International Journal of Medical Toxicology & Legal Medicine **Volume 27, No. 4S, 2024**
e-ISSN: 0974-4614
p-ISSN: 0972-0448
https://doi.org/10.47059/ijmtlm/V27I4S/121

Dental Sutures: Types, Techniques, and Their Role in Oral Surgery and Wound Healing

Nourah Nasser almalik[1], Manal Abdullah Alshareef[2], Afnan Faisal Abdullah Almalki[3], Azhar Hussain Asiry[4], Amany sami alFawzan[5], Fatmah Fihan Albogami[6], Haifa Abdulkader Bajunaid[7], Abdulaziz Maged Marzog Almutair[8], Mohammed ibrahim Aloqayfi[9], Sali Ahmed Ibrahim Aljayzani[10], Dhafer Mohammad Dhafer Alshehri[11], Ashwag Moohmaed Alahmari[12]

[1]Dental hygienist, West riyadh dental complex,Saudi Arabia, Email: Nalmalik@moh.gov.sa
[2]Dental hygienist, West riyadh dental complex,Saudi Arabia, Email: maaalshareef@moh.gov.sa
[3]Dental hygienist, West riyadh dental complex,Saudi Arabia, Email: Afalmaki@moh.gov.sa
[4]Dental hygienist, Dental Clinics Complex West of Riyadh,Saudi Arabia, Email: azasseri@moh.gov.sa
[5]Dental hygienist, Dental Clinics Complex West of Riyadh,Saudi Arabia, Email: NAmalfawzan@moh.gov.sa
[6]Dental hygienist, Dental Clinics Complex West of Riyadh,Saudi Arabia, Email: ffalbogami@moh.gov.sa
[7]General Dentist,West dental complex in Riyadh,Saudi Arabia, Email: haifab@moh.gov.sa
[8]Bachelor of dental surgery,Al-Rayan General Hospital - ksmc - HP-Liferay DXP,Saudi Arabia, Email: Aalmutairi387@moh.gov.sa
[9]Dental Hygienist,Dental Clinics Complex West of Riyadh,Saudi Arabia, Email: Maloqayfi@moh.gov.sa
[10]Dental Assistant, Al-Iman General Hospital,Saudi Arabia, Email: Saaljezani@moh.gov.sa
[11]Dental Assistant, Primary Health Care Center in Sulaymaniyah,Saudi Arabia, Email: dmalshehri@moh.gov.sa
[12]Dental assistant,Dental clinic complex west of Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Email: Ashwag140753@gmail.com

Received: 10.09.2024 Revised: 18.10.2024 Accepted: 24.11.2024

ABSTRACT
Dental sutures are an integral component of oral surgery, facilitating tissue approximation, wound closure, and stabilization during the healing process. They are used in various dental procedures, including extractions, periodontal surgeries, and implant placements. Sutures not only provide mechanical support to the wound but also protect it from contaminants, reducing the risk of infection and promoting faster recovery. The choice of suture material and technique is crucial, as it impacts tissue reactions, healing time, and the likelihood of complications. Sutures are broadly classified as absorbable or non-absorbable, natural or synthetic, and monofilament or multifilament, with each type suited for specific clinical scenarios. Advances in suture technology, such as antibacterial coatings and barbed sutures, have further improved surgical outcomes by reducing infection risks and enhancing efficiency. However, complications such as tissue reactions, infections, wound dehiscence, knot-related issues, and patient noncompliance highlight the importance of proper suture selection and technique. This article provides a comprehensive overview of dental sutures, including their types, materials, techniques, roles in wound healing, and potential complications, aiming to enhance understanding and improve clinical outcomes in dental practice.

Introduction to Dental Sutures
Dental sutures are indispensable tools in oral surgery, designed to aid in the precise approximation of wound edges, secure tissue flaps, and promote hemostasis following surgical procedures. These sutures are utilized in a wide range of dental surgeries, including periodontal treatments, extractions, implant placements, and more. Their primary function is to stabilize tissues during the critical healing period, ensuring proper alignment and reducing the risk of complications. Beyond their mechanical function, sutures also serve to protect the surgical site from exposure to external contaminants, thereby minimizing the risk of infection and enhancing the healing process (Davis & Smith, 2021).
The application of sutures in dentistry is not a modern development. Historically, sutures have been used for thousands of years, with early civilizations utilizing natural materials such as silk, linen, and animal-derived materials like catgut. Over time, the field of dental suturing has evolved significantly, with the development of synthetic materials and advanced techniques tailored to the unique requirements of oral tissues. This evolution

has been driven by the need to reduce tissue reactions, improve patient comfort, and ensure predictable outcomes (Minozzi et al., 2009).

In dental surgery, the choice of suture material and technique is critical. Factors such as the type of tissue, the nature of the procedure, and the anticipated healing time must all be considered. Sutures must exhibit properties such as biocompatibility, tensile strength, and resistance to bacterial colonization while minimizing trauma to the surrounding tissues (Burkhardt & Lang, 2015). Improper suture selection or technique can lead to complications such as wound dehiscence, infection, or delayed healing.

This article explores the diverse types of sutures used in dentistry, their materials, techniques, and their roles in wound healing. It also highlights the potential complications associated with sutures and discusses advancements in suture technology aimed at improving surgical outcomes. By understanding the science behind dental sutures, dental professionals can better optimize patient care and improve surgical success.

Types of Dental Sutures

The selection of the appropriate type of suture is crucial for achieving optimal surgical outcomes. Sutures can be classified based on various characteristics, including absorbability, strand structure, and material composition. Each type of suture offers unique advantages and disadvantages, making it essential for dental professionals to select the most suitable option based on the specific needs of the procedure and patient.

1. Absorbable vs. Non-Absorbable Sutures

The first major classification of sutures is based on their absorbability, which determines whether the suture material will be naturally degraded by the body or require manual removal.

Absorbable Sutures

Absorbable sutures are designed to be broken down by the body's enzymatic or hydrolytic processes over time. This eliminates the need for a follow-up appointment to remove the sutures, making them particularly advantageous for surgeries where patient compliance may be an issue. Commonly used absorbable materials include catgut, polyglycolic acid (PGA), polylactic acid (PLA), and polydioxanone (PDS). Each material has specific degradation rates and biocompatibility profiles.

- **Catgut Sutures**: Derived from animal intestines, catgut sutures were historically popular due to their natural composition. However, their unpredictable absorption rates and potential to elicit inflammatory responses have reduced their use in modern dentistry (Katz & Evans, 1962).
- **Synthetic Absorbable Sutures**: Materials like PGA and PLA offer more predictable absorption rates and reduced tissue reactivity compared to natural sutures. These sutures are commonly used in periodontal and implant surgeries to facilitate controlled healing (Minozzi et al., 2009).

Non-Absorbable Sutures

Non-absorbable sutures are not degraded by the body and must be manually removed once the tissue has healed. These sutures are often used in procedures requiring prolonged tissue stabilization. Common non-absorbable materials include silk, nylon, polyester, and polypropylene.

- **Silk**: A natural, multifilament suture with excellent handling properties, silk is widely used in dental surgeries. However, it is prone to bacterial colonization and can elicit an inflammatory response (Parirokh et al., 2004).
- **Synthetic Non-Absorbable Sutures**: Materials like nylon and polypropylene are monofilament sutures with high tensile strength and minimal tissue reactivity. These sutures are often used in implant and maxillofacial surgeries, where long-term stability is required (Silverstein et al., 2009).

2. Monofilament vs. Multifilament Sutures

The structure of the suture strand—whether it is a single filament or composed of multiple intertwined filaments—affects its handling properties, resistance to infection, and tissue reactivity.

Monofilament Sutures

Made of a single strand of material, monofilament sutures are smooth and less prone to bacterial colonization, making them ideal for use in the oral cavity, where minimizing infection risk is critical. However, they are more challenging to handle and tie due to their stiffness and lower knot security. Common monofilament materials include nylon, polypropylene, and PDS.

- **Advantages**: Reduced bacterial adherence, minimal tissue drag, and lower inflammatory response (Otten et al., 2005).
- **Disadvantages**: Difficult handling and a tendency for knots to come loose if not secured properly (Quasso et al., 1995).

Multifilament Sutures

Composed of multiple intertwined filaments, multifilament sutures are easier to handle and provide better knot security. However, their braided structure can act as a nidus for bacterial colonization, increasing the risk of infection. Common multifilament materials include silk, polyester, and PGA.

- **Advantages**: Excellent handling, flexibility, and knot security.
- **Disadvantages**: Increased risk of bacterial contamination and tissue reaction (Banche et al., 2007).
  To mitigate the risk of infection, some multifilament sutures are coated with antimicrobial agents or synthetic polymers.

3. Natural vs. Synthetic Sutures

Sutures are also classified based on their material composition, with options ranging from natural fibers to advanced synthetic polymers.

Natural Sutures

Natural sutures, such as silk and catgut, have historically been used in dentistry due to their availability and ease of use.

- **Silk Sutures**: Widely used in oral surgery, silk is a multifilament suture that offers excellent handling and knot security. However, it is prone to bacterial colonization and can cause significant tissue inflammation, making it less desirable for procedures requiring a sterile field (Lilly, 1968).
- **Catgut Sutures**: As a natural absorbable material, catgut is broken down by enzymatic action. Although it is effective in certain applications, its unpredictable absorption rates and potential for adverse tissue reactions have led to its decline in popularity (Homsy et al., 1968).

Synthetic Sutures

Synthetic sutures, such as PGA, PLA, nylon, and polypropylene, have largely replaced natural sutures in modern dentistry due to their superior biocompatibility, strength, and predictable behavior.

- **Advantages of Synthetic Sutures**: Minimal tissue reactivity, predictable absorption rates (in the case of absorbable sutures), and resistance to bacterial adherence.
- **Disadvantages of Synthetic Sutures**: Some synthetic sutures, particularly monofilaments, can be difficult to handle and require advanced suturing skills (Selvig et al., 1998).

4. Barbed Sutures

Barbed sutures are a relatively new innovation in suture technology. They are designed with tiny barbs along the suture strand, allowing the suture to anchor itself in the tissue without the need for knots.

- **Advantages**: Barbed sutures reduce surgical time, eliminate the potential for knot-related complications, and distribute tension more evenly across the wound.
- **Disadvantages**: Limited availability and higher cost compared to traditional sutures (Regula &Yag-Howard, 2015).

5. Antibacterial Sutures

To reduce the risk of infection, certain sutures are coated with antimicrobial agents, such as triclosan. Antibacterial sutures are particularly useful in high-risk procedures, such as those involving immunocompromised patients or extensive tissue manipulation.

- **Advantages**: Reduced bacterial colonization, lower infection rates, and improved wound healing outcomes.
- **Disadvantages**: Higher cost and limited availability (Scher et al., 1985).

Suture Selection in Dentistry

The choice of suture type depends on several factors, including the surgical procedure, tissue type, patient health, and the desired healing time. For example:

- **Absorbable sutures** are preferred for procedures where follow-up appointments for suture removal may be impractical, such as in pediatric patients or patients with limited access to dental care.
- **Non-absorbable sutures** are ideal for procedures requiring prolonged tissue stabilization, such as implant surgeries.
- **Monofilament sutures** are recommended for surgeries where infection prevention is a priority, such as in periodontal surgeries.
- **Multifilament sutures** may be chosen for their ease of handling and knot security in less infection-prone areas.

Suture Materials in Dentistry

The choice of suture material in dentistry is a critical factor that directly impacts wound healing, tissue reactions, and the overall success of oral surgical procedures. Suture materials are classified into natural or synthetic, absorbable or non-absorbable, and monofilament or multifilament. Each material has unique properties, making it suitable for specific clinical situations.

1. Silk

Silk is a natural, non-absorbable multifilament suture widely used in dentistry due to its superior handling and knot security. Despite its popularity, silk sutures are associated with significant drawbacks, including the potential for bacterial colonization and inflammatory tissue reactions. These limitations make silk less ideal for surgeries requiring sterile conditions or prolonged healing (Lilly, 1968).

2. Polyglycolic Acid (PGA)

PGA is a synthetic, absorbable multifilament suture that offers predictable absorption rates and minimal tissue reactivity. Its braided structure provides excellent handling, making it a popular choice for periodontal and implant surgeries. Coated PGA sutures further reduce bacterial adherence and friction during placement (Selvig et al., 1998).

3. Polydioxanone (PDS)

PDS is a synthetic monofilament, absorbable suture known for its high tensile strength and slow absorption rate. It is commonly used in procedures requiring longer-term support, such as bone grafts or implant surgeries (Minozzi et al., 2009).

4. Nylon and Polypropylene

Both nylon and polypropylene are synthetic, non-absorbable monofilament sutures. Nylon offers excellent strength and minimal tissue reaction but can be difficult to handle due to its stiffness. Polypropylene, on the other hand, provides superior biocompatibility and resistance to bacterial colonization, making it suitable for soft-tissue surgeries (Kurtzman et al., 2005).

5. Catgut

Catgut, a natural absorbable suture derived from animal intestines, was historically favored due to its biological origin. However, its unpredictable degradation and tendency to provoke inflammatory responses have limited its use in modern dentistry (Katz & Evans, 1962).

Suture Techniques in Dentistry

The choice of technique depends on the surgical procedure, the type of tissue involved, and the desired healing outcome. A well-executed suturing technique not only stabilizes the wound but also minimizes complications such as dehiscence, infection, or excessive scarring. Below are the most commonly used suture techniques in dentistry and their clinical applications.

1. Interrupted Sutures

Interrupted sutures are one of the most commonly employed techniques in dental surgery. In this method, individual sutures are placed at intervals along the wound, with each suture tied and cut separately. This technique provides excellent wound stabilization, as tension is distributed evenly across the wound. Furthermore, the failure of one suture does not compromise the entire wound closure.

- **Advantages:** High stability, allows for precise tissue approximation, reduces the risk of dehiscence.
- **Disadvantages:** Time-consuming to place and remove.
- **Applications:** Used in periodontal surgeries, implant procedures, and extractions (Gallagher et al., 2020).

2. Continuous Sutures

In continuous suturing, a single suture strand is used to close the entire wound without cutting between stitches. This technique is faster than interrupted sutures and requires fewer knots. However, if one section of the suture fails, the entire wound may be compromised.

- **Advantages:** Faster placement, requires fewer materials, and provides even tension across the wound.
- **Disadvantages:** Risk of wound dehiscence if the suture breaks.
- **Applications:** Commonly used in flap surgeries, especially when long incisions need closure (Torres-Lagares et al., 2012).

3. Mattress Sutures
Mattress sutures, including vertical and horizontal variations, are used to achieve precise tissue approximation and reduce tension on the wound edges. Vertical mattress sutures provide better tissue eversion, while horizontal mattress sutures are useful for distributing tension over a broader area.

- **Advantages:** Excellent for tension relief, reduces wound edge ischemia, and maintains flap position.
- **Disadvantages:** Requires skill to place and may cause tissue ischemia if tied too tightly.
- **Applications:** Ideal for delicate soft-tissue surgeries, such as gingival grafts or periodontal procedures (Silverstein et al., 2009).

4. Figure-of-Eight Sutures
The figure-of-eight suture technique is particularly useful in areas requiring enhanced hemostasis, such as post-extraction sockets. This method involves looping the suture in a figure-eight pattern, effectively compressing the wound edges.

- **Advantages:** Provides hemostasis and stability for small wounds.
- **Disadvantages:** Limited to specific applications.
- **Applications:** Commonly used in the closure of extraction sites or small defects (Brandt & Jenkins, 2012).

5. Sling Sutures
Sling sutures are used to secure flaps without crossing the incision line, allowing for better control of individual tissue segments. They are particularly useful in periodontal and implant surgeries, where precise tissue positioning is critical.

- **Advantages:** Maintains flap position without compressing the incision site.
- **Disadvantages:** Requires advanced suturing skills.
- **Applications:** Frequently used in flap surgeries and implant procedures (Kurtzman et al., 2005).

6. Subcutaneous Sutures
Subcutaneous sutures are placed beneath the skin or mucosa, providing a more aesthetic outcome by avoiding external suture marks. These are typically absorbable sutures, allowing for natural degradation without removal.

- **Advantages:** Provides an aesthetic closure with minimal scarring.
- **Disadvantages:** Limited to specific procedures and requires expertise.
- **Applications:** Often used in cosmetic periodontal surgeries or grafting procedures (Burkhardt & Lang, 2015).

Role of Sutures in Wound Healing
Sutures play a critical role in wound healing by stabilizing tissues, reducing tension, and facilitating the natural healing process. In dental surgery, proper wound closure is essential to minimize complications and to promote optimal healing of the soft tissues and bone. Sutures provide mechanical support to the wound, holding tissue edges together until the body's natural reparative mechanisms can restore integrity to the surgical site (Davis & Smith, 2021).
The wound healing process occurs in four stages: hemostasis, inflammation, proliferation, and remodeling. Sutures contribute to each of these stages. During **hemostasis**, sutures compress blood vessels, aiding in the cessation of bleeding. In the **inflammatory stage**, they protect the wound from external contaminants, reducing the risk of infection. During **proliferation**, sutures maintain the proper alignment of tissues, enabling faster epithelial migration and better granulation tissue formation (Wang et al., 2018). Finally, in the **remodeling stage**, sutures ensure the stability of the wound until the tissues regain sufficient strength.
The material and technique of suturing also influence wound healing. For instance, absorbable sutures naturally degrade during the healing process, eliminating the need for removal and reducing patient discomfort. Monofilament sutures limit bacterial colonization, lowering infection risk, while multifilament sutures provide better knot security, ensuring wound stability (Burkhardt & Lang, 2015).
Improper suturing, however, can hinder healing. Excessive tension can cause ischemia at the wound edges, while inadequate technique may result in wound dehiscence. By selecting appropriate sutures and employing proper techniques, dental professionals can ensure effective wound closure, minimize complications, and promote faster, healthier recovery. Sutures are, therefore, indispensable in guiding the healing process, bridging the gap between surgical intervention and natural tissue regeneration.

Complications Associated with Dental Sutures
While sutures are indispensable in oral surgery for wound closure and healing, their use is not without potential complications. These complications can arise from improper suture selection, incorrect technique, or the

inherent properties of the materials used. Understanding these potential issues is essential for minimizing risks and ensuring optimal surgical outcomes.

1. Tissue Reactions

One of the most common complications associated with dental sutures is tissue reaction. Sutures, especially those made from natural materials such as silk or catgut, can provoke an inflammatory response. This occurs as the body's immune system reacts to the foreign material, leading to swelling, redness, and delayed healing (Lilly et al., 1968). Synthetic materials, such as polyglycolic acid (PGA) or nylon, are generally better tolerated because they are designed to minimize tissue reactivity (Homsy et al., 1968).

The severity of tissue reactions can vary depending on the material used. For example, silk sutures, while easy to handle, are commonly associated with higher levels of inflammation and bacterial colonization in oral tissues (Parirokh et al., 2004). Conversely, monofilament synthetic sutures like polypropylene are less likely to cause adverse reactions due to their smooth surface and biocompatibility.

2. Infection

Suture materials can act as a nidus for bacterial colonization, increasing the risk of postoperative infection. Multifilament sutures, such as braided silk, are particularly prone to harboring bacteria within their fibers, which can lead to localized infections or even systemic complications (Otten et al., 2005). Intraoral surgeries are particularly susceptible to this issue due to the constant exposure of sutures to saliva, food debris, and oral bacteria.

To mitigate this risk, the use of monofilament sutures or antibacterial-coated sutures is recommended. Antibacterial sutures, such as those coated with triclosan, have been shown to reduce bacterial adherence significantly and lower infection rates in dental procedures (Scher et al., 1985). Additionally, proper surgical technique, including thorough cleaning of the wound and careful placement of sutures, is critical in preventing infection.

3. Wound Dehiscence

Wound dehiscence occurs when a surgical wound reopens after closure, often due to excessive tension on the sutures or improper technique. This complication can compromise the healing process, expose the wound to contaminants, and increase the risk of infection. Dehiscence is more likely to occur when sutures are tied too tightly, causing ischemia (reduced blood flow) at the wound edges, or when the sutures fail to provide adequate support to the tissue (Brandt & Jenkins, 2012).

To avoid this complication, dental professionals must choose the appropriate suture material and technique based on the specific surgical site and tissue type. For example, tension-relieving techniques such as mattress sutures can be used to prevent excessive stress on wound edges (Silverstein et al., 2009).

4. Knot-Related Issues

Improperly tied knots can lead to several complications, including suture loosening, wound instability, and irritation of surrounding tissues. Multifilament sutures tend to have better knot security, but they are more prone to bacterial colonization. Monofilament sutures, while less likely to harbor bacteria, may require additional care to ensure knots remain secure (Quasso et al., 1995).

Barbed sutures, which eliminate the need for knots, have been introduced as a solution to these issues. However, these advanced sutures are not yet widely used in dentistry due to their cost and limited availability (Regula &Yag-Howard, 2015).

5. Allergic Reactions

Although rare, some patients may experience allergic reactions to specific suture materials. Catgut, a natural suture material derived from animal intestines, has been associated with hypersensitivity in some individuals (Katz & Evans, 1962). Synthetic materials, such as nylon and polyester, are generally hypoallergenic, making them a safer choice for patients with a history of allergies.

6. Suture Absorption Issues

In the case of absorbable sutures, unpredictable degradation rates can lead to complications. For example, catgut sutures, which are absorbed enzymatically, may degrade too quickly in some patients, resulting in premature wound opening. Conversely, synthetic absorbable sutures like polyglycolic acid (PGA) provide more predictable absorption times, reducing the likelihood of premature failure (Selvig et al., 1998).

7. Patient Noncompliance

Complications can also arise from patient noncompliance, such as failure to maintain oral hygiene or attend follow-up appointments for suture removal. Poor oral hygiene can lead to plaque accumulation around the

sutures, increasing the risk of infection and delayed healing (Banche et al., 2007). Educating patients about proper postoperative care is essential to minimize these risks.

CONCLUSION

Dental sutures are indispensable in modern oral surgery, providing essential support for wound closure, stabilization, and healing. The selection of the appropriate suture material and technique is a critical decision that impacts the success of dental procedures. Absorbable sutures, such as polyglycolic acid and polylactic acid, are excellent for surgeries requiring natural degradation, while non-absorbable sutures, including nylon and polypropylene, are suited for cases necessitating prolonged tissue stabilization. The choice between monofilament and multifilament sutures depends on factors such as infection risk and handling requirements. Innovations such as antibacterial and barbed sutures showcase the ongoing advancements in suture technology, offering improved outcomes and reduced complications. Despite their benefits, sutures can cause challenges such as tissue reactions, infections, and knot-related issues if improperly selected or handled.

To minimize complications, dental professionals must tailor their approach to the specific needs of the procedure, patient, and tissue type. Proper training, careful planning, and patient education are essential to ensure optimal outcomes. By understanding the properties, techniques, and complications associated with sutures, clinicians can enhance surgical success, promote faster healing, and provide better care for their patients.

REFERENCES

1. Artandi, C., Gallini, G., & Pasqualini, M. (1988). La sutura in chirurgiaorale. Att Dent, IV, 18-22.
2. Banche, G., et al. (2007). Microbial adherence on various intraoral suture materials in patients undergoing dental surgery. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 65, 1503-1507.
3. Burkhardt, R., & Lang, N. P. (2015). Influence of suturing on wound healing. Periodontology 2000, 68(1), 270-281.
4. Davis, B., & Smith, K. D. (2021). Oral Surgery Suturing.
5. Galli, M. (2004). Suture e tecnichedei nodi.Tecnodenta.
6. Grigg, T. R., et al. (2019). The Surgical Suture. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 39(Suppl 2), S67-S72.
7. Homsy, C. A., et al. (1968). Surgical suture-canine tissue interaction for six common suture types. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research, 2, 215-230.
8. Katz, A. B., & Evans, H. D. (1962). Linear polyethylene sutures: an evaluation of tissue reaction. American Journal of Surgery, 103, 208-216.
9. Kurtzman, G. M., et al. (2005). Suturing for surgical success. Dental Today, 24(10), 96-102.
10. Leknes, K. N., Selvig, K. A., Boe, O. E., &Wikesjo, U. M. E. (2005). Tissue reactions to sutures in the presence or absence of anti-infective therapy. Journal of Clinical Periodontology, 32, 130-138.
11. Lilly, G. E. (1968). Reaction of oral tissues to suture materials. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, 26, 128-133.
12. Minozzi, F., Bollero, P., Unfer, V., Dolci, A., & Galli, M. (2009). The sutures in dentistry. European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences, 13(3), 217-226.
13. Otten, J. E., et al. (2005). Bacterial colonization on different suture materials–a potential risk for intraoral dentoalveolar surgery. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials, 74, 627-635.
14. Parirokh, M., Asgary, S., Eghbal, M. J., Stowe, S., &Kakoei, S. (2004). A scanning electron microscope study of plaque accumulation on silk and PVDF suture materials in oral mucosa. International Endodontic Journal, 37, 776-781.
15. Quasso, L., Gambarini, G., & Rizzo, O. A. (1995). Materiali da sutura in chirurgiaodontostomatologica. Il Dentista Moderno, 9, 1487-1496.
16. Regula, C. G., &Yag-Howard, C. (2015). Suture products and techniques: What to use, where, and why. Dermatologic Surgery, 41(Suppl 10), S187-200.
17. Scher, K. S., et al. (1985). Infectivity of vascular sutures. American Surgeon, 51, 577-579.
18. Selvig, K. A., Biagiotti, G. R., Leknes, K. N., &Wikesjo, U. M. (1998). Oral tissue reactions to suture materials. International Journal of Periodontics & Restorative Dentistry, 18, 474-487.
19. Silverstein, L. H., & Kurtzman, G. M. (2009). Suturing for optimal soft-tissue management. Journal of Oral Implantology, 35(2), 82-90.
20. Torres-Lagares, D., et al. (2012). Suture needles in oral surgery: Alterations depending on the type and number of sutures. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal, 17(1), e129-34.
21. Wang, P. H., et al. (2018). Wound healing. Journal of the Chinese Medical Association, 81(2), 94-101.