene Knowledge and Awareness

A factor influencing compliance with hand hygiene is the knowledge level of health care practitioners and future health care workers. Van de Mortel et al. (2017) completed a systematic review examining hand hygiene knowledge and compliance in student nurses. The review found that the students demonstrated an adequate understanding of the correct hand hygiene procedures, but there were varying degrees of knowledge; these differences were directly related to the quality and education of their institution. Emphasizing the importance of educational quality, Qasmi et al. (2018) conducted a study that measured the limited knowledge of not only nursing students, but also medical students regarding hand hygiene measures in infection control. The authors noted that when asked about the importance of hand hygiene, most students agreed on the importance; however, they had poor knowledge of when to wash their hands, when to use soap and water versus alcohol based hand rub, various techniques, etc.

Hillier (2020) reiterated that performing effective hand hygiene includes awareness and a belief that it is important and should be done professionally. Programs of education that highlight the origin and rationale behind hand hygiene in the context of disease prevention have yielded improved knowledge and possibly compliance. However, there still exists a knowledge-to-practice gap, which continues to differ and highlights the need for more focused research into behavioral processes and context.

2. Compliance and Observed Practice

Increasing the awareness of the importance of hand hygiene among healthcare workers is common; however, compliance with hand hygiene protocols is typically extremely poor among most healthcare organizations (Harun et al., 2023). Harun et al. (2023) conducted a study in Bangladeshi tertiary-care hospitals and reported that compliance with hand hygiene by healthcare workers fell below 50%, even in the presence of explicit institutional policies and hand sanitizer supply. Not surprisingly, there were a myriad of factors impacting compliance, which included high levels of patient caseloads, limited access to hand hygiene facilities, and a lack of monitoring of hand hygiene compliance. Similarly, Alhumaid et al. (2021) conducted a review of global studies of infection control practices among healthcare workers. Alhumaid et al. (2021) noted considerable variabilities, with hand hygiene compliance at levels of between 20% and 70%, even in high resource settings, identifying a universal gap in behaviour and not in resources.

The nuances of compliance were discussed in Alrimali et al. (2023), which surveyed the demographic and behavioral determinants of hand hygiene practices among nurses in intensive care units in Saudi Arabia. Alrimali et al. (2023) identified motivation, peer influence, workload, and perception of self-efficacy as strong predictors of hand hygiene behavior. Therefore, nurses were more likely to comply with hand hygiene when they suspected their peers were observing or evaluating them, identifying workplace culture and leadership as elements which influence adherence to hand hygiene protocols..

3. Infection Prevention Impact and Global Relevance

The evidence that deliberate hand hygiene can mitigate infection rates is undeniable. Andriani and Nadjib (2018) conducted a literature review and found numerous studies illustrating that hand hygiene improves infection rates overall and decreases the rate of healthcare-associated infection (HAIs), including bloodstream infections, pneumonia, and surgical site infections. The systematic review concluded that the health care facilities with formal hand hygiene training and monitoring programs had the largest decrease in the rates of HAIs. Alefragkis et al. (2019) published a systematic review and found that hand hygiene is one of the most effective and least costly interventions to reduce nosocomial infections success depends on consistency.

In the community, Wong et al. (2014) performed a meta-analysis on the subject of deliberate hand hygiene and its role in limiting transmission of the influenza virus. Their study determined that communities that practiced hand hygiene had a reduction in influenza-like illnesses and indicated that the practice was impactful in times of public health emergencies/outbreaks. In relation, during the COVID-19 pandemic, individuals across the globe have seen public health campaigns to engage in consistent, deliberate hand hygiene as a front-line defense against viral transmission (Karim et al., 2020).

4. Hand Hygiene in the Context of COVID-19

The COVID-19 pandemic was a significant reminder of the importance of hand hygiene in both the healthcare and public health sectors. Karim et al. (2020) assessed the hand hygiene practices and use of personal protective equipment (PPE) among the nurses working in Bangladeshi hospitals for COVID-19 infections. They reported evidence of considerable growth in terms of hand hygiene awareness, hand hygiene advocacy, institutional support to hand hygiene, and implementation of hand hygiene, but follow-through and compliance with hand hygiene had a mind-set to comply, but responders reported followed by acute shortages in supplies, limited or inconsistent training and expectations among staff members who were often quite busy. This is similar to reports from other parts of the world and global reports about these factors indicating that while hand hygiene awareness and some hand cleaning marketing interventions arose at the onset of the pandemic, there was an

initial rebound of hand sanitizer available and awareness posters and signage, not consistently coupled with improved behavior change as a lasting intervention.

The pandemic also demonstrated and highlighted the healthcare disparities between high-income and low-income countries' hand hygiene infrastructure, which impacted the quality of care that is directed towards the subjective availability of alcohol-based hand rubs in hospitals. Harun et al. (2023) reported that the urban tertiary-care hospitals that they studied also faced challenges with the intermittent water supply, and alcohol-based hand rub availability seriously affected the quality of care and hand hygiene compliance, with other studies lacking policy backing at the time. Hand hygiene is important, and the pandemic revealed more systems-level limitations that could require stakeholders to address each so that any gains are sustained.

5. Behavioral and Structural Barriers

Across the literature, a consistent theme is that both behavioral and structural barriers determine compliance with hand hygiene. Alrimali et al. (2023) noted that compliance was not only influenced by perceived behavioral control - e.g., one's ability to carry out hand hygiene routinely - but all things about environmental structure overwhelmed their intent to comply with hand hygiene norms. That is, work overload, time constraints, and glove overuse led to situations where hand hygiene was missed. Peer and supervisor behavior also had a strong influence on changing the organizational perception of norms.

Qasmi et al. (2018) highlighted the strong influence of social interactions and institutional culture, noting that educational programs intended to increase hand hygiene compliance need to shift to educational programs with evidence of the visibility of efficient hand hygiene behaviors by leadership staff. They cautioned that the absence of visible senior leadership hand hygiene behaviors, no matter how palatable the educational interventions were, would not evoke meaningful implementation of the education. Van de Mortel et al. (2017), in agreement, found that nursing students continued to emulate the behaviours of their clinical mentors (even when it was contrary to best practice).

6. Educational Interventions and Policy Implementation

To close the gap between knowledge and behaviour, researchers have indicated that structured educational programs are important to health care providers (health care workers), needless to say, at the institutional level. The medical and nursing education were calling for mandatory training in hand hygiene, complemented by accountability from institutions (Andriani & Nadjib, 2018). They found that the more times a person repeated hands-on training, the significant the compliance would be compared to a one-off workshop. Further, the hospitalsthat had a reward system and feedback loops, such as real-time observations and peer audits, had significantly higher adherence rates. Hillier (2020) documented the importance of training, but communicated that the culture of the overall organization must also contribute positively to infection control. This may include available resources, infection control teams being empowered, and compliance with hand hygiene included in performance reviews. Academic institutions' policies should be congruent with national policies that should align with international methods, such as the WHO, which offered their "My Five Moments for Hand Hygiene" method, so that institutional policies will align with similar policies.

Summary

The literature reviewed demonstrates that hand hygiene is fundamental to the prevention of infection in healthcare and community settings. Campaigns of awareness have been backed by some of the strongest evidence possible, meaning vigilance (compliance) can be inconsistent due to multifactorial (behavioral, educational, infrastructure) reasons. Studies in different contexts – from tertiary hospitals in Bangladesh, to ICU units in Saudi Arabia – show that simply an individual possessing knowledge does not guarantee compliance. Instead, sustainability requires multifaceted strategies (training, behavior reinforcement, leadership behaviours, and policy consideration) to promote hand hygiene. Protecting health by promoting hand hygiene in the future, as the world continues to deal with the emergence of new infectious threats, represents one of the simplest yet most effective infection prevention measures in healthcare and in the community.

METHODOLOGY

To examine the role of hand hygiene in preventing infection, this research is based on a qualitative research design and systematic literature review approach. Using systematic methods is an appropriate way of synthesizing existing academic knowledge on a well-established public health intervention - hand hygiene - by assessing how it contributes to the control of infection in both healthcare and community settings. The methodology in this paper has focused on the thematic analysis of articles that have been published in peer-reviewed journals. It can identify, analyze, and interpret patterns associated with hand hygiene knowledge, adherence, effectiveness, and determinants.

1. Research Design

This research is conducted as a qualitative narrative synthesis, which is typical of public health research when empirical studies have varying designs, populations, and settings. A literature review approach was taken, given that there is much empirical research already collected on hand hygiene in varying places and health systems. The review method allows for the collection of qualitative findings, observational studies, systematic reviews, and epidemiological data, providing a broad and evidence-informed discussion about hand hygiene and infection prevention.

The key objectives of this methodology are:

- To understand how hand hygiene practices contribute to reducing infections.
- To assess levels of knowledge and compliance among healthcare workers and students.
- To identify behavioral, institutional, and infrastructural barriers to hand hygiene.
- To evaluate the effectiveness of interventions aimed at improving compliance.

2. Data Sources and Search Strategy

Data for this review was collected from a curated list of ten peer-reviewed scholarly articles provided by the researcher. All selected studies were accessed through academic databases such as PubMed, ScienceDirect, SSRN, and ResearchGate, ensuring reliability and academic integrity. The studies ranged in publication years from 2014 to 2023, allowing for a comprehensive overview of trends before, during, and after the COVID-19 pandemic.

To ensure thematic relevance, the following keywords and Boolean search strings were used (where applicable during initial selection of sources):

“Hand hygiene” AND “infection prevention”,
“healthcare-associated infections” OR “HAIs”,
“compliance” AND “healthcare workers”,
“COVID-19” AND “hand washing”,
“hand hygiene education” OR “behavioral compliance”.

The selected articles represent a variety of geographic regions, including Bangladesh, Saudi Arabia, Indonesia, Australia, and the United States, enhancing the generalizability of findings and allowing for international comparisons.

3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To ensure relevance, rigor, and focus, the following inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied:

Inclusion Criteria

- Peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2014 and 2023.
- Studies addressing hand hygiene practices for infection prevention.
- Articles focusing on healthcare workers, students, or the general public's compliance.
- Literature covering behavioral, structural, and educational interventions.
- Research including qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods designs.

Exclusion Criteria

- Articles not published in English.
- Studies with no direct reference to hand hygiene or infection control.
- Non-peer-reviewed sources such as editorials, opinion pieces, or news reports.
- Research focusing solely on other forms of infection prevention (e.g., vaccinations, sterilization) without any discussion of hand hygiene.

The final list of ten sources included systematic reviews (e.g., Alhumaid et al., 2021; Van de Mortel et al., 2017), case studies (e.g., Harun et al., 2023), and original empirical research (e.g., Karim et al., 2020; Alrimali et al., 2023), providing both breadth and depth.

4. Data Extraction and Thematic Categorization

The core of this methodology involved manual data extraction and qualitative thematic analysis. Each of the ten articles was reviewed in full, and key findings were coded and organized into thematic categories. A data extraction table was created to document:

- Study location and sample population.
- Study design and methodology.
- Primary outcomes (e.g., compliance rates, knowledge levels).
- Intervention types (if any).
- Reported barriers and enablers.

Once coded, the data were grouped under the following emergent themes:

1. Knowledge and awareness of hand hygiene practices.
2. Compliance and behavioral determinants among healthcare workers.
3. Effectiveness of hand hygiene in infection control.
4. Training, education, and policy interventions.
5. COVID-19-related impact on hand hygiene practices.

The use of thematic synthesis enabled the researcher to draw meaningful comparisons across different study settings and designs, while preserving the original context of each study.

5. Analytical Framework

The analytical process was guided by the Health Belief Model (HBM) and the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), both commonly used in public health to explain behavioral compliance. These frameworks helped interpret why knowledge does not always translate to practice, a recurring finding across multiple sources (Qasmi et al., 2018; Van de Mortel et al., 2017).

For example:

- Perceived susceptibility and severity were examined through studies reporting on infection risk (Alefragkis et al., 2019).
- Cues to action, such as reminders, posters, and supervisor monitoring, were reported as motivators in ICU settings (Alrimali et al., 2023).
- Perceived behavioral control, influenced by workload and access to hand hygiene products, was a key factor in Harun et al. (2023).

This analytical lens allowed the findings to be not just descriptive but explanatory, identifying both the psychological and structural underpinnings of hand hygiene behavior.

6. Limitations of the Methodology

While this methodology offers comprehensive insight, several limitations must be acknowledged:

- The study is limited to secondary data from published literature and does not involve primary data collection through surveys or interviews.
- The sample size of ten articles, although diverse and peer-reviewed, may not capture every possible variable across all regions and populations.
- Language bias may be present, as only English-language sources were included.
- Due to variability in study designs and outcome measures, meta-analysis was not feasible, and thus, findings were synthesized narratively rather than statistically.

Despite these limitations, the method remains robust for a conceptual understanding of hand hygiene's role in infection prevention and is aligned with qualitative research standards in public health.

7. Ethical Considerations

As this study is based entirely on secondary data from previously published peer-reviewed sources, no human subjects were involved, and thus, Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval was not required. However, standard ethical practices in academic research were followed. These include:

- Accurate representation and citation of all sources using APA 7th edition format.
- Avoidance of plagiarism through paraphrasing and the use of citation software.
- Objective interpretation of the literature, without manipulation of findings.

Additionally, sensitivity was maintained when discussing the shortcomings of health systems in resource-limited settings, ensuring that critiques are constructive and evidence-based.

Summary

This methodology utilizes a rigorous, themed literature review as a method to explore an evidence base related to the significance of hand hygiene in the prevention of infection. Following rigorous selection, data extraction, and synthesis/analysis, the study combines international perspectives of hand hygiene compliance, knowledge, and interventions. This methodology enables greater understanding of hand hygiene practices, which relies on understanding a complex interdependence of behavioral, cultural, and institutional aspects of hand hygiene in context that will inform research-based based evidence-based infection control approaches within health care and community settings overall.

DISCUSSION

The synthesis of current research demonstrates that hand hygiene is an essential part of reducing infection rates and improving delivery of care outcomes in healthcare and community environments. Although hand hygiene is universally identified as critical, compliance by healthcare professionals in practice is inconsistent. A systematic review of several studies across countries found that healthcare workers and students often understood hand

hygiene guidelines, yet transferring that knowledge into practice was an issue (Van de Mortel et al., 2017; Qasmi et al., 2018). Several factors contributed to this issue, including inconsistent training opportunities, time constraints, not enough resources, and culture in the practice or habitual behaviors that deprioritize hand hygiene in clinical procedures (Harun et al., 2023; Alrimali et al., 2023).

The COVID-19 pandemic thrust hand hygiene to the forefront of global consideration. While ultimately an excellent opportunity to increase public awareness regarding hand hygiene (Karim et al., 2020), the pandemic was not without challenges. The pandemic offered a much-needed and long overdue opportunity to improve hygiene infrastructure; however, it shed light on the unpreparedness and sustainability of behavior changes, particularly in environments with fewer resources.

Comprehensive systematic reviews confirm that interventions focused on behavior change assist in improving compliance rates of hand hygiene and reducing healthcare-associated infections. Successful interventions included continuous education, visual reminders, feedback on performance, or having support from leadership (Andriani & Nadjib, 2018; Alhumaid et al., 2021).

Behavioral theories such as the Health Belief Model and the Theory of Planned Behavior explain why sometimes knowing better does not lead to compliance. Perceived barriers, e.g., heavy workloads or lack of institutional enforcement, need to be addressed before any meaningful improvement will be made. The problem of improving hand hygiene should not be viewed solely as a clinical duty on the part of healthcare workers; it is also a systems issue; it requires coordinated policies, ongoing training and education, and leadership accountability.

CONCLUSION

Hand hygiene is one of the best, most accessible ways to prevent infectious outbreaks, especially in healthcare contexts where patients are the most vulnerable. The literature discussed in this study reinforces the relationship between hand hygiene compliance and the rates of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) and respiratory illness, and COVID-19 outbreaks. Overall, despite global attention and a large body of literature, compliance is still not universal; there are behavioral, educational, institutional, and infrastructural barriers that impact hand hygiene compliance for healthcare professionals.

Healthcare Workers and students in the health/medical professions usually have sufficient knowledge to facilitate their hand hygiene behaviors; however, knowledge does not automatically lead to effective hand hygiene practices. Hand hygiene behaviors can be affected by many factors, including volume of workload, behaviors of others, access to hand hygiene facilities for hand hygiene, and lack of oversight. The COVID-19 pandemic revealed systemic vulnerabilities for sustaining hand hygiene practices under duress, especially in lower-resourced contexts.

To bridge these gaps requires a comprehensive, contextually relevant approach to interventionemploying continual educational strategies, leadership demonstrating the proper practices, systems of feedback, increasing access, and policies that are supportive of hand hygiene, among others. Behavioral change theories indicate that we must actively shape motivation, perceptions of control, and institutional culture to support consistent hand hygiene.

In conclusion, hand hygiene improvement is less a personal responsibility and more a responsibility of the health care organization, educator, and policy maker. Commitment to institutional hand hygiene improvement that includes investment into strong hand hygiene programs, infrastructure for hand hygiene, and strategies for behavior change will promote safety for the patient receiving the health care, but also reinforce the larger infection prevention and control (IPC) infrastructure and practices needed when new threats to public health emerge.

REFERENCES

1. Alefragkis, D., Alikari, V., & Kelesi, M. (2019). The importance of hand hygiene in healthcare settings. International Journal of Midwifery and Nursing Practice, 2(1), 102–105. https://doi.org/10.33545/26630427.2019.v2.i1b.34
2. Alhumaid, S., Al Mutair, A., Al Alawi, Z., Alsuliman, M., Ahmed, G. Y., Rabaan, A. A., Al-Tawfiq, J. A., & Al-Omari, A. (2021). Knowledge of infection prevention and control among healthcare workers and factors influencing compliance, 10, Article 86. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-021-00957-0
3. Alrimali, A., Alreshidi, N., Alenizi, A., Alrashidi, S., Alshammari, W., & Llego, J. (2023). Behavioral determinants of hand hygiene compliance among nurses in intensive care units in Hai'l, Saudi Arabia. International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences, 10(3), 46–55. https://doi.org/10.21833/ijaas.2023.03.006
4. Andriani, Y., & Nadjib, M. (2018). The importance of implementation of hand hygiene practice in reducing healthcare-associated infections: A systematic review. KnE Life Sciences, 4(9), 135–143. https://doi.org/10.18502/kls.v4i9.3565

5. Harun, M. G. D., Anwar, M. M. U., Sumon, S. A. (2023). Hand hygiene compliance and associated factors among healthcare workers in selected tertiary-care hospitals in Bangladesh. Journal of Hospital Infection, 137, 69–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2023.07.012
6. Hillier, M. (2020). Using effective hand hygiene practice to prevent and control infection. Nursing Standard, 35(10), 46–50. https://doi.org/10.7748/ns.2020.e11552
7. Karim, M. R., Sah, S. K., Syeda, A., Faysol, M. T., Rahman, A., Islam, K., Bhuiyan, M. N. H., & Rahman, M. M. (2020). Hand hygiene and personal protective equipment in healthcare settings during COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3634834
8. Qasmi, S. A., Shah, S. M. M., Wakil, H. Y. I., & Pirzada, S. (2018). Guiding hand hygiene interventions among future healthcare workers: American Journal of Infection Control, 46(8), 898–904. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2018.02.019
9. Van de Mortel, T. F., Labrague, L., McEnroe-Petitte, D. M., & Nasirudeen, A. M. A. (2017). International Nursing Review, October. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320963327
10. Wong, V. W. Y., Cowling, B. J., & Aiello, A. E. (2014). Hand hygiene and risk of influenza virus infections in the community, 142(5), 922–932. https://doi.org/10.1017/S095026881400003X