International Journal of Medical Toxicology & Legal Medicine Volume 27, No. 3, 2024
e-ISSN: 0974-4614
p-ISSN: 0972-0448

Recent Advances in Laboratory Diagnosis of Rabies: Challenges, Innovations, and Future Directions

Raed Yahya Mohammed Garawy[1], Hajer Ali Alshamrani[2], Salha ali bakeet alyami[3], Abdullah Ayed Abdullah Alqahtani[4], Faisal Fahd Awdah Aljehani[5], Abdulmajeed Obaidallah Kulaib Alharbi[6], Sami Mohammed Fahd Alotaibi[7], Turki Mshabbab saeed Algahtani[8], Shwog Eissa Yehya Fagehi [9], Samirah Sheraz Almalki[10], Nasser Mohammed Al-Mutairi[11], Mohammed hajar mohammed almuntasheri[12]

[1]Laboratory, Executive Management of Medical Affairs for Community Health,Saudi Arabia, Email: rgarawe@moh.gov.sa
[2]Medical laboratory sciences,Executive Management of Medical Affairs for Community Health,Riyadh,Saudi Arabia, Email: halshamrani3@moh.gov.sa
[3]Technical laboratory,Hafar Al-Batin Central Hospital,Saudi Arabia, Email: salyami57@moh.gov.sa
[4]Laboratories And Medical Technology, Alorija Western Phcc, Riyadh, Email: Abayalqahtani@Moh.Gov.Sa
[5]Laboratory Technician,Executive Management of Medical Affairs for Community Health, Riyadh, Email: Faljehani@moh.gov.sa
[6]Medical laboratory sciences,Executive Management of Medical Affairs for Community Health, Riyadh, Email: Abdulmajeeda@moh.gov.sa
[7]Laboratory Technician, Department of Public Health, Riyadh, Email: Salotaibi18@moh.gov. sa
[8]Laboratory specialist,Prince Sultan P.H.C.C,Saudi Arabia, Email: tmalgahtani@moh.gov.sa
[9]Medical laboratory sciences, Executive Management of Medical Affairs for Community Health, Riyadh, Email: Sfagehy@moh.gov.sa
[10]Laboratory Technician, Shifa Second Health Center, Riyadh, Email: Salmaleky@moh.gov.sa
[11]Laboratory Technician, Al-Aflaj Hospital, Saudi Arabia.
[12]Laboratory Technician,Riyadh health first cluster, Saudi Arabia, Email: mafalmontasheri@icloud.com

Received: 15.08.2024 Revised: 12.09.2024 Accepted: 09.10.2024

ABSTRACT
Rabies is a fatal zoonotic disease that remains a significant public health challenge, especially in low- and middle-income countries. Accurate and timely laboratory diagnosis is critical for rabies surveillance, clinical management, and guiding post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP). Traditional diagnostic methods, such as the direct fluorescent antibody (DFA) test and mouse inoculation test (MIT), have long served as gold standards but are limited by their reliance on invasive sample collection, specialized infrastructure, and trained personnel. Recent advancements in molecular, antigen detection, and serological techniques have revolutionized rabies diagnostics. Molecular methods, such as reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), enable rapid and sensitive detection of viral RNA, even in resource-limited settings. Similarly, antigen detection innovations, including the direct rapid immunohistochemical test (DRIT) and immunochromatographic tests (ICTs), provide practical, field-deployable alternatives to traditional approaches. Serological assays, such as the rapid fluorescent focus inhibition test (RFFIT) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA), have advanced the evaluation of immune responses and vaccine efficacy. Despite these advancements, challenges such as limited access to diagnostic facilities, high costs, and logistical barriers persist in endemic regions. Innovations like point-of-care testing, genomic technologies, and artificial intelligence (AI) show promise for overcoming these hurdles. This review highlights recent advances and ongoing challenges in rabies diagnostics, emphasizing the importance of strengthening laboratory infrastructure and adopting a One Health approach to support global rabies elimination efforts by 2030.

Keywords: immune, testing, genomic technologies, advancements, challenges

INTRODUCTION

Rabies, a zoonotic viral disease caused by the rabies virus (RABV), remains a global public health challenge, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. Although largely preventable through vaccination, rabies causes tens of thousands of human deaths annually, primarily in Asia and Africa (World Health Organization [WHO], 2021). Laboratory diagnosis, critical for disease surveillance, management, and prevention, has undergone significant advancements in recent years. Emerging molecular methods, improved antigen detection techniques, and innovative serological assays have enhanced diagnostic accuracy and speed. This article reviews recent updates in the laboratory diagnosis of rabies, highlighting challenges, breakthroughs, and future directions.

The Importance of Laboratory Diagnosis in Rabies

Laboratory diagnosis plays a critical role in rabies management, prevention, and control. Rabies, caused by the rabies virus (RABV), is a neurotropic disease that is almost universally fatal once clinical symptoms appear. Early and accurate laboratory diagnosis is essential for confirming rabies infection, guiding post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP), and implementing timely public health interventions to prevent further cases. Since rabies symptoms are often non-specific and mimic other neurological disorders, laboratory confirmation is vital to distinguish rabies from conditions like encephalitis caused by herpesvirus or arboviruses (Mitrabhakdi, Wilde, & Hemachudha, 2003).

In endemic areas, laboratory diagnosis is a cornerstone of surveillance programs, which are crucial for monitoring rabies prevalence in animal reservoirs and evaluating the impact of vaccination campaigns. Furthermore, it provides critical data for achieving global goals, such as the elimination of dog-mediated rabies by 2030, as endorsed by the World Health Organization (WHO, 2021).

Beyond its public health significance, laboratory diagnosis is pivotal in clinical settings. In suspected human rabies cases, ante-mortem tests on saliva, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), and skin biopsies can help clinicians confirm the infection and provide insights into disease progression. Even post-mortem diagnosis has significant implications, offering closure for families and improving epidemiological understanding. Thus, robust diagnostic systems are indispensable for combating rabies effectively at both individual and population levels.

Traditional Diagnostic Techniques

Traditional diagnostic techniques have long been the foundation of rabies diagnosis, particularly in endemic regions where advanced molecular methods may not yet be accessible. These methods, while effective and reliable, have certain limitations that have motivated the development of newer diagnostic approaches. However, they remain critical for confirming rabies in both humans and animals, especially in resource-limited settings.

1. Direct Fluorescent Antibody Test (DFA)

The DFA test is widely recognized as the gold standard for rabies diagnosis, especially in post-mortem cases. This method detects rabies virus antigens in brain tissue using fluorescein-labeled anti-rabies antibodies. It is highly sensitive and specific, capable of identifying rabies even in the early stages of infection (Rupprecht, Fooks, & Abela-Ridder, 2018). However, DFA requires fresh, unfixed brain tissue, specialized fluorescent microscopy, and trained personnel. These requirements limit its applicability in remote or under-resourced settings where access to diagnostic laboratories is constrained. Additionally, the invasive nature of sampling brain tissue poses challenges in cases where ante-mortem diagnosis is required.

2. Mouse Inoculation Test (MIT)

The MIT is another traditional diagnostic technique that involves inoculating brain tissue suspensions from suspected cases into laboratory mice to detect the presence of the rabies virus. The mice are observed for clinical symptoms of rabies, followed by examination of their brain tissue for confirmation. While the sensitivity of this method is high, it is time-consuming, requiring up to 28 days for results. Ethical concerns regarding the use of animals for diagnostic purposes have also led to the gradual replacement of MIT by molecular and immunochemical methods (Lembo et al., 2006).

3. Histopathology

Histopathological examination of brain tissue can reveal Negri bodies, eosinophilic cytoplasmic inclusions that are considered pathognomonic for rabies. However, Negri bodies are not consistently present in all rabies cases and may also appear in other viral encephalitides, reducing the specificity of this technique (Hemachudha et al., 2013). Histopathology remains a useful adjunct but is rarely used as a standalone diagnostic method.

While traditional techniques such as DFA, MIT, and histopathology have been invaluable in the diagnosis of rabies, they have certain drawbacks, such as dependency on invasive sampling, lengthy processing times, and the need for specialized equipment or expertise. Despite these limitations, these methods remain crucial in endemic regions where advanced diagnostic tools are not yet widely available. Their use continues to provide valuable insights into rabies epidemiology and assists in timely public health interventions.

Recent Advances in Rabies Diagnosis

Recent advances in rabies diagnosis have transformed the field, offering improved sensitivity, specificity, and speed compared to traditional methods. These innovations address many of the limitations of older techniques, making it possible to diagnose rabies more accurately and earlier in the disease progression, particularly in suspected human cases. Notable advancements include molecular techniques, enhanced antigen detection methods, and refined serological assays.

1. Molecular Techniques

Molecular diagnostics, particularly reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and its real-time variant (qRT-PCR), have revolutionized rabies diagnosis. These techniques detect viral RNA in various clinical samples, including saliva, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), and skin biopsies. Real-time RT-PCR provides quantitative results and is highly sensitive, capable of detecting even minute amounts of viral RNA (Mani et al., 2014). Moreover, advanced multiplex RT-PCR assays can identify multiple Lyssavirus genotypes, expanding their utility beyond rabies to include other similar viruses (Wadhwa et al., 2017). High-throughput sequencing (HTS) and next-generation sequencing (NGS) have further enhanced molecular diagnostics by enabling whole-genome characterization of rabies viruses. These methods are invaluable for phylogenetic analysis, outbreak investigations, and vaccine efficacy studies (Brunker, Nadin-Davis, & Biek, 2018).

In addition, loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) has emerged as a promising tool for rabies diagnosis in resource-limited settings. LAMP amplifies viral RNA under isothermal conditions, requiring minimal equipment and producing results within an hour. Its simplicity and affordability make it ideal for field use (Nahata et al., 2021).

2. Antigen Detection

Antigen detection methods have also seen significant improvements. The direct rapid immunohistochemical test (DRIT) is a notable advancement, offering a simpler and more cost-effective alternative to the gold-standard DFA test. DRIT detects rabies antigens in brain tissue using immunohistochemical staining and does not require specialized fluorescent microscopes. This makes it particularly suited for field settings in low-resource regions (Rupprecht, Fooks, & Abela-Ridder, 2018).

Immunochromatographic tests (ICTs) are another innovation in antigen detection. These rapid tests can detect rabies antigens in brain tissue within minutes, facilitating on-the-spot diagnosis. While less sensitive than DFA or DRIT, ICTs are invaluable for preliminary screening in remote areas (Eggerbauer et al., 2016).

3. Serological Assays

Recent advances in serological assays have enhanced their utility in rabies diagnostics. The rapid fluorescent focus inhibition test (RFFIT), the gold standard for measuring rabies virus-neutralizing antibodies (RVNA), has been refined for better accuracy and reproducibility. Additionally, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) have emerged as a faster, high-throughput alternative for detecting RVNA. These assays are particularly useful for assessing immune responses post-vaccination or in rabies survivors (Moore & Hanlon, 2010).

4. Point-of-Care Diagnostics

Innovations in point-of-care diagnostics are addressing the need for portable, rapid, and user-friendly tools. These include simplified molecular tools like LAMP and rapid antigen detection kits, which can deliver results within hours. Such advancements are particularly critical for improving rabies surveillance and response in remote and resource-limited areas.

Antigen Detection

Antigen detection methods have seen significant advancements in recent years, offering faster and more accessible alternatives to traditional techniques like the DFA test. These methods focus on identifying rabies virus antigens in tissues, making them particularly useful for post-mortem diagnosis. Among the most notable innovations are the Direct Rapid Immunohistochemical Test (DRIT) and immunochromatographic tests (ICTs), both of which are gaining acceptance in low-resource settings.

The **Direct Rapid Immunohistochemical Test (DRIT)** has emerged as a simpler and more cost-effective alternative to the gold-standard DFA test. DRIT uses immunohistochemical staining to detect rabies antigens in brain tissue and does not require a fluorescence microscope, making it more feasible for use in field laboratories (Rupprecht, Fooks, & Abela-Ridder, 2018). DRIT is highly sensitive and specific, comparable to the DFA test, and has been recommended by the WHO for use in field settings. Its ability to yield results within hours makes it an invaluable tool in low-resource regions where access to advanced laboratory infrastructure is limited.

Immunochromatographic tests (ICTs), on the other hand, are portable, user-friendly kits capable of detecting rabies antigens in brain tissue within minutes. These tests rely on lateral flow immunoassay technology and are particularly well-suited for preliminary screening in remote areas. While ICTs are less sensitive than DFA or DRIT, they are affordable, easy to use, and require minimal training (Eggerbauer et al., 2016). They represent a promising step toward decentralizing rabies diagnosis and improving accessibility in endemic regions.

Both DRIT and ICTs address critical challenges in rabies diagnostics by providing rapid and cost-effective solutions for antigen detection in post-mortem samples. These tools are helping to bridge the gap in diagnostic capacities between well-equipped laboratories and remote field settings, contributing significantly to global rabies surveillance and control efforts.

Serological Assays

Serological assays play a critical role in rabies diagnosis, particularly in assessing immune responses post-vaccination, confirming seroconversion in rabies survivors, and monitoring rabies virus-neutralizing antibodies (RVNA). These assays are essential in both clinical and research settings, providing insights into the effectiveness of vaccination programs and aiding in the development of new vaccines and therapeutic approaches.

The **Rapid Fluorescent Focus Inhibition Test (RFFIT)** is the gold standard for measuring RVNA. It quantifies the ability of antibodies in serum or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) to neutralize the rabies virus in cell culture. This test is highly sensitive and specific, making it indispensable for evaluating immunity post-exposure or post-vaccination. However, RFFIT is labor-intensive, requires specialized facilities, and relies on live virus testing, limiting its application in low-resource settings (Moore & Goron, 2020).

To address these limitations, **enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA)** have become a widely used alternative. ELISA-based methods detect antibodies against rabies virus glycoproteins, offering a simpler, faster, and high-throughput option compared to RFFIT. They are particularly useful for large-scale studies, such as monitoring vaccination coverage in animal populations or evaluating human vaccine trials. While ELISA may be less precise than RFFIT, it is more practical for routine applications (Moore & Hanlon, 2010).

Recent advances in serological testing include the development of portable, point-of-care assays for rapid antibody detection, further increasing accessibility in field settings. These innovations are especially valuable in resource-limited regions, where traditional laboratory infrastructure may be lacking. As serological assays continue to evolve, they remain critical tools for evaluating rabies immunity, supporting both clinical management and global elimination efforts.

Challenges in Rabies Diagnosis

Despite significant advancements in rabies diagnostics, several challenges persist that hinder the timely and accurate detection of the disease. These challenges are particularly pronounced in low-resource settings, where rabies remains endemic and diagnostic infrastructure is often limited. Addressing these barriers is crucial for improving rabies surveillance, guiding interventions, and achieving the global goal of eliminating dog-mediated rabies by 2030.

1. Limited Access to Diagnostic Facilities

One of the primary challenges in rabies diagnosis is the lack of access to well-equipped diagnostic laboratories, particularly in rural and remote areas where rabies is most prevalent. Many endemic countries lack the infrastructure needed to perform gold-standard diagnostic tests like the DFA or advanced molecular techniques such as RT-PCR. This results in underreporting of cases, inadequate surveillance, and delayed responses to outbreaks. Furthermore, transporting clinical samples from remote areas to centralized laboratories can be logistically challenging, especially when dealing with fragile specimens like brain tissue or cerebrospinal fluid.

2. Cost and Resource Constraints

Rabies diagnostic tools, particularly molecular methods like RT-PCR and high-throughput sequencing, are expensive and require trained personnel, specialized equipment, and biosafety facilities. The high costs of reagents, consumables, and maintenance of laboratory infrastructure make these techniques inaccessible in many regions where rabies is endemic. Even traditional methods like the DFA test, while less costly, require fluorescent microscopes and skilled technicians, which are often unavailable in resource-limited settings.

3. Challenges in Sample Collection

Obtaining appropriate clinical specimens for rabies diagnosis is another obstacle. Post-mortem diagnosis, which relies on brain tissue samples, is invasive and often faces cultural and ethical resistance, particularly in human cases. Ante-mortem diagnosis, while less invasive, requires samples like saliva, skin biopsies, or CSF, which can be difficult to collect and transport under sterile conditions in resource-limited environments. Delays in sample transport can lead to sample degradation, compromising diagnostic accuracy.

4. Lack of Standardization

The absence of standardized diagnostic protocols across laboratories and regions further complicates rabies diagnosis. Variability in techniques, reagents, and quality control measures can lead to inconsistent results, reducing the reliability of diagnostic outcomes. There is also a lack of harmonization in the interpretation of test results, which can affect case reporting and disease surveillance efforts.

5. Ethical Concerns and Laboratory Safety

Ethical concerns associated with traditional techniques such as the Mouse Inoculation Test (MIT) have led to its decline, but this has also reduced access to a highly sensitive diagnostic method in some regions. Additionally, rabies diagnosis requires handling live virus or infectious samples, posing significant biosafety risks. Many laboratories in endemic areas lack adequate biosafety protocols and facilities, increasing the risk of laboratory-acquired infections.

6. Underreporting and Misdiagnosis

Rabies often presents with non-specific clinical symptoms, leading to misdiagnosis as other neurological or viral conditions, such as Guillain-Barré syndrome or viral encephalitis. The lack of diagnostic confirmation in many suspected cases contributes to significant underreporting, which hampers effective surveillance and control programs.

Innovations and Future Directions

The field of rabies diagnostics is rapidly evolving with innovations aimed at overcoming existing challenges and improving the speed, accuracy, and accessibility of testing. These advancements are particularly important for regions with limited resources, where rabies remains a significant public health threat. Emerging technologies, point-of-care tools, and multidisciplinary approaches hold tremendous promise for transforming rabies diagnosis and control efforts.

1. Point-of-Care Diagnostic Tools

One of the most significant innovations is the development of portable, field-deployable diagnostic tools. Techniques like loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) are gaining traction as they do not require sophisticated equipment or highly trained personnel. LAMP can detect rabies viral RNA within an hour under isothermal conditions, making it ideal for remote settings. Similarly, rapid immunochromatographic tests (ICTs) provide on-the-spot antigen detection with minimal training, offering a practical solution for resource-limited areas (Nahata et al., 2021).

2. Genomics and High-Throughput Sequencing

Advances in genomic technologies, particularly next-generation sequencing (NGS), are revolutionizing rabies diagnostics. These tools enable whole-genome characterization of rabies viruses, facilitating phylogenetic studies, outbreak tracking, and the identification of novel Lyssavirus species. As costs decrease and bioinformatics tools become more accessible, genomic approaches are likely to play a central role in global rabies surveillance and control efforts (Brunker et al., 2018).

3. Artificial Intelligence and Automation

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning offer new possibilities for improving rabies diagnosis. These technologies can analyze complex datasets generated by molecular and serological assays, enhancing diagnostic accuracy and efficiency. Automated diagnostic systems could also reduce reliance on manual interpretation, making testing more reliable and scalable.

4. One Health Integration

The One Health approach, which emphasizes the interconnectedness of human, animal, and environmental health, is critical for the future of rabies diagnostics. Integrated surveillance systems that combine data from veterinary, public health, and environmental sectors can improve early detection and response to outbreaks, supporting global elimination goals.

CONCLUSION

Rabies remains a significant public health challenge, particularly in resource-limited regions where diagnostic infrastructure is often lacking. However, advancements in diagnostic methods, including molecular techniques, antigen detection tools, and serological assays, have significantly improved the speed, accuracy, and accessibility of rabies diagnosis. These innovations not only enhance surveillance efforts but also contribute to better clinical and public health outcomes. The development of point-of-care diagnostic tools, such as LAMP and rapid antigen tests, offers promising solutions for remote and underserved areas. Furthermore, genomic approaches and artificial intelligence are paving the way for more precise and scalable diagnostic methods.

Despite these advancements, challenges such as limited access, cost constraints, and logistical barriers persist. Addressing these issues requires a concerted effort to strengthen diagnostic systems, standardize protocols, and improve global collaboration through frameworks like the One Health approach. By integrating innovations and addressing these barriers, the global goal of eliminating dog-mediated rabies by 2030 can become a reality. Continued investment in research, infrastructure, and collaboration is vital to ensure that rabies diagnosis is accessible to all, ultimately reducing the disease's burden worldwide.

REFERENCES

1. Ashwini, M. A., Pattanaik, A., & Mani, R. S. (2024). Recent updates on laboratory diagnosis of rabies. *The Indian Journal of Medical Research, 159*(1), 48–61. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijmr.ijmr_131_23
2. Brunker, K., Nadin-Davis, S. A., & Biek, R. (2018). Rabies virus phylogeography and epidemiology using whole-genome sequencing. *Virus Research, 270*, 197–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2018.01.004
3. Eggerbauer, E., de Benedictis, P., Hoffmann, B., Mettenleiter, T. C., Schlottau, K., Ngoepe, E. C., et al. (2016). Evaluation of six commercially available rapid immunochromatographic tests for the diagnosis of rabies in brain material. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 10*, e0004776.

4. Hemachudha, T., Ugolini, G., Wacharapluesadee, S., Sungkarat, W., Shuangshoti, S., & Laothamatas, J. (2013). Human rabies: Neuropathogenesis, diagnosis, and management. *The Lancet Neurology, 12*(5), 498–513. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70038-3
5. Lembo, T., Niezgoda, M., Velasco-Villa, A., Cleaveland, S., Ernest, E., & Rupprecht, C. E. (2006). Evaluation of a direct, rapid immunohistochemical test for rabies diagnosis. *Emerging Infectious Diseases, 12*(2), 310–313. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1202.050812
6. Mani, R. S., Madhusudana, S. N., Mahadevan, A., Reddy, V., Belludi, A. Y., & Shankar, S. K. (2014). Utility of real-time TaqMan PCR for antemortem and postmortem diagnosis of human rabies. *Journal of Medical Virology, 86*(11), 1804–1812. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.23911
7. Moore, S. M., & Goron, A. (2020). The rapid fluorescent focus inhibition test (RFFIT). *Methods in Molecular Biology, 2149*, 151–163. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-0621-6_15
8. Moore, S. M., & Hanlon, C. A. (2010). Rabies-specific antibodies: Measuring surrogates of protection against a fatal disease. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *4*(3), e595. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000595
9. Nahata, K. D., Bollen, N., Gill, M. S., Layan, M., Bourhy, H., Dellicour, S., et al. (2021). On the use of phylogeographic inference to infer the dispersal history of rabies virus: A review study. *Viruses*, *13*(8), 1628. https://doi.org/10.3390/v13081628
10. Rupprecht, C. E., Fooks, A. R., & Abela-Ridder, B. (Eds.). (2018). *Laboratory techniques in rabies* (5th ed., Vol. 1). Geneva: WHO.
11. Wakeley, P. R., Johnson, N., McElhinney, L. M., Marston, D., Sawyer, J., & Fooks, A. R. (2005). Development of a real-time, TaqMan reverse transcription-PCR assay for detection and differentiation of Lyssavirus genotypes 1, 5, and 6. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *43*(6), 2786–2792.
12. World Health Organization. (2021). Adopt one health, stop rabies: India launches new national action plan for dog-mediated rabies elimination by 2030. Retrieved August 8, 2023, from https://www.who.int/news/item/25-10-2021-adopt-onehealth-stop-rabies-india-launches-new-national-action-planfor-dog-mediated-rabies-elimination-by-2030