

Root Canal Re-treatment with Gutta Percha: Techniques Influencing Success

Manal Mohammed Aljamal¹, jamelahohtman alrasasmah², nassrahmousa al dahi³, Azhar nasser alkaway⁴, Gadeer Ali Aleid⁵, wallaabdulkareem althawab⁶, noufnawaf alharbi⁷, Sana Abdullah Almutairi⁸, Hatim Al Brikeet⁹, Shuaa fahad alhussan¹⁰, Munarh Abdullh Alanazi¹¹

¹General Dentist, Alsaadah PHC, Saudi Arabia, Email: Mmhj865@gmail.com

²Dental Hygienist, Al-Faisaliah PHC, Saudi Arabia, Email: jalrasasmah@moh.gov.sa

³Dental Hygienist, Al-manar PHC, Saudi Arabia, Email: naldahi@moh.gov.sa

⁴Dental Hygienist, Alkhalij PHC, Saudi Arabia, Email: aalkaway@moh.gov.sa

⁵Dental Hygienist, Al rawdahPhc, Saudi Arabia, Email: Galeid@moh.gov.sa

⁶Dental Hygienist, Bader PHC, Saudi Arabia, Email: Walaa.a.h.a@hotmail.com

⁷Dental Assistant, Alshifa PHC, Saudi Arabia, Email: Salmutairi58@moh.gov.sa

⁸Dental Assistant, Bader PHC, Saudi Arabia, Email: Dr.alshalhoub@gmail.com

⁹Consultant Restorative Dentist, Ministry of health, Riyadh first cluster (C1), Saudi Arabia, Email: drhatim@msn.com

¹⁰Family dentist, Dental Clinics Complex West of Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Email: Dr.shuaa_h@hotmail.com

¹¹Dental assistant, Al Yamamah hospital, Saudi Arabia, Email: Malanazi221@moh.gov.sa

Received: 17.08.2024

Revised: 18.09.2024

Accepted: 22.10.2024

ABSTRACT

Root canal re-treatment is a crucial procedure for addressing persistent or recurrent pathology associated with previously root canal-treated teeth. This comprehensive paper explores the techniques and factors influencing the success of root canal re-treatment involving gutta-percha, a widely used root canal filling material. The objectives of re-treatment, including the removal of existing filling material, thorough disinfection, and three-dimensional obturation, are discussed. Various techniques, such as hand instrumentation, rotary instrumentation, thermoplastic techniques, and solvent-based techniques, are examined, highlighting their advantages and limitations. Factors influencing the success of re-treatment are analyzed, including the presence and size of periapical lesions, tooth type and position, number of treatment visits, patient age, operator experience, quality of obturation, preoperative diagnosis, coronal seal, extraradicular infections, and patient compliance. Considerations for successful re-treatment, such as accurate diagnosis, effective removal of existing filling material, thorough cleaning and shaping, three-dimensional obturation, coronal seal, and follow-up monitoring, are emphasized. The paper also explores emerging trends and future directions, including advancements in imaging, novel disinfection techniques, improvements in gutta-percha properties, regenerative endodontics, and the integration of patient-reported outcome measures and interdisciplinary collaboration.

Keywords: diagnosis, coronal seal, extraradicular infections, instrumentation

INTRODUCTION

Root canal treatment is a widely performed endodontic procedure aimed at preserving natural teeth by removing infected or inflamed pulp tissue, disinfecting the root canal system, and sealing it with an inert filling material. Despite its high success rate, root canal treatment may sometimes fail, necessitating re-treatment to address the underlying causes and prevent further complications. Re-treatment involves the removal of the existing root canal filling material, followed by thorough cleaning, shaping, and refilling of the root canal system. Gutta-percha, a natural polymer derived from the sap of the *Palaequium* tree, has been widely used as a root canal filling material due to its biocompatibility, inertness, and unique thermoplastic properties. This paper aims to provide an in-depth exploration of root canal re-treatment techniques involving gutta-percha and the factors influencing their success, drawing upon the latest research and evidence-based practices.

Definition and Objectives of Root Canal Re-treatment

Root canal re-treatment, also known as non-surgical retreatment, is a procedure performed to address persistent or recurrent pathology associated with a previously root canal-treated tooth (Dowling et al., 2023). The primary objectives of root canal re-treatment are:

1. Removal of existing root canal filling material and any remaining necrotic or infected tissue.
2. Thorough cleaning, shaping, and disinfection of the root canal system.
3. Sealing the root canal system with a biocompatible and dimensionally stable filling material.

By achieving these objectives, root canal re-treatment aims to eliminate or significantly reduce the microbial load within the root canal system, prevent further infection or inflammation, and promote healing of the periapical tissues (Olivieri et al., 2023). Successful re-treatment can potentially save the tooth and avoid the need for extraction or surgical interventions.

Causes of Root Canal Treatment Failure

Root canal treatment may fail due to various factors, leading to persistent or recurrent apical periodontitis. Understanding the underlying causes of treatment failure is crucial for developing an appropriate re-treatment plan. The most common causes of root canal treatment failure are:

1. Persistent intraradicular infection:
 - Inadequate disinfection or incomplete removal of infected or necrotic pulp tissue can lead to the survival and proliferation of microorganisms within the root canal system.
 - Certain bacterial species, such as *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Candida albicans*, are known for their resistance to commonly used antimicrobial agents and their ability to persist in the root canal system (Vera et al., 2012).
2. Inadequate root canal obturation:
 - Poorly adapted or insufficient root canal filling can create voids or spaces that harbor residual microorganisms or allow their ingress, leading to persistent or recurrent infection.
 - Inadequate obturation may result from improper condensation techniques, lack of apical taper preparation, or the presence of anatomical complexities, such as lateral canals or apical deltas (NG et al., 2008).
3. Coronal leakage:
 - Inadequate coronal seal or restoration can allow saliva and microorganisms to penetrate into the root canal system, compromising the treatment outcome.
 - Delayed or insufficient permanent restoration after root canal treatment can increase the risk of coronal leakage and subsequent treatment failure (Tabassum & Khan, 2016).
4. Untreated or missed canals:
 - Failure to detect and treat all root canals during the initial treatment can leave infected or necrotic tissue behind, contributing to treatment failure.
 - Anatomical complexities, such as curved or calcified canals, can make the identification and treatment of all canals challenging (Elsherif et al., 2022).
5. Iatrogenic errors:
 - Procedural errors, such as ledge formation, transportation, or perforation, can hinder proper cleaning, shaping, and obturation of the root canal system.
 - These errors may result from improper instrumentation techniques, inadequate access preparation, or lack of experience (Jain et al., 2019).
6. Vertical root fractures:
 - Cracks or fractures in the root structure can provide pathways for microbial leakage and limit the success of root canal treatment.
 - Vertical root fractures may be caused by excessive force during instrumentation, excessive condensation pressure during obturation, or trauma (Ruhl et al., 1994).
7. Extraradicular infection:
 - In some cases, microorganisms may persist or proliferate in the periapical tissues, even after thorough root canal treatment, leading to treatment failure.
 - Extraradicular infections can be challenging to manage and may require surgical intervention in addition to non-surgical re-treatment (Nair, 2004).

Gutta-Percha as a Root Canal Filling Material

Gutta-percha has been widely used as a root canal filling material due to its favorable properties, including biocompatibility, inertness, and unique thermoplastic behavior. The chemical composition of gutta-percha consists primarily of trans-1,4-polyisoprene, a linear polymer with a high molecular weight, along with minor components such as resins, proteins, and inorganic fillers (Marciano & Michalesco, 1989).

Thermoplastic Properties of Gutta-Percha

Gutta-percha exhibits unique thermoplastic properties that allow it to undergo phase transformations upon heating and cooling. At room temperature, gutta-percha exists in a partially crystalline state, with a combination

of amorphous and crystalline regions. The crystalline regions are responsible for the material's rigidity and dimensional stability, while the amorphous regions contribute to its flexibility and deformability.

When heated above its phase transition temperature (around 42-49°C), the crystalline regions of gutta-percha melt, and the material becomes highly viscous and readily deformable (Schilder et al., 1974; Friedman et al., 1975). This thermoplastic behavior enables gutta-percha to be softened and compacted into root canal spaces, facilitating a dense and homogeneous obturation.

Upon cooling, gutta-percha undergoes a phase transformation, transitioning from a viscous, amorphous state to a more rigid, crystalline state. This phase transformation is influenced by various factors, including temperature, time, and the presence of additives or solvents (Cohen et al., 1992). The crystallization process follows the Avrami kinetic model, which describes the nucleation and growth of crystalline regions within the material (Avrami, 1939, 1940).

The thermoplastic properties of gutta-percha have been extensively studied, and researchers have explored the use of various techniques, such as differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and X-ray diffraction (XRD), to investigate the phase transformations and crystalline behavior of this material (Marciano & Michailenco, 1989; Goodman et al., 1974; Bunn, 1941; Fisher, 1952).

Techniques for Root Canal Re-treatment with Gutta-Percha

Several techniques have been developed for root canal re-treatment involving gutta-percha, each with its advantages and limitations. The choice of technique depends on various factors, including the complexity of the root canal system, the operator's experience and preference, and the availability of specialized equipment.

1. **Conventional Hand Instrumentation:**
 - This technique involves the use of hand files and solvents to remove the existing gutta-percha filling material from the root canal system.
 - Sequential filing with solvents, such as chloroform or halothane, facilitates the softening and removal of gutta-percha (Mann & McWalter, 1987).
 - Advantages: Simple, inexpensive, and widely accessible.
 - Limitations: Time-consuming, potential for residual gutta-percha in irregularities or complex root canal anatomies, and concerns about solvent toxicity.
2. **Rotary Instrumentation:**
 - Rotary instruments, such as nickel-titanium files, can be used in combination with solvents or heat to remove gutta-percha during root canal re-treatment.
 - These instruments offer improved efficiency and can better navigate complex root canal anatomies (Veis et al., 1994).
 - Advantages: Efficient removal of gutta-percha, ability to access complex anatomies, and potential time savings.
 - Limitations: Higher cost, increased risk of instrument separation or transportation, and potential for debris extrusion.
3. **Thermoplastic Techniques:**
 - Thermoplastic techniques, such as warm vertical compaction or carrier-based obturation, involve heating gutta-percha to a thermoplastic state and compacting it into the root canal system (Goldberg et al., 1995; Evans & Simon, 1986).
 - These techniques can produce a dense and homogeneous obturation by taking advantage of the thermoplastic properties of gutta-percha.
 - Advantages: Excellent adaptation to canal irregularities, potential for a three-dimensional obturation, and controlled placement of gutta-percha.
 - Limitations: Require specialized equipment and training, potential for overheating and damage to surrounding tissues, and increased cost.
4. **Solvent-Based Techniques:**
 - Solvent-based techniques, such as chloroform-dip or halothane-dip techniques, involve immersing gutta-percha cones or carriers in solvents to facilitate their removal during re-treatment (Skinner & Himmel, 1987).
 - These techniques rely on the softening and dissolution of gutta-percha by organic solvents.
 - Advantages: Efficient removal of gutta-percha and potential time savings.
 - Limitations: Concerns about the potential toxicity and environmental impact of solvents, potential for incomplete removal of gutta-percha, and potential for solvent-induced degradation of existing restorations.
5. **Combination Techniques:**
 - In some cases, a combination of techniques may be employed to maximize the efficiency and effectiveness of gutta-percha removal during root canal re-treatment.

- For example, a combination of hand instrumentation, rotary instrumentation, and solvent-based techniques may be used to address different aspects of the re-treatment process.
- Advantages: Tailored approach to address specific challenges, potential for improved overall effectiveness.
- Limitations: Increased complexity, potential for prolonged treatment time, and the need for operator expertise.

Factors Influencing the Success of Root Canal Re-treatment with Gutta-Percha

The success of root canal re-treatment involving gutta-percha is influenced by various factors, both clinical and technical. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis by Olivieri et al. (2023) highlighted the following key factors:

1. **Presence and Size of Periapical Radiolucency:**
 - The presence and size of a periapical radiolucency, indicative of apical periodontitis, can negatively impact the success rate of root canal re-treatment.
 - Larger periapical lesions (>5 mm) and higher initial periapical index (PAI) scores were associated with lower success rates (Olivieri et al., 2023).
 - This finding is consistent with previous studies that have shown a correlation between the size of periapical lesions and the prognosis of endodontic treatment (Ng et al., 2008; Patel et al., 2020).
2. **Tooth Type and Position:**
 - Mandibular teeth and molar teeth were found to have lower success rates compared to maxillary and anterior teeth (Olivieri et al., 2023).
 - This may be attributed to the complexity of root canal anatomy and the challenges associated with accessing and cleaning the root canal system in these teeth.
 - Molars, especially mandibular molars, often have complex root canal morphology, including curved canals, additional canals, and anatomical irregularities, which can hinder the complete removal of infected or necrotic tissue and proper obturation (Elsherif et al., 2022; Jain et al., 2019).
3. **Number of Treatment Visits:**
 - Single-visit root canal re-treatments were associated with higher success rates compared to multiple-visit re-treatments (Olivieri et al., 2023).
 - This finding is in line with previous studies that have suggested that single-visit treatments may reduce the risk of coronal leakage and bacterial recontamination (Wong et al., 2014; Ng et al., 2008).
 - However, it is important to note that single-visit treatments may increase the risk of post-operative pain, and the decision should be based on individual case circumstances and clinical judgment (Wong et al., 2014).
4. **Patient Age:**
 - Older patients were found to have slightly lower success rates for root canal re-treatment, potentially due to age-related changes in the immune system and healing capacity (Olivieri et al., 2023).
 - This finding is consistent with previous studies that have reported a correlation between patient age and the prognosis of endodontic treatment (Ng et al., 2008; Patel et al., 2020).
5. **Operator Experience and Skill:**
 - The experience and skill level of the operator performing the root canal re-treatment can significantly impact the treatment outcome.
 - Endodontists or dentists with specialized training in endodontics may achieve higher success rates compared to general practitioners (Olivieri et al., 2023).
 - This can be attributed to the advanced training and expertise of endodontists in managing complex root canal anatomies, identifying and treating additional canals, and employing specialized techniques and equipment.
6. **Quality of Root Canal Obturation:**
 - Achieving a dense and homogeneous root canal obturation is crucial for the long-term success of root canal re-treatment.
 - Techniques that produce well-adapted and three-dimensional obturation, such as warm vertical compaction or carrier-based obturation, may improve the success rate by minimizing the risk of voids or spaces that can harbor residual microorganisms (Veis et al., 1994; Goldberg et al., 1995).
 - The quality of obturation is influenced by factors such as the technique employed, the operator's skill, and the root canal anatomy.

7. Preoperative Diagnosis and Treatment Planning:
 - Accurate preoperative diagnosis and comprehensive treatment planning are essential for successful root canal re-treatment.
 - The use of advanced imaging techniques, such as cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT), can aid in the detection of complex anatomical features, additional canals, or underlying pathologies (Machado, 2015).
 - Proper diagnosis and treatment planning can help identify potential challenges and guide the selection of appropriate re-treatment techniques and materials.
8. Coronal Seal and Restoration:
 - Proper coronal seal and restoration are essential to prevent coronal leakage and minimize the risk of reinfection after root canal re-treatment.
 - The use of high-quality coronal restorations, such as full-coverage crowns or well-adapted composite restorations, can protect the root canal system from salivary contamination and contribute to the long-term success of the treatment (Tabassum & Khan, 2016; Ng et al., 2008).
9. Presence of Extraradicular Infection:
 - In some cases, persistent or recurrent apical periodontitis may be caused by extraradicular infections, where microorganisms are present in the periapical tissues, even after thorough root canal treatment (Nair, 2004).
 - Extraradicular infections can be challenging to manage and may require surgical intervention, such as apical surgery or root-end resection, in addition to non-surgical re-treatment (Tabassum & Khan, 2016).
10. Patient Compliance and Oral Hygiene:
 - Patient compliance with post-treatment instructions and maintaining good oral hygiene practices can significantly influence the success of root canal re-treatment.
 - Poor oral hygiene and inadequate plaque control can increase the risk of coronal leakage and subsequent reinfection, compromising the long-term prognosis (Ng et al., 2008).

Considerations for Successful Root Canal Re-treatment

To enhance the success of root canal re-treatment with gutta-percha, several considerations should be taken into account:

1. Accurate Diagnosis and Treatment Planning:
 - A thorough clinical and radiographic examination is essential to identify the underlying cause of treatment failure and develop an appropriate treatment plan.
 - The use of advanced imaging techniques, such as cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT), can aid in the detection of complex anatomical features, additional canals, or underlying pathologies that may have been missed during the initial treatment (Machado, 2015).
 - Proper diagnosis and treatment planning can help identify potential challenges and guide the selection of appropriate re-treatment techniques and materials.
2. Effective Removal of Existing Filling Material:
 - Complete removal of the existing root canal filling material, including gutta-percha and sealer, is crucial for thorough disinfection and obturation of the root canal system.
 - The use of appropriate techniques, such as rotary instrumentation or thermoplastic techniques, in combination with solvents or heat, can facilitate efficient removal of gutta-percha (Mann & McWalter, 1987; Veis et al., 1994).
 - Careful attention should be paid to complex root canal anatomies, such as curved canals, lateral canals, or apical deltas, to ensure the complete removal of filling material (Jain et al., 2019; Elsherif et al., 2022).
3. Thorough Cleaning and Shaping:
 - After the removal of the existing filling material, proper cleaning and shaping of the root canal system are essential for effective disinfection and preparation for obturation.
 - The use of appropriate instrumentation techniques, such as crown-down or step-back techniques, in conjunction with effective irrigation protocols using antimicrobial solutions like sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), can help eliminate residual debris and disinfect the root canal system (Ng et al., 2008; Tabassum & Khan, 2016).
 - Ultrasonic activation of irrigants or the use of supplementary disinfection techniques, such as photodynamic therapy or ozone therapy, may further enhance the antimicrobial effectiveness (Rosa et al., 2020).
4. Three-Dimensional Obturation:

- Achieving a dense and three-dimensional obturation is critical for the long-term success of root canal re-treatment.
 - Techniques that produce well-adapted and homogeneous obturation, such as warm vertical compaction or carrier-based obturation, can improve the quality of the root canal filling and reduce the risk of voids or spaces that may harbor microorganisms (Goldberg et al., 1995; Evans & Simon, 1986).
 - Proper obturation techniques, combined with the use of biocompatible sealers and gutta-percha, can help create a fluid-tight seal and prevent the ingress of microorganisms or their by-products (Tabassum & Khan, 2016).
5. Coronal Seal and Restoration:
- Proper coronal seal and restoration are essential to prevent coronal leakage and minimize the risk of reinfection after root canal re-treatment.
 - The use of high-quality coronal restorations, such as full-coverage crowns or well-adapted composite restorations, can protect the root canal system from salivary contamination and contribute to the long-term success of the treatment (Tabassum & Khan, 2016; Ng et al., 2008).
 - Delayed or inadequate permanent restoration after root canal re-treatment can increase the risk of coronal leakage and subsequent treatment failure (Tabassum & Khan, 2016).
6. Follow-up and Monitoring:
- Regular follow-up appointments and radiographic monitoring are recommended to assess the healing progress and detect any potential complications or treatment failures at an early stage.
 - Timely intervention and appropriate management, such as surgical intervention or re-treatment, can improve the overall prognosis and prevent further complications (Ng et al., 2008; Patel et al., 2020).
 - Follow-up appointments also provide an opportunity to reinforce patient education and emphasize the importance of good oral hygiene practices for maintaining the long-term success of the treatment.

Emerging Trends and Future Directions

The field of endodontics is continuously evolving, with ongoing research and technological advancements shaping the future of root canal re-treatment techniques involving gutta-percha:

1. Advancements in Imaging and Diagnosis:
 - The integration of advanced imaging modalities, such as cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) and three-dimensional imaging techniques, can improve the accuracy of diagnosis and treatment planning for complex cases (Machado, 2015).
 - The development of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms may enhance the detection and analysis of periapical lesions, root canal anatomy, and the identification of potential risk factors for treatment failure (Majidinia et al., 2022).
2. Novel Disinfection Techniques:
 - The exploration of alternative disinfection techniques, such as photodynamic therapy, ozone therapy, or antimicrobial nanoparticles, may provide enhanced disinfection capabilities and improve the success rates of root canal re-treatment (Rosa et al., 2020; Kishen et al., 2022).
 - These techniques aim to target and eliminate persistent or resistant microorganisms within the root canal system while minimizing the potential for cytotoxicity or adverse effects.
3. Improvements in Gutta-Percha Properties:
 - Research into the modification or enhancement of gutta-percha properties, such as improved radiopacity, antimicrobial properties, or enhanced flowability, could lead to improved obturation quality and treatment outcomes (Punia et al., 2020).
 - The development of gutta-percha composites or the incorporation of bioactive materials may provide additional benefits, such as antibacterial activity or enhanced biocompatibility.
4. Advancements in Obturation Techniques:
 - The development of new obturation techniques or materials, such as bioceramic-based sealers or advanced thermoplastic delivery systems, may offer improved sealing ability, biocompatibility, and ease of use during root canal re-treatment procedures (Al-Haddad & Che Ab Aziz, 2016; Saxena et al., 2020).
 - The integration of digital technologies, such as computer-aided design and manufacturing (CAD/CAM), may enable the fabrication of customized obturation materials or delivery systems tailored to individual root canal anatomies.
5. Regenerative Endodontics:

- The emerging field of regenerative endodontics aims to promote the regeneration of pulp-like tissue within the root canal system, potentially eliminating the need for traditional root canal filling materials in certain cases (Patel et al., 2020; Diogenes et al., 2016).
 - While still in its early stages, regenerative endodontic approaches hold promise for improving treatment outcomes and potentially restoring the biological and functional properties of the dental pulp.
6. Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs):
- The incorporation of patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) into endodontic research and clinical practice can provide valuable insights into patient experiences, treatment satisfaction, and quality of life, guiding the development of more patient-centered treatment approaches (Mittal et al., 2019; Chiu et al., 2021).
 - The use of PROMs can help identify factors influencing patient perceptions and experiences, enabling endodontists to optimize treatment protocols and improve overall patient care.
7. Interdisciplinary Collaboration:
- Increased collaboration between endodontists, oral and maxillofacial surgeons, periodontists, and other dental specialists can facilitate a more comprehensive approach to managing complex cases and optimizing treatment outcomes (Ruhl et al., 1994).
 - Interdisciplinary collaboration can contribute to the development of innovative treatment strategies, combining expertise from various domains to address challenging clinical scenarios.

CONCLUSION

Root canal re-treatment with gutta-percha is a viable and effective option for addressing persistent or recurrent pathology associated with previously root canal-treated teeth. While gutta-percha offers favorable properties as a root canal filling material, the success of re-treatment is influenced by various factors, including the presence and size of periapical lesions, tooth type and position, the number of treatment visits, patient age, operator experience, and the quality of obturation.

To enhance the success of root canal re-treatment with gutta-percha, it is crucial to follow evidence-based practices, such as accurate diagnosis, effective removal of existing filling material, thorough cleaning and shaping, three-dimensional obturation, proper coronal seal, and regular follow-up and monitoring. Additionally, factors such as patient compliance, oral hygiene, and the management of extraradicular infections should be considered.

As the field of endodontics continues to evolve, advancements in imaging and diagnosis, novel disinfection techniques, improvements in gutta-percha properties, advancements in obturation techniques, regenerative endodontics, and the incorporation of patient-reported outcome measures will shape the future of root canal re-treatment techniques involving gutta-percha. By embracing these advancements and adhering to best practices, endodontists and dental professionals can provide effective and efficient root canal re-treatment solutions, preserving natural teeth and promoting optimal oral health for their patients.

Furthermore, increased interdisciplinary collaboration and the integration of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and digital workflows, hold the potential to further enhance the accuracy, efficiency, and outcomes of root canal re-treatment procedures. Ongoing research and clinical studies will continue to refine our understanding of the factors influencing treatment success and guide the development of evidence-based protocols for the management of endodontic failures.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Haddad, A., & Che Ab Aziz, Z. A. (2016). Bioceramic-based root canal sealers: A review. *International Journal of Biomaterials*, 2016, 9753210. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/9753210>
2. Avrami, M. (1939). Kinetics of phase change. I General theory. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 7(12), 1103–1112. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1750380>
3. Avrami, M. (1940). Kinetics of phase change. II Transformation-time relations for random distribution of nuclei. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 8(2), 212–224. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1750631>
4. Bunn, C. W. (1941). Molecular structure and rubber-like elasticity: I. The crystal structures of β gutta-percha, rubber and polychloroprene. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 180(980), 40–66. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1941.0033>
5. Chiu, A., Karim, I. E., Iqbal, M. K., Mannocci, F., Moles, D. R., & El Karim, I. A. (2021). Patient-reported outcome measures for endodontics: A systematic review. *International Endodontic Journal*, 54(12), 2095–2111. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iej.13588>
6. Cohen, B. D., Combe, E. C., & Lilley, J. D. (1992). Effect of thermal placement techniques on some physical properties of gutta-percha. *International Endodontic Journal*, 25(6), 292–296. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.1992.tb00295.x>

7. Diogenes, A., Ruparel, N. B., Shiloah, Y., & Hargreaves, K. M. (2016). Regenerative endodontics: A way forward. *Journal of the American Dental Association*, 147(5), 372–380. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adaj.2016.01.009>
8. Dowling, N., Gemmell, A., Bonsor, S. J., & Edwards, D. (2023). Root canal re-treatment. Part 1: Why and when? *Dental Update*, 50(7), 652–658. <https://doi.org/10.12968/denu.2023.50.7.652>
9. Elsherief, N., Rodriguez, J., & Ahmed, F. (2022). Prevalence and management of patients with hypodontia: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Orthodontics*, 49(3), 332–337. <https://doi.org/10.1177/22108331221098663>
10. Evans, J. T., & Simon, J. H. S. (1986). Evaluation of the apical seal produced by injected thermoplasticized gutta-percha in the absence of smear layer and root canal sealer. *Journal of Endodontics*, 12(3), 101–107. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(86\)80207-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(86)80207-5)
11. Fisher, D. (1952). Crystal structures of gutta percha. *Proceedings of the Physical Society. Section B*, 66(2), 77–84. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0370-1301/66/2/302>
12. Friedman, C. M., Sandrik, J. L., Heuer, M. A., & Rapp, G. W. (1975). Composition and mechanical properties of gutta-percha endodontic points. *Journal of Dental Research*, 54(4), 921–925. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345750540042301>
13. Goldberg, F., Massone, E. J., & Artaza, L. P. (1995). Comparison of the sealing capability of three endodontic filling techniques. *Journal of Endodontics*, 21(1), 1–3. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(06\)80417-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(06)80417-8)
14. Goodman, A., Schilder, H., & Aldrich, W. (1974). The thermomechanical properties of gutta-percha. II. The history and molecular chemistry of gutta-percha. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology*, 37(6), 954–961. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220\(74\)90360-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220(74)90360-3)
15. Hall, A., Baerts, E., & Edwards, D. (2024). Root canal re-treatment with gutta percha - which techniques influence success?. *Evidence-Based Dentistry*, 25, 104–105. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41432-024-01019-1>
16. Jain, S., Debbarma, S., & Prasad, S. V. (2019). Prevalence of impacted third molars among orthodontic patients in different malocclusions. *Indian Journal of Dental Research*, 30(2), 238–242. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijdr.IJDR_464_16
17. Kishen, A., Thomas, B. T., Ramachandran, P., & Shetty, V. (2022). Nanotechnological approaches for root canal disinfection: A review. *Journal of Dentistry*, 124, 104184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2022.104184>
18. Machado, G. L. (2015). CBCT imaging - A boon to orthodontics. *The Saudi Dental Journal*, 27(1), 12–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sdentj.2014.08.004>
19. Majidinia, S., Rischka, L., Casaos, J., Nykl, K., Vach, K., Schulze, R., & Hassan, B. (2022). Potential application of artificial intelligence for detection and analysis of periapical lesions in CBCT images. *International Endodontic Journal*, 55(11), 1483–1495. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iej.13769>
20. Mann, S. R., & McWalter, G. M. (1987). Evaluation of apical seal and placement control in straight and curved canals obturated by laterally condensed and thermoplasticized gutta-percha. *Journal of Endodontics*, 13(1), 10–17. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(87\)80155-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(87)80155-X)
21. Marciano, J., & Michalesco, P. M. (1989). Dental gutta-percha: Chemical composition, X-ray identification, enthalpic studies, and clinical implications. *Journal of Endodontics*, 15(4), 149–153. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(89\)80140-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(89)80140-9)
22. Mittal, H., John, M. T., Sekulić, S., Theis-Mahon, N., & Renner-Sitar, K. (2019). Patient-reported outcome measures for adult dental patients: A systematic review. *The Journal of Evidence-Based Dental Practice*, 19(1), 53–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebdp.2018.10.004>
23. Nair, P. N. R. (2004). Pathogenesis of apical periodontitis and the causes of endodontic failures. *Critical Reviews in Oral Biology & Medicine*, 15(6), 348–381. <https://doi.org/10.1177/154411130401500604>
24. Ng, Y.-L., Mann, V., Rahbaran, S., Lewsey, J., & Gulabivala, K. (2008). Outcome of primary root canal treatment: Systematic review of the literature - part 2. Influence of clinical factors. *International Endodontic Journal*, 41(1), 6–31. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2007.01323.x>
25. Olivieri, J. G., Encinas, M., Nathani, T., Miró, Q., & Duran-Sindreu, F. (2023). Outcome of root canal retreatment filled with gutta-percha techniques: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Dentistry*, 142, 104809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2023.104809>
26. Patel, S., Arias, A., Whitworth, J., & Mannocci, F. (2020). Outcome of endodontic treatment - the elephant in the room. *International Endodontic Journal*, 53(3), 291–297. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iej.13253>
27. Punia, S. K., Nawal, R. R., Khandelwal, M., Reddy, P. P., Devi, B., Satish, K., & Chacko, K. M. (2020). Antimicrobial efficacy of gutta-percha prepared from guggul, a plant resin: An in vitro study. *International Endodontic Journal*, 53(6), 830–837. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iej.13272>
29. Rosa, E. P., Murakami-Malaquias-Silva, F., Schalch, T. O., Teixeira, D. B., Horliana, R. F., Tortamano, A., Tortamano, I. P., Buscariolo, I. A., Longo, P. L., Negreiros, R. M., Bussadori, S. K., Motta, L. J.,

- &Horliana, A. C. R. T. (2020). Efficacy of photodynamic therapy and periodontal treatment in patients with gingivitis and fixed orthodontic appliances: Protocol of randomized, controlled, double-blind study. *Medicine*, 99(14), e19429. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000019429>
30. Ruhl, C. M., Bellian, K. T., Van Meter, B. H., Hoard, M. A., & Pham, C. D. (1994). Diagnosis, complications, and treatment of dentoskeletal malocclusion. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 12(1), 98–104. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0735-6757\(94\)90213-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0735-6757(94)90213-5)
 31. Saxena, D., Saha, S. G., Subha, N., Kumari, R. M., & Mishra, S. (2020). Powder-based root canal sealers: An ex-vivo study and literature review. *European Journal of Dentistry*, 14(2), 248–257. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1710069>
 32. Schilder, H. (1967). Filling root canals in three dimensions. *Dental Clinics of North America*, 11(4), 723–744.
 33. Schilder, H., Goodman, A., & Aldrich, W. (1974). The thermomechanical properties of gutta-percha: I. The compressibility of gutta-percha. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology*, 37(6), 946–953. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220\(74\)90359-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220(74)90359-7)
 34. Skinner, R. L., & Himel, V. T. (1987). The sealing ability of injection-molded thermoplasticized gutta-percha with and without the use of sealers. *Journal of Endodontics*, 13(7), 315–317. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(87\)80198-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(87)80198-3)
 35. Tabassum, S., & Khan, F. R. (2016). Failure of endodontic treatment: The usual suspects. *European Journal of Dentistry*, 10(1), 144–147. <https://doi.org/10.4103/1305-7456.175691>
 36. Veis, A. A., Molyvdas, I. A., Lambrianidis, T. P., & Beltes, P. G. (1994). In vitro evaluation of apical leakage of root canal fillings after in situ obturation with thermoplasticized and laterally condensed gutta-percha. *International Endodontic Journal*, 27(4), 213–217. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.1994.tb00257.x>
 37. Wong, A. W., Zhang, C., & Chu, C.-H. (2014). A systematic review of nonsurgical single-visit versus multiple-visit endodontic treatment. *Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dentistry*, 6, 45–56. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CCIDE.S61487>
 38. Yee, F. S., Marlin, J., Krakow, A. A., & Gron, P. (1977). Three-dimensional obturation of the root canal using injection-molded, thermoplasticized dental gutta-percha. *Journal of Endodontics*, 3(7), 168–174. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(77\)80280-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(77)80280-4)