

Sepsis Recognition and Early Intervention in Emergency Settings: A Life saving Approach

Saud Fahad Abdulmajeed¹, Abdulmajeed Hadi Alanazi², Soja Shafiq Ali Al Naser³,
Ghufran Mohammed Alobaid⁴, Mufarreah Sultan Alsubaie⁵, Mohammad Mosfer
Alalyani⁴, Mamdouh Farhan Raja⁶, Meshal Saidan Awad Alshammari⁷, Zahra Faisal
Alsayegh⁸

¹ER Resident - Emergency Department, Diriyah Hospital- Riyadh Third Health cluster

²General physician, Emergency department, Diriyah Hospital- Riyadh Third Health cluster

³Emergency Medical Service, Damammadical center

⁴Emergency Medical Services, Eastern health cluster, Qatif central Hospital

⁵Technician - emergency medical services, Diriyah Hospital- Riyadh Third Health cluster

⁶Technician - Emergency medical services, Alawiqela hospital- Northern Borders Health cluster

⁷Technician - Emergency medical services, Alshaaba general hospital, Northern Borders Health cluster

⁸Emergency Medical Service specialist, Eastern health cluster, King Fahad Specialist Hospital

Received: 15.10.2024

Revised: 13.11.2024

Accepted: 10.12.2024

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Although medical care has advanced, sepsis is still a major worldwide health problem with millions of deaths each year. This is a condition wherein a systemic inflammatory response syndrome develops in the body to an infection and results in organ dysfunction and, in derogatory cases, multi organ failure. In the era of emergencies, with time being the deciding factor for patient outcome, identification and treatment of sepsis as expediently as possible are vital for survival.

Aim of work: To explore the importance of early recognition and timely intervention in the management of sepsis in emergency settings.

Methods: We conducted a comprehensive search in the MEDLINE database's electronic literature using the following search terms: Sepsis, Recognition, Early Intervention, Emergency Settings, Lifesaving and Approach. The search was restricted to publications from 2016 to 2025 in order to locate relevant content. We performed a search on Google Scholar to locate and examine academic papers that pertain to my subject matter. The selection of articles was impacted by certain criteria for inclusion.

Results: The publications analyzed in this study encompassed from 2016 to 2024. The study was structured into various sections with specific headings in the discussion section.

Conclusion: Early intervention in the emergency settings is essential to improve patient outcomes by reducing mortality from Sepsis. Successful sepsis management is highly associated with implementation of sepsis screening tools, adherence to the SSC bundle, and early initiation of fluid resuscitation and antibiotics. Yet, as challenges such as diagnostic uncertainty, ED overcrowding, and variability of adhering to protocols persist, proper care lags. Better recognition and intervention can be achieved through integration of AI driven sepsis alert system, clinicians training improvement and dedicated sepsis response teams. The focus should be to treat sepsis as an emergency so that many lives can be saved and the burden of sepsis related complications greatly diminished.

Keywords: Sepsis, Recognition, Early Intervention, Emergency Settings, Lifesaving and Approach

INTRODUCTION

In spite of improved medical care, sepsis continues to be a critical global health problem killing millions of people around the world each year. Because of this, it is a life threatening condition characterized by systemic inflammation with organ dysfunction, and in the most severe cases multi organ failure (Rudd et al., 2018). Sepsis is a major cause of mortality worldwide, which is recognized by the World Health Organization (WHO), and must be recognized and treated early in order to decrease its impact. In Emergency settings, the timing of the decisions result in patient's life or death, and need for fast identification and quick treatment of sepsis is important. Failure to recognize sepsis can lead to irreversible organ damage, substantially higher healthcare

costs, and even higher mortality rates. As a result, it is vital to have in place effective sepsis recognition protocols and prompt intervention in EDs in order to increase patient prognosis (Uffen et al., 2021).

An estimated 49 million people worldwide annually are affected by sepsis with more than 11 million deaths to become one of the leading causes of hospital mortality (Cassini et al., 2021). Sepsis is ultimately responsible for about 270,000 deaths in the United States per year and is a major reason for ICU admissions. Sepsis also imposes a massive economic burden, as hospital stays become lengthy, intensive treatments required, and as costly sepsis complications arise later. Acts, associated costs, such as these, add up to billions of dollars. Although fairly common, sepsis is often underdiagnosed in its early stage because its symptoms (that is, fever, confusion, hypotension, tachycardia) can be confused for other diseases. However, with the complexity of sepsis, an arbitrary approach to emergency setting does not help in better detection and earlier initiation to sepsis treatment (Melville, 2019).

Early diagnosis of sepsis is difficult in its heterogeneous presentation and this is one of the biggest challenges in sepsis management. The symptoms vary according to the patient's age, comorbidities, and the source of the infection (Schultz et al., 2019). Sepsis occurs when bacteria in the body leads to infection, which then rapidly progresses to septic shock within a matter of hours in many cases. One of the major problems in early recognition is that emergency departments are normally overcrowded and delayed triage and assessment further complicate the situation. Furthermore, depending on sepsis, diagnosis markers including white blood cell count and fever may not always be dependable for detecting sepsis in a timely manner. This has led to more emphasis on integrating standardized screening tools like the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score and the quick SOFA (qSOFA) criteria in an ED to enable early diagnosis of such patients (Adams, 2023).

The effectiveness of timely intervention in sepsis and improved survival rates are directly linked (Burrell et al., 2016). Evaluations point out that for every hour that antibiotic is delayed, the mortality risk rises nearly 8%. Surgeons in India do not read the Surviving Sepsis Campaign and ignore the recommendation for a 1 hour bundle of early fluid resuscitation, broad spectrum antibiotics, blood culture collection and lactate measurement as the 'golden hour' (Watts, 2017). Risk stratification and severity determination of the illness are aided by the use of point of care diagnosis such as procalcitonin and lactate. Also, artificial intelligence and machine learning continues to be explored in these research boundaries as it leads to predictive models for early sepsis detection in EDs for the purpose of enhancing diagnostic accuracy and response time (O'Reilly et al., 2024).

Early recognition and intervention of sepsis in emergency settings are also important elements of efficient patient care. Because the course of sepsis is unpredictable, structured screening, rapid diagnostics and compliance with clinical guidelines are critical to improve outcomes (Kim, Park 2019). Given that emergency departments act as the frontline for critically ill patients, awareness, training, and advanced detection strategies to detect sepsis can decrease significantly mortality and morbidity. Early recognition and immediate treatment of sepsis can improve patient survival and lessen the long term burden of sepsis to patients and to society (Kim & Park, 2019).

AIM OF WORK

This study is aimed at the importance of early recognition and timely intervention in management for sepsis in emergency settings. In this review of current evidence on the pathophysiology, presentation, diagnostic tools and treatment protocols of sepsis, the impact of early detection and adherence to Surviving Sepsis Campaign (SSC) bundle and on patient outcome is highlighted. Finally, the study seeks to identify the major challenges encountered in sepsis management in emergency departments as well as propose strategies of improving on early diagnosis, adherence with the protocol and timely treatment aimed at reducing sepsis related mortality and morbidity.

METHODS

A thorough search was carried out on well-known scientific platforms like Google Scholar and Pubmed, utilizing targeted keywords such as Sepsis, Recognition, Early Intervention, Emergency Settings, Lifesaving and Approach. The goal was to collect all pertinent research papers. Articles were chosen according to certain criteria. Upon conducting a comprehensive analysis of the abstracts and notable titles of each publication, we eliminated case reports, duplicate articles, and publications without full information. The reviews included in this research were published from 2016 to 2024.

RESULTS

The current investigation concentrated on the importance of early recognition and timely intervention in the management of sepsis in emergency settings between 2016 and 2025. As a result, the review was published under many headlines in the discussion area, including: Understanding Sepsis: Pathophysiology and Clinical Presentation, The Importance of Early Sepsis Recognition in Emergency Departments, Early Intervention Strategies: The Role of the Sepsis Bundle, Challenges in Sepsis Recognition and Intervention in Emergency Settings, Strategies to Improve Sepsis Recognition and Early Intervention

DISCUSSION

Sepsis is a life threatening condition wherein the body has an overreaction to an infection causing organ dysfunction and possible mortality. Although we have made significant stride in the field of medical science, sepsis is still high of the globe's leading health issues, causing frequent morbidity and death, especially in emergency environment (Liu et al., 2024). Improving patient outcome and reducing fatality rates for patients with sepsis depend on the rapid ED recognition and early intervention. Sepsis cannot consistently be predicted, and diagnosis and treatment can be delayed, so it can cause irreversible damage to vital organs leading to death (Liu et al., 2024). In this review, the optimal clinical indicators for early identification of sepsis, the importance of the time in sepsis recognition and the significance of early intervention strategies including early fluid resuscitation, antibiotic and hemodynamic stabilization are explored. Moreover, it discusses the challenges in the emergency setting and solutions to improve the management of sepsis.

1. Understanding Sepsis: Pathophysiology and Clinical Presentation

Severe Sepsis is a complex condition that is related to a cascade involving inflammatory and immune responses triggered by infection. The infection causing this primarily has a bacterial cause but may also arise from a viral, fungal, or parasitic infection. These inflammatory mediators, namely cytokines, are released by the immune system as an attempt to fight against the infection and cause widespread vasodilation, increased capillary permeability, and tissue hypoxia. Consequently, the patient may experience hypotension, lactic acidosis and MODS. If left untreated, sepsis will progress to septic shock defined as persistent hypotension despite appropriate fluid resuscitation and vasopressor support (Gotts & Matthay 2016).

Sepsis has broad ranges of clinical presentation and its recognition in emergency settings is difficult due to its wide ranges of mimics of its presentation that present in clinically. Fever or hypothermia, tachycardia, tachypnea, hypotension, altered mental status, and signs of end organ dysfunction, such as decreased urine output and elevated serum lactate level are the early warning signs. Surviving Sepsis Campaign (SSC) guideline recommends using Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score and quick SOFA (qSOFA criteria) to assess patients at risk to poor outcomes. The three parameters used for a qSOFA score include altered mental status, respiratory rate ≥ 22 breaths per minute and systolic blood pressure ≤ 100 mmHg. If the score is 2 or more, mortality is high and urgent intervention is necessary (Said et al., 2019).

2. The Importance of Early Sepsis Recognition in Emergency Departments

Sepsis management is the frontline, the emergency department, and early recognition can change these outcomes tremendously. There were studies that showed the delayed recognition of sepsis associated with increased mortality, prolonged hospital stay and higher healthcare cost. Just like for stroke and myocardial infarction, the concept of the 'golden hour' of sepsis care indicates the importance of prompt identification and treatment within 1 hour of presentation. Unfortunately, the symptoms are nonspecific and can vary in presentation and can have overlapping features with other conditions, delaying diagnosis (Morr et al., 2016).

In response, many hospitals have developed sepsis screening protocols currently employing automated alert systems and sepsis checklists. Electronic health records (EHRs) are used to detect abnormal vital signs and laboratory markers associated with sepsis by means of these protocols. Second, procalcitonin (PCT), C reactive protein (CRP), and lactate levels are also biomarkers that prove useful in determining whether sepsis is an inflammatory syndrome. Particularly ones that help define patients at increased risk of developing septic shock (Siloși et al. 2018), elevated lactate levels > 2 mmol/L. Emergency physicians and nurses need to be aware and observe high risk patients in particular with diabetes, chronic kidney disease, immunosuppressions among others that are at risk of severe sepsis (Duguay, 2019).

3. Early Intervention Strategies: The Role of the Sepsis Bundle

Early intervention remains the cornerstone of sepsis management focused on stabilizing the patient and preventing septic shock and is embodied by a bundle of evidence based practices. The Surviving Sepsis Campaign Bundle (SSC Bundle) is a structured approach that contains appropriate interventions at certain defined time intervals to improve the survival rate (Burrell et al., 2016).

The First Hour Bundle: The "Golden Hour" of Sepsis Management

The SSC recommends initiating the following key interventions within the first hour of sepsis recognition:

- 1. Early Fluid Resuscitation:** Capillary leakage and vasodilation related to sepsis often leads to intravascular volume depletion. Fluid resuscitation with 30 mL/kg intravenous crystalloid solution (e.g., normal saline or lactated Ringer's) should be done aggressively and in amounts to restore hemodynamic stability. The aim is a MAP greater than 65 mmHg to maintain adequate organ perfusion (Marik & Bellomo, 2016).
- 2. Administration of Broad-Spectrum Antibiotics:** In management of sepsis, one of the most critical intervention is early administration of broad spectrum antibiotics. Each hour of delay in administration of

antibiotics adds about 7-8% to a patient's risk of death. The antibiotic therapy for suspected infection source should be guided by the local antibiogram data, and patient history. When it is known that a causative pathogen has been identified from blood cultures, therapy should be de-escalated to targeted antibiotics (Kollef et al., 2021).

3. **Measurement of Lactate Levels:** There is a biomarker in serum lactate of tissue hypoxia and metabolic stress. Sepsis induced hypoperfusion, and requires aggressive resuscitation may be suggested by a lactate level > 2 mmol/L. In populations with blood plasma lactate measurements, serial lactate measurements guide fluid therapy and evaluate treatment response (Suhas, 2017).
4. **Blood Cultures Before Antibiotics:** To determine the causative pathogen and optimise antimicrobial drug treatment, it is important that antibiotic therapy is started before blood cultures. Two sets of blood cultures from two different sites should be ideally collected to enhance diagnostic accuracy (Cheng et al., 2019).
5. **Vasopressor Therapy for Persistent Hypotension:** In case of MAP less than 65 mmHg with sufficient fluid resuscitation, vasopressors such as norepinephrine will be initiated to maintain the MAP ≥ 65 mmHg. Vasopressors are used early, which reduces the risk of organ failure and increase survival (Scheeren et al., 2019).

4. Challenges in Sepsis Recognition and Intervention in Emergency Settings

While established guidelines are well established for sepsis care, optimal care in emergency settings is hindered by a number of challenges. The presence of a myriad of potential causes with nonspecific septic symptoms (e.g. pneumonia, acute heart failure or inflammatory diseases) that overlap with sepsis, is one of the major barriers. This ambiguity drives under diagnosis or misdiagnosis of Lyme disease and consequently the delay in life-saving intervention (Filbin et al., 2018).

The other challenge has to do with the time constraint and a crowded ED. The ability to prioritize sepsis recognition when managing multiple critically ill patients simultaneously makes the sepsis recognition task an emergency physician's emergency. Those in contact with patients in the emergency department may experience high patient load and drutment, which may delay resources while investments such as lactate measurements and blood cultures could be delayed and may hinder timely diagnosis and treatment (Ranjit & Kissoon, 2021).

Also, there is considerable variability in the clinician's awareness and sepsis protocol adherence. It has been observed in studies that healthcare providers, show different compliance rates of this SSC bundle depending on training, clinical judgment and institutional policies. However, continuous education, sepsis simulation, and protocol standardization will improve adherence to sepsis guidelines (Ranjit & Kissoon, 2021).

5. Strategies to Improve Sepsis Recognition and Early Intervention

To overcome the aforementioned challenges, several measures can be utilized to improve sepsis management in settings of incidence of emergency. EHRs that include artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning algorithms generating sepsis alerts from analyzing patient data. Emergency physicians (Lauritsen et al., 2020) are alerted to high risk patients that AI driven decision support systems can identify and prompt.

Additionally, sepsis education and sepsis training programs for emergency healthcare providers should also be developed to improve awareness and sepsis sepsis protocols practice. Choy et al. (2022) state that regular training sessions, case-based learning and real time feedback helps to reinforce best practices while improving clinical decision making.

In addition, the use of sepsis response teams (like rapid response teams) shall help to streamline sepsis management through timely assessment and intervention. Emergency physicians, intensivists, infectious disease specialists and critical care nurses that work in multidisciplinary teams to improve sepsis care (Rabago Moreno, 2023).

CONCLUSION

Sepsis is still a global health problem, especially in emergency settings with the need to detect as soon as possible and quickly taking measures to save patients lives. This is particularly relevant given its nonspecific presentation and rapid progression, delay of timely diagnosis and poor adherence to evidence based protocols such as the Surviving Sepsis Campaign (SSC) bundle continue to contribute to disease progression and high mortality rates. Many sepsis patients first present in the emergency department, which makes it critical for the healthcare providers to watch carefully for high risk patients on the basis of the clinical criteria such as the quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (qSOFA) score, serum lactate levels, and other biomarkers.

Pivotal role in the improvement of outcomes lies early intervention, ie prompt fluid resuscitation, administration of broad spectrum antibiotics, and hemodynamic stabilization. Sepsis care emphasizes the golden hour concept which is the hour first from recognition that sepsis exists that must be addressed or life threatening multiorgan dysfunction and septic shock will occur. However, despite having established guidelines, there are challenges like diagnostic uncertainty, emergency department overcrowding, and variability in clinician adherence to protocols, that prevent optimal sepsis management.

Artificial intelligence (AI) driven alert system including integration of sepsis education, training program and establishing dedicated sepsis response team can overcome these barriers" regarding early recognition and intervention in sepsis. Moreover, sepsis management guideline compliance can be optimized through the implementation of continuous quality improvement initiatives, real time monitoring and protocol standardization.

Through the prioritization of sepsis recognition and the implementation of systematic function of early intervention strategies, healthcare systems can greatly decrease the impact of sepsis related complications and mortality. The future work on emergency department preparedness for sepsis care will include continued research, technological speculations, and policy reforms which can enable better patient outcomes and improved healthcare administration.

REFERENCES

1. Adams, J. R. (2023). Management of Sepsis with the Quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (Doctoral dissertation, Grand Canyon University).
2. Burrell, A. R., McLaws, M. L., Fullick, M., Sullivan, R. B., & Sindhusake, D. (2016). SEPSIS KILLS: early intervention saves lives. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 204(2), 73-73.
3. Cassini, A., Allegranzi, B., Fleischmann-Struzek, C., Kortz, T., Markwart, R., Saito, H., ... & Moussy, F. (2020). Global Report on the epidemiology and burden on sepsis: current evidence, identifying gaps and future directions. *Global Report on the epidemiology and burden on sepsis: current evidence, identifying gaps and future directions*.
4. Cheng, M. P., Stenstrom, R., Paquette, K., Stabler, S. N., Akhter, M., Davidson, A. C., ... & FABLED Investigators*. (2019). Blood culture results before and after antimicrobial administration in patients with severe manifestations of sepsis: a diagnostic study. *Annals of internal medicine*, 171(8), 547-554.
5. Choy, C. L., Liaw, S. Y., Goh, E. L., See, K. C., & Chua, W. L. (2022). Impact of sepsis education for healthcare professionals and students on learning and patient outcomes: a systematic review. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 122, 84-95.
6. Duguay, D. C. (2019). Integration of Recognition, Diagnostic, and Treatment Strategies between Prehospital Emergency Medical Services and Hospital Emergency Departments in the Management of Patients with Acute Sepsis and Septic Shock (Master's thesis, Boston University).
7. Filbin, M. R., Thorsen, J. E., Lynch, J., Gillingham, T. D., Pasakarnis, C. L., Capp, R., ... & Reisner, A. T. (2018). Challenges and opportunities for emergency department sepsis screening at triage. *Scientific reports*, 8(1), 11059.
8. Gotts, J. E., & Matthay, M. A. (2016). Sepsis: pathophysiology and clinical management. *Bmj*, 353.
9. Kim, H. I., & Park, S. (2019). Sepsis: early recognition and optimized treatment. *Tuberculosis and respiratory diseases*, 82(1), 6-14.
10. Kollef, M. H., Shorr, A. F., Bassetti, M., Timsit, J. F., Micek, S. T., Michelson, A. P., & Garnacho-Montero, J. (2021). Timing of antibiotic therapy in the ICU. *Critical Care*, 25, 1-10.
11. Lauritsen, S. M., Kalør, M. E., Kongsgaard, E. L., Lauritsen, K. M., Jørgensen, M. J., Lange, J., & Thiesson, B. (2020). Early detection of sepsis utilizing deep learning on electronic health record event sequences. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 104, 101820.
12. Liu, Z., Ting, Y., Li, M., Li, Y., Tan, Y., & Long, Y. (2024). From immune dysregulation to organ dysfunction: Understanding the enigma of Sepsis. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 15, 1415274.
13. Marik, P., & Bellomo, R. (2016). A rational approach to fluid therapy in sepsis. *BJA: British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 116(3), 339-349.
14. Melville, J. K. (2019). UNDERSTANDING THE MECHANISMS OF PROTOCOL IMPLEMENTATION FOR THE EARLY RECOGNITION AND TREATMENT OF PROBABLE SEPSIS (Doctoral dissertation, University of Saskatchewan).
15. Morr, M., Lukasz, A., Rübig, E., Pavenstädt, H., & Kümpers, P. (2016). Sepsis recognition in the emergency department—impact on quality of care and outcome?. *BMC emergency medicine*, 17, 1-8.
16. O'Reilly, D., McGrath, J., & Martin-Loeches, I. (2024). Optimizing artificial intelligence in sepsis management: Opportunities in the present and looking closely to the future. *Journal of Intensive Medicine*, 4(1), 34-45.
17. Rabago Moreno, M. P. (2023). Optimizing Sepsis Management Through Enhanced Protocol Compliance in the Emergency Department.
18. Ranjit, S., & Kissoon, N. (2021). Challenges and solutions in translating sepsis guidelines into practice in resource-limited settings. *Translational Pediatrics*, 10(10), 2646.
19. Rudd, K. E., Kissoon, N., Limmathurotsakul, D., Bory, S., Mutahunga, B., Seymour, C. W., ... & West, T. E. (2018). The global burden of sepsis: barriers and potential solutions. *Critical care*, 22, 1-11.

20. Said, M. A., Siika, W., Mung'ayi, V., & Shah, R. (2019). Comparison of the sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) and quick SOFA scores in predicting in-hospital mortality among adult critical care patients with suspected infection. *International Journal of Critical Care and Emergency Medicine*, 5(4), 1.
21. Scheeren, T. W., Bakker, J., De Backer, D., Annane, D., Asfar, P., Boerma, E. C., ... & Teboul, J. L. (2019). Current use of vasopressors in septic shock. *Annals of intensive care*, 9, 1-12.
22. Schultz, M. J., Dünser, M. W., Dondorp, A. M., Adhikari, N. K., Iyer, S., Kwizera, A., ... & Thwaites, C. L. (2019). Current challenges in the management of sepsis in ICUs in resource-poor settings and suggestions for the future. *Sepsis management in resource-limited settings*, 1-24.
23. Siloși, C. A., Siloși, I., Pădureanu, V. L. A. D., Bogdan, M., Mogoantă, S. Ș., Ciurea, M. E., ... & Popa, D. G. (2018). Sepsis and identification of reliable biomarkers for postoperative period prognosis. *Rom J MorpholEmbryol*, 59(1), 77-91.
24. Suhas, T. (2017). Serum Lactate As A Prognostic Marker In Patients With Sepsis-A Prospective Study (Doctoral dissertation, BLDE (Deemed to be University)).
25. Uffen, J. W., Oosterheert, J. J., Schweitzer, V. A., Thursky, K., Kaasjager, H. A. H., & Ekkelenkamp, M. B. (2021). Interventions for rapid recognition and treatment of sepsis in the emergency department: a narrative review. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 27(2), 192-203.
26. Watts, M. (2017). The Effect of Antibiotic Timing on Sepsis Mortality (Doctoral dissertation, Grand Canyon University).