e-ISSN: 0974-4614
p-ISSN: 0972-0448

Orthodontic Treatment Acceleration: Exploring Methods and Future Frontiers

Ali abdullah alharbi[1], Faisal Abdulrahman Saad Alkharisi[2], Abdulmuhsen Saad Jafel[3], Wejdan Ahmed Aljamaan[4], Hatem Khaled Alahmary[5], Aisha Matar Alshebani[6], Ibrahim Abdullah Alrashed[7], Fatimah Ahmed Al Nashery[8], Latifah fadhel Alshameri [9], Reem Matar Alshebani[10], Naif Ahmed Alrumayyan[11]

[1]General Dentist,West Riyadh Dental Clinics Complex,Saudi Arabia, Email: Aalharbi582@moh.gov.sa
[2]General Dentist,West Riyadh dental complex,Saudi Arabia, Email: Dr.faisalalkhourisi@gmail.com
[3]General Dentist,West Riyadh dental complex,Saudi Arabia, Email: Dr.abdulsaad@gmail.com
[4]Dental assistant,West Riyadh Dental Complex,Saudi Arabia, Email: Waaljamaan@moh.gov.sa
[5]Dental specialist,West Riyadh Dental Complex,Saudi Arabia, Email: hkalahmary@moh.gov.sa
[6]Dental assistant,West Riyadh Dental Complex,Saudi Arabia, Email: aalshebani@moh.gov.sa
[7]Dental specialist,West Riyadh Dental Complex,Saudi Arabia, Email: iaalrashed@moh.gov.sa
[8]Dental Assistant,West Riyadh Dental Complex,Saudi Arabia, Email: Che201@hotmail.com
[9]Dental assistant,Almansorah Dental Complex,Saudi Arabia, Email: lalshameri@moh.gov.sa
[10]Dental Assistant,West Riyadh Dental Complex,Saudi Arabia, Email: Reema21211@hotmail.com
[11]Dentist, Druma General Hospital (C3), Saudi Arabia.

Received: 15.08.2024 Revised: 13.09.2024 Accepted: 04.10.2024

ABSTRACT
The quest for accelerated orthodontic treatment has gained significant attention due to the potential benefits of reducing treatment duration, enhancing patient comfort, and minimizing adverse effects associated with prolonged treatment. This paper provides a comprehensive review of the past, present, and future perspectives on accelerated orthodontics (AO). Historically, surgical approaches, such as corticotomy and micro-osteoperforations, have aimed to exploit the regional acceleratory phenomenon (RAP) by inducing localized bone remodeling. Non-surgical approaches, including pharmacological agents (e.g., prostaglandins, relaxin, parathyroid hormone, vitamin D analogues) and physical modalities (e.g., low-level laser therapy, photobiomodulation, vibrational devices, magnetic fields), have also been explored. While these methods have demonstrated potential in accelerating tooth movement, the evidence regarding their efficacy and safety remains conflicting. Future perspectives include combination therapies, personalized approaches tailored to individual patient characteristics, and the integration of bioengineering and regenerative medicine techniques. Advancements in imaging and monitoring technologies may also contribute to optimizing treatment protocols and minimizing adverse effects. Ultimately, the pursuit of accelerated orthodontics should strike a balance between efficacy, safety, and patient acceptance, adhering to ethical principles and evidence-based practices.

Keywords: Historically, surgical approaches, comfort, minimizing

INTRODUCTION

The quest for accelerated orthodontic treatment has been a longstanding goal in the field of orthodontics. Prolonged treatment duration can lead to various complications, including an increased risk of root resorption, periodontal problems, patient burnout, and compromised treatment outcomes. Consequently, there has been a concerted effort to develop techniques and approaches aimed at accelerating orthodontic tooth movement while maintaining optimal clinical outcomes. This paper provides a comprehensive review of the past, present, and future perspectives on accelerated orthodontics (AO), exploring the various methods, their underlying mechanisms, clinical evidence, and potential future directions.

Historical Perspective

The concept of accelerating orthodontic tooth movement can be traced back to the early 20th century. In 1931, Bichlmayr introduced the concept of "chirurgische kieferorthopadie" (surgical orthodontics), which involved intentionally injuring the cortical bone to accelerate tooth movement (Bichlmayr, 1931). This approach was based on the observation that certain surgical procedures could temporarily alter bone metabolism, leading to an increased rate of tooth movement.

Over the decades, various surgical techniques have been developed to exploit this phenomenon, later termed the "regional acceleratory phenomenon" (RAP) by Frost (1983). Corticotomy, introduced by Kole (1959), involves the intentional cutting of the cortical bone surrounding the teeth to be moved. Piezocision, a more recent technique, utilizes piezoelectric ultrasonic devices to create cortical incisions without raising a mucoperiosteal flap (Patterson et al., 2017). Micro-osteoperforations (MOPs), a minimally invasive approach, involve the creation of small perforations in the alveolar bone using a specialized appliance (Alikhani et al., 2015).

In addition to surgical interventions, researchers have explored non-surgical approaches to accelerate orthodontic tooth movement. These include the use of various pharmacological agents, such as prostaglandins, relaxin, parathyroid hormone, and vitamin D analogues, as well as physical modalities like low-level laser therapy (LLLT), photobiomodulation (PBM), and vibrational devices.

Surgical Approaches

Corticotomy and Piezocision

Corticotomy and piezocision are surgical techniques that involve the intentional injury of the cortical bone surrounding the teeth to be moved. The underlying mechanism of action is based on the RAP, a temporary burst of localized bone remodeling that occurs in response to the surgical insult (Verna, 2016). This process leads to an increased rate of tooth movement during the initial stages of orthodontic treatment.

Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses have evaluated the effectiveness of corticotomy and piezocision in accelerating orthodontic tooth movement. A systematic review by Mheissen et al. (2021) concluded that both techniques effectively accelerate tooth movement, with corticotomy demonstrating a more pronounced effect compared to piezocision. However, the authors noted that the evidence was of moderate quality and that further high-quality studies are needed to confirm these findings.

One of the key advantages of corticotomy and piezocision is their ability to target specific areas of the dentition, allowing for localized acceleration of tooth movement. However, these techniques are invasive, requiring surgical intervention and proper management of postoperative discomfort and potential complications, such as swelling, bleeding, and infection.

Micro-osteoperforations (MOPs)

MOPs are a minimally invasive alternative to corticotomy and piezocision, involving the creation of small perforations (ranging from 0.3 to 1.6 mm in diameter) in the alveolar bone using a specialized appliance (Alikhani et al., 2015). Like other surgical approaches, MOPs aim to induce the RAP and accelerate tooth movement.

One of the key advantages of MOPs is their minimally invasive nature, reducing the risk of postoperative complications and discomfort associated with more invasive surgical techniques. Additionally, MOPs can be performed chairside, without the need for specialized surgical facilities or extensive training.

Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses have evaluated the efficacy of MOPs in accelerating orthodontic tooth movement. A recent systematic review by Mohaghegh et al. (2021) concluded that MOPs significantly increase the rate of tooth movement, with a higher number of perforations leading to a more pronounced effect. However, the authors highlighted the need for more high-quality studies to establish the optimal frequency, depth, and pattern of MOPs.

Potential complications associated with MOPs include root damage, periodontal defects, and transient pain or discomfort during the procedure. Proper case selection, careful technique, and appropriate patient management are essential to minimize these risks.

Non-Surgical Approaches

Pharmacological Agents

Various pharmacological agents have been investigated for their potential to accelerate orthodontic tooth movement by modulating the biological processes involved in bone remodeling.

Prostaglandins: Prostaglandins, particularly prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), have been extensively studied for their ability to stimulate bone resorption and enhance tooth movement (Yamasaki et al., 1980; Yamasaki et al., 1982). Prostaglandins are involved in the regulation of osteoclast activity and can promote the recruitment and activation of these bone-resorbing cells, thereby facilitating tooth movement.

However, the systemic administration of prostaglandins has been associated with adverse effects, such as gastrointestinal disturbances, flushing, and increased body temperature, limiting their clinical utility. To mitigate these issues, researchers have explored the local delivery of prostaglandins through sustained-release delivery systems or injectable formulations (Yamasaki et al., 1984).

Relaxin: Relaxin is a hormone primarily known for its role in pregnancy and parturition. However, it has also been shown to influence the remodeling of connective tissues, including bone (Liu et al., 2005). Several studies have investigated the use of relaxin to accelerate orthodontic tooth movement, with mixed results (Madan et al., 2007; McGorray et al., 2012).

The proposed mechanism of action involves the ability of relaxin to promote the recruitment and activation of osteoclasts, as well as to increase the expression of various matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) involved in extracellular matrix remodeling (Madan et al., 2007). However, concerns about potential systemic effects, such as cardiovascular complications and teratogenicity, have limited the clinical application of relaxin for accelerating orthodontic tooth movement.

Parathyroid Hormone (PTH): PTH is a key regulator of calcium homeostasis and has been found to promote both bone formation and resorption (Soma et al., 2000). Studies have demonstrated that the local administration of PTH can accelerate orthodontic tooth movement by stimulating osteoclast activity and increasing bone turnover (Soma et al., 2000; Khurshid & Asiri, 2021).

One of the advantages of using PTH is its dual action on bone remodeling, promoting both bone resorption and formation, which may lead to more controlled and efficient tooth movement. However, concerns about potential systemic effects, such as hypercalcemia and adverse effects on non-targeted skeletal sites, have limited the widespread clinical application of PTH in orthodontics.

Vitamin D Analogues: Vitamin D analogues, such as calcitriol (1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3), have been explored for their potential to enhance orthodontic tooth movement by modulating bone metabolism (Collins & Sinclair, 1988; Kale et al., 2004). These compounds can regulate the activity of osteoblasts and osteoclasts, potentially promoting bone remodeling and facilitating tooth movement.

However, the evidence regarding the efficacy of vitamin D analogues in accelerating orthodontic tooth movement is mixed, and further research is needed to establish their clinical utility and optimal dosages (Al-Attar et al., 2021). Potential adverse effects, such as hypercalcemia and hypercalciuria, should also be considered when using these agents.

Physical Modalities

Low-Level Laser Therapy (LLLT) and Photobiomodulation (PBM)

LLLT and PBM involve the application of low-intensity light (typically in the red or near-infrared spectrum) to target tissues. These modalities are believed to exert various cellular and molecular effects that may promote bone remodeling and accelerate tooth movement (Fujita et al., 2008; Kawasaki & Shimizu, 2000).

The proposed mechanisms of action for LLLT and PBM in accelerating orthodontic tooth movement include:

1. Stimulation of cellular metabolism and proliferation: Low-intensity light has been shown to enhance cellular respiration and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production, promoting the proliferation and activity of various cell types involved in bone remodeling, such as osteoblasts and osteoclasts (Wu et al., 2013; Amid et al., 2014).
2. Modulation of inflammatory and immune responses: LLLT and PBM can modulate the production of inflammatory mediators, such as prostaglandins and cytokines, which play crucial roles in bone remodeling and tooth movement (Khaw et al., 2018; Sambevski et al., 2022).
3. Promotion of angiogenesis and tissue repair: Low-intensity light has been shown to stimulate the formation of new blood vessels (angiogenesis) and enhance the healing and repair of soft and hard tissues, which may contribute to the acceleration of tooth movement (Ng et al., 2018; Li et al., 2022).

Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses have evaluated the efficacy of LLLT and PBM in accelerating orthodontic tooth movement, with conflicting results (Ge et al., 2015; Sousa et al., 2014; Carvalho-Lobato et al., 2014; Imani et al., 2018). These discrepancies may be attributed to variations in study designs, light parameters (wavelength, fluence, and exposure time), and treatment protocols.

While LLLT and PBM offer a non-invasive approach to accelerate tooth movement, challenges remain in establishing optimal treatment parameters and standardized protocols for clinical application. Additionally, the long-term effects and potential adverse effects of prolonged light exposure on oral tissues require further investigation.

Vibrational Devices

Vibrational devices, such as AcceleDent and VPro, have been developed to apply physical vibrations to the dentition, with the aim of enhancing bone remodeling and accelerating tooth movement. These devices are typically worn by patients for a prescribed duration (e.g., 20 minutes per day) during orthodontic treatment.

The proposed mechanisms of action for vibrational devices in accelerating orthodontic tooth movement include:

1. Stimulation of cellular responses: Mechanical vibrations have been shown to stimulate the proliferation and differentiation of various cell types involved in bone remodeling, such as osteoblasts and osteoclasts (Tan, 2011; Cramer et al., 2019).
2. Increased fluid flow and tissue perfusion: Vibrational forces can enhance the movement of interstitial fluid and increase blood flow to the periodontal tissues, potentially facilitating the transport of signaling molecules and nutrients involved in bone remodeling (Viwattanatipa & Charnchairerk, 2018).

3. Modulation of inflammatory and immune responses: Mechanical vibrations may influence the production and activity of inflammatory mediators, such as prostaglandins and cytokines, which play crucial roles in bone remodeling and tooth movement (Houara et al., 2019; Afzal et al., 2021).

Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses have evaluated the efficacy of vibrational devices in accelerating orthodontic tooth movement, with some studies reporting a modest reduction in treatment duration (Aljabaa et al., 2018; Lyu et al., 2019; Abd Elmotaleb et al., 2019; García Vega et al., 2021; Akbari et al., 2022). However, the magnitude of the effect and the clinical significance of the reported reductions in treatment time have been debated.

While vibrational devices offer a non-invasive approach to potentially accelerate tooth movement, challenges remain in establishing optimal vibration parameters (frequency, amplitude, and duration) and ensuring patient compliance with the prescribed regimen. Additionally, the long-term effects and potential adverse effects of prolonged vibration exposure on oral tissues and surrounding structures require further investigation.

Magnetic Fields

The application of static magnetic fields or pulsed electromagnetic fields (PEMFs) has been explored as a potential approach to accelerate orthodontic tooth movement (Darendeliler et al., 1995; Darendeliler et al., 1997). The proposed mechanisms of action for magnetic fields in accelerating tooth movement include:

1. Modulation of cellular responses: Magnetic fields have been shown to influence the proliferation, differentiation, and activity of various cell types involved in bone remodeling, such as osteoblasts and osteoclasts (Showkatbakhsh et al., 2010; Bhad & Karemore, 2022).
2. Alteration of ion transport and cellular signaling: Magnetic fields may affect the transport of ions across cell membranes and influence various cellular signaling pathways involved in bone remodeling (Dogru et al., 2014; Stark & Sinclair, 1987).

While some studies have reported positive effects of magnetic field application on accelerating orthodontic tooth movement, the evidence remains inconclusive, and further research is needed to establish the efficacy and optimal parameters (field strength, frequency, and exposure duration) for clinical application (Alam et al., 2023).

Potential concerns associated with the use of magnetic fields include the need for specialized equipment, patient compliance with wearing the devices, and the potential for interference with other electronic devices or medical implants. Additionally, the long-term effects and potential adverse effects of prolonged exposure to magnetic fields on oral tissues and surrounding structures require further investigation.

Future Perspectives

As the field of accelerated orthodontics continues to evolve, several areas hold promise for future research and development.

Combination Therapy

While most studies have focused on individual techniques or methods, the combination of various approaches may provide synergistic effects and more pronounced acceleration of tooth movement. For example, combining surgical interventions with pharmacological agents or physical modalities could potentially enhance the RAP and further accelerate the rate of tooth movement.

Personalized Approaches

With the advent of precision medicine and the increasing understanding of individual genetic and biological variations, personalized approaches to accelerated orthodontics may become more feasible. Tailoring the choice of technique or combination of methods based on patient-specific factors, such as age, bone density, and genetic profile, could optimize treatment outcomes and minimize potential adverse effects.

Bioengineering and Regenerative Approaches

Advances in bioengineering and regenerative medicine may pave the way for novel approaches to accelerate orthodontic tooth movement. For example, the use of biomaterials or growth factors to modulate the bone remodeling process or the development of tissue-engineered constructs to facilitate rapid tooth movement could represent promising avenues for future research.

One potential area of interest is the use of bioresorbable scaffolds or hydrogels loaded with bioactive agents, such as growth factors or small molecules, to promote controlled and localized bone remodeling around the target teeth. These bioengineered constructs could potentially provide a sustained and localized release of agents that stimulate bone remodeling, while minimizing systemic effects.

Additionally, the integration of stem cell-based therapies or tissue engineering approaches could offer new avenues for accelerating tooth movement by enhancing the regenerative capacity of the periodontal tissues or modulating the bone remodeling process.

Advances in Imaging and Monitoring

The development of advanced imaging techniques, such as high-resolution cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) and micro-computed tomography (micro-CT), has enabled more precise monitoring and quantification of tooth movement and associated root resorption. These imaging modalities can provide valuable insights into the efficacy and potential adverse effects of various accelerated orthodontic techniques.

Furthermore, the integration of real-time monitoring systems, such as intraoral sensors or wearable devices, could enable continuous tracking of tooth movement and provide valuable data for optimizing treatment protocols and identifying individual responses to different acceleration methods.

CONCLUSION

The pursuit of accelerated orthodontics has been driven by the desire to reduce treatment duration, enhance patient comfort, and minimize potential adverse effects associated with prolonged orthodontic treatment. While various surgical, pharmacological, and physical modalities have been explored, the evidence regarding their efficacy and safety remains somewhat conflicting.

Surgical approaches, such as corticotomy, piezocision, and micro-osteoperforations, have demonstrated promising results in accelerating orthodontic tooth movement by exploiting the RAP. However, these techniques are invasive and may pose potential risks, necessitating careful patient selection and appropriate surgical expertise.

Non-surgical approaches, including pharmacological agents and physical modalities, offer less invasive alternatives but often face challenges related to systemic side effects, variable efficacy, and the need for further research to establish optimal dosages or parameters.

As the field of accelerated orthodontics continues to evolve, future research should focus on refining existing techniques, exploring combination therapies, and developing personalized approaches tailored to individual patient characteristics. Additionally, advancements in bioengineering, regenerative medicine, and advanced imaging and monitoring technologies may pave the way for novel, more effective, and safer methods to accelerate orthodontic tooth movement.

REFERENCES

1. Alikhani, M., Alansari, S., Sangsuwon, C., Alikhani, M., Chou, M. Y., & Alyami, B. (2015). Micro-osteoperforations: minimally invasive accelerated tooth movement. Semin Orthod, 21(3), 162-169.
2. Al-Attar, A., Abid, M., Dziedzic, A., Al-Khatieeb, M. M., Seppala, M., & Cobourne, M. T. (2021). The impact of calcitriol on orthodontic tooth movement: a cumulative systematic review and meta-analysis. Applied Sciences, 11(19), 8882.
3. Alam, M. K., Awawdeh, M., Alsaeed, S., Khanagar, S. B., Abutayyem, H., Alswairki, H. J., ... & Alshehri, M. A. (2023). A systematic review and meta-analysis on the associated effects of static magnetic fields on orthodontic tooth movement. Applied Sciences, 13(13), 8709.
4. Amid, R., Kadkhodazadeh, M., Ahsaie, M. G., & Hakakzadeh, A. (2014). Effect of low level laser therapy on proliferation and differentiation of the cells contributing in bone regeneration. Journal of Lasers in Medical Sciences, 5(4), 163.
5. Bichlmayr, A. (1931). Chirurgische kieferorthopadie und das verhalten des knochens und der wurzelspitzen nach derselben. Dtsch Zahnarztl Wochenschr, 34, 835-842.
6. Bhad, W. A., & Karemore, A. A. (2022). Efficacy of pulsed electromagnetic field in reducing treatment time: a clinical investigation. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 161(5), 652-658.
7. Carvalho-Lobato, P., Garcia, V. J., Kasem, K., Ustrell-Torrent, J. M., Tallón-Walton, V., & Manzanares-Céspedes, M. C. (2014). Tooth movement in orthodontic treatment with low-level laser therapy: a systematic review of human and animal studies. Photomedicine and Laser Surgery, 32(5), 302-309.
8. Collins, M. K., & Sinclair, P. M. (1988). The local use of vitamin D to increase the rate of orthodontic tooth movement. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 94(4), 278-284.
9. Cramer, C. L., Campbell, P. M., Opperman, L. A., Tadlock, L. P., & Buschang, P. H. (2019). Effects of micro-osteoperforations on tooth movement and bone in the beagle maxilla. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 155(5), 681-692.
10. Darendeliler, M. A., Sinclair, P. M., & Kusy, R. P. (1995). The effects of samarium-cobalt magnets and pulsed electromagnetic fields on tooth movement. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 107(6), 578-588.
11. Darendeliler, M. A., Darendeliler, A., & Mandurino, M. (1997). Clinical application of magnets in orthodontics and biological implications: a review. European Journal of Orthodontics, 19(4), 431-442.

12. Dogru, M., Akpolat, V., Dogru, A. G., Karadede, B., Akkurt, A., & Karadede, M. I. (2014). Examination of extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields on orthodontic tooth movement in rats. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment, 28(1), 118-122.
13. Frost, H. M. (1983). The regional acceleratory phenomenon: a review. Henry Ford Hospital Medical Journal, 31(1), 3-9.
14. Fujita, S., Yamaguchi, M., Utsunomiya, T., Yamamoto, H., & Kasai, K. (2008). Low-energy laser stimulates tooth movement velocity via expression of RANK and RANKL. Orthodontics & Craniofacial Research, 11(3), 143-155.
15. García Vega, M. F., López, P., Dib Kanan, A., Roman Mendez, C. D., Soto Sainz, J. E., Reyes Cervantes, E., ... & González, R. R. (2021). Are mechanical vibrations an effective alternative to accelerate orthodontic tooth movement in humans?. Applied Sciences, 11(23), 10699.
16. Ge, M., He, W., Chen, J., Wen, C., Yin, X., Hu, Z., ... & Shen, G. (2015). Efficacy of low-level laser therapy for accelerating tooth movement during orthodontic treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lasers in Medical Science, 30(5), 1609-1618.
17. Houara, R. G., Diniz, A. R., de Araujo, V. E., Thabane, L., & Soares, R. V. (2019). Effects of piezocision in orthodontic tooth movement: a systematic review of comparative studies. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry, 11(12), e1078.
18. Imani, M. M., Golshah, A., Safari-Faramani, R., & Sadeghi, M. (2018). Effect of low-level laser therapy on orthodontic movement of human canine: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Acta Informatica Medica, 26(2), 139.
19. Kale, S., Kocadereli, I., Atilla, P., & Asan, E. (2004). Comparison of the effects of 1, 25 dihydroxyvitamin D3 and prostaglandin E2 on orthodontic tooth movement. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 125(5), 607-614.
20. Kawasaki, K., & Shimizu, N. (2000). Effects of low-energy laser irradiation on bone remodeling during experimental tooth movement in rats. Lasers in Surgery and Medicine: The Official Journal of the American Society for Laser Medicine and Surgery, 26(3), 282-291.
21. Khaw, C. M. A., Dalci, O., Foley, M., Petocz, P., Darendeliler, M. A., & Papadopoulou, A. K. (2018). Physical properties of root cementum: Part 27. Effect of low-level laser therapy on the repair of orthodontically induced inflammatory root resorption: a double-blind, split-mouth, randomized controlled clinical trial. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 154(3), 326-336.
22. Khurshid, Z., & Asiri, F. Y. (2021). Influence of intermittent parathyroid hormone (PTH) administration on the outcomes of orthodontic tooth movement—A systematic review. Applied Sciences, 11(11), 5268.
23. Li, J., Papadopoulou, A. K., Gandedkar, N., Dalci, K., Darendeliler, M. A., & Dalci, O. (2022). The effect of micro-osteoperforations on orthodontic space closure investigated over 12 weeks: a split-mouth, randomized controlled clinical trial. European Journal of Orthodontics, 44(4), 427-435.
24. Liu, Z. J., King, G. J., Gu, G. M., Shin, J. Y., & Stewart, D. R. (2005). Does human relaxin accelerate orthodontic tooth movement in rats?. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1041(1), 388-394.
25. Lyu, C., Zhang, L., & Zou, S. (2019). The effectiveness of supplemental vibrational force on enhancing orthodontic treatment. European Journal of Orthodontics, 41(5), 502-512.
26. Madan, M. S., Liu, Z. J., Gu, G. M., & King, G. J. (2007). Effects of human relaxin on orthodontic tooth movement and periodontal ligaments in rats. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 131(8), e1-e10.
27. McGorray, S. P., Dolce, C., Kramer, S., Stewart, D., & Wheeler, T. T. (2012). A randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial on the effects of recombinant human relaxin on tooth movement and short-term stability. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 141(2), 196-203.
28. Mheissen, S., Khan, H., Alsafadi, A. S., & Almuzian, M. (2021). The effectiveness of surgical adjunctive procedures in the acceleration of orthodontic tooth movement: a systematic review of systematic reviews and meta-analysis. Journal of Orthodontics, 48(2), 156-171.
29. Mohaghegh, S., Soleimani, M., Kouhestani, F., & Motamedian, S. R. (2021). The effect of single/multiple micro-osteoperforation on the rate of orthodontic tooth movement and its possible complications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Orthodontics, 19(2), 183-196.
30. Ng, D. Y., Chan, A. K., Dalci, O., Petocz, P., Papadopoulou, A. K., & Darendeliler, M. A. (2018). A pilot study of laser energy transmission through bone and gingiva. The Journal of the American Dental Association, 149(8), 704-711.
31. Patterson, B. M., Dalci, O., Papadopoulou, A. K., Madukuri, S., Mahon, J., Petocz, P., & Spiekerman, C. (2017). Effect of piezocision on root resorption associated with orthodontic force: a microcomputed tomography study. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 151(1), 53-62.
32. Sambevski, J., Papadopoulou, A. K., Foley, M., Dalci, K., Petocz, P., Darendeliler, M. A., & Dalci, O. (2022). Physical properties of root cementum: part 29. The effects of LED-mediated photobiomodulation

on orthodontically induced root resorption and pain: a pilot split-mouth randomized controlled trial. European Journal of Orthodontics, 44(6), 650-658.

33. Showkatbakhsh, R., Jamilian, A., & Showkatbakhsh, M. (2010). The effect of pulsed electromagnetic fields on the acceleration of tooth movement. World Journal of Orthodontics, 11(4), e52-e56.
34. Soma, S., Matsumoto, S., Higuchi, Y., Takano-Yamamoto, T., Yamashita, K., Kurisu, K., & Iwamoto, M. (2000). Local and chronic application of PTH accelerates tooth movement in rats. Journal of Dental Research, 79(9), 1717-1724.
35. Sousa, M. V. S., Pinzan, A., Consolaro, A., Henriques, J. F. C., & de Freitas, M. R. (2014). Systematic literature review: influence of low-level laser on orthodontic movement and pain control in humans. Photomedicine and Laser Surgery, 32(9), 592-599.
36. Stark, T. M., & Sinclair, P. M. (1987). Effect of pulsed electromagnetic fields on orthodontic tooth movement. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 91(2), 91-104.
37. Tan, D. (2011). The effect of mechanical vibration (Acceledent 30Hz) applied to the hemimaxilla on root resporption and tooth movement after application of orthodontic force: A micro CT study (Doctoral dissertation, University of Sydney).
38. Verna, C. (2016). Regional acceleratory phenomenon. In B. Wilcko, T. Wilcko, N.E. Bissada (Eds.), Frontiers in Oral Biology (Vol. 18) an Evidence-Based Approach to Surgically Facilitated Orthodontic Treatment (pp. 28-35). Basel, Switzerland: S. Karger.
39. Viwattanatipa, N., & Charnchairerk, S. (2018). The effectiveness of corticotomy and piezocision on canine retraction: a systematic review. The Korean Journal of Orthodontics, 48(3), 200-211.
40. Wu, J. Y., Chen, C. H., Yeh, L. Y., Yeh, M. L., Ting, C. C., & Wang, Y. H. (2013). Low-power laser irradiation promotes the proliferation and osteogenic differentiation of human periodontal ligament cells via cyclic adenosine monophosphate. International Journal of Oral Science, 5(2), 85-91.
41. Yamasaki, K., Miura, F., & Suda, T. (1980). Prostaglandin as a mediator of bone resorption induced by experimental tooth movement in rats. Journal of Dental Research, 59(10), 1635-1642.
42. Yamasaki, K., Shibata, Y., & Fukuhara, T. (1982). The effect of prostaglandins on experimental tooth movement in monkeys (Macaca fuscata). Journal of Dental Research, 61(12), 1444-1446.
43. Yamasaki, K., Shibata, Y., Imai, S., Tani, Y., Shibasaki, Y., & Fukuhara, T. (1984). Clinical application of prostaglandin E1 (PGE1) upon orthodontic tooth movement. American Journal of Orthodontics, 85(6), 508-518.