e-ISSN: 0974-4614 p-ISSN: 0972-0448

https://doi.org/10.47059/ijmtlm/V27I4S/107

Patient Satisfaction with Clinical Laboratory Services and Related Factors among Patients Attendance in Outpatient Departments

Abdulatif Turkistani¹, Yasser Qattan², Manal Ali Alshihri³, Khadija Hassan Hussein Al-Momen⁴, Ahmed Mohammed A Bakhder⁵, Abeer Rakan Alenazi⁶, Ahmed Abdulqader Hafez⁷, Hamza Ahmad Banafi⁸, Naseem Wasil Allah Hammoud Al-Thubaiti⁹, Abdulaziz Yahya Al-shahrani¹⁰, Fahad Abdulaziz Alabbas¹¹

¹Senior medical technologist, National Guard hospital -Jeddah Biochemistry, Saudi Ariba.

²Medical Laboratory Technician, Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs Western Sector King Abdulaziz Medical City King Khalid Hospital Jeddah, Saudi Ariba.

³Laboratory Specialist, King Fahd General Hospital, Saudi Ariba. ⁴Laboratory technician, Prince Sultan Heart Center in Al-Ahsa, Saudi Ariba.

⁵Specialist laboratory - Clinical Biochemistry, King AbdulAziz Hospital, Saudi Ariba.

⁶Laboratory specialist, Prince Mohammed bin Abdulaziz hospital in Riyadh, Saudi Ariba.

⁷Laboratory Specialist, Health promotion department, Saudi Ariba.

⁸Medical Laboratory. Tabuk.Alwjh general hospital. Alfaria health center, Saudi Ariba.
⁹Lab specialist, The Diabetes and Endocrinology Center is affiliated with King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital in Taif, Saudi Ariba.

¹⁰Laboratory specialists, Prince Mohammed Bin Abdulaziz Hospital, Saudi Ariba.
¹¹Laboratory Specialist, AlQuwayiyah General Hospital, Saudi Ariba.

Received: 15.09.2024 Revised: 10.10.2024 Accepted: 14.11.2024

ABSTRACT

Background: The quality of laboratory services is a fundamental component of healthcare delivery, as laboratory tests help diagnose, monitor, and manage medical conditions. In Saudi Arabia, clinical laboratories play a crucial role in supporting outpatient care, especially in diagnosing common illnesses, chronic diseases, and conditions requiring specialized attention. As part of the Kingdom's Vision 2030, the Saudi government has emphasized the improvement of healthcare services, including laboratory diagnostics, to enhance overall health outcomes and patient satisfaction.

The study aims:To assess patient satisfaction with clinical laboratory services and related factors among patients attendance in outpatient departments at Jedahh hospital, KSA. Cross-sectional study was conducted among 391 patients from March to May, 2024 using interviewer-administered questionnaire. Systematic random sampling technique was used. Data were entered into Epi-Data software version 4.2, and analyzed using SPSS 28.0. Binary logistic regression analysis was employed. Variables having p-value < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Results: Overall level of patient satisfaction towards clinical laboratory services was 48.3%. Patients with no occasion of missing laboratory results [AOR: 2.10; 95% CI (1.13, 3.83)], had diplomas and above educational level [AOR: 0.38; 95% CI (0.15, 0.96)], and who reported no place in the laboratory to put personal things [AOR: 0.37; 95% CI (0.21, 0.54)] were significant factors. Hospital administration and laboratory department in hospital should strengthen their effort to improve patient satisfaction focusing on educational status of patients, missing laboratory results, and the availability of places to put personal things in the blood drawing room.

Keywords: Patient satisfaction, Laboratory services

INTRODUCTION

Laboratory services play a pivotal role in healthcare, providing essential diagnostic support for medical decision-making⁽¹⁾. In Saudi Arabia, clinical laboratory services are available in both public and private healthcare settings, serving patients attending outpatient departments (OPDs). With the increasing demand for efficient healthcare services and the government's push for healthcare improvements under Vision 2030, ensuring patient satisfaction with laboratory services has become a key focus⁽²⁾. Satisfaction with laboratory

services in OPDs reflects both the quality of diagnostic services and the patient's overall experience with the healthcare system. Laboratory tests, which range from routine blood work to specialized diagnostic procedures, are crucial for accurate diagnosis and treatment. The quality of these services, as perceived by patients, can impact patient outcomes and their continued engagement with healthcare providers⁽³⁾.

Clinically, patient satisfaction is essential as satisfied patients are more likely to adhere to treatments, engage in their care, continue using medical services, remain with a healthcare provider, and maintain a specific health system ⁽⁴⁾. Conversely, dissatisfied patients may experience worse outcomes due to missed appointments, disregarding advice, or failing to follow treatment plans. Customer satisfaction is crucial for improving service quality and is a key input for the International Organization for Standardization ⁽⁵⁾. Laboratory procedures produce test results for their customers, and failure to serve them well indicates that the laboratory is not fulfilling its primary function ^(4, 5).Patient satisfaction reflects the provider's ability to deliver care that meets patients' expectations and needs⁽⁶⁾.

Additionally, Patient satisfaction is a crucial and commonly used indicator for measuring the quality in any health care system. Patient satisfaction has positive effect on clinical improvement, patient adherence and retention, job satisfaction and appropriate clinical care by physicians ⁽⁷⁻⁹⁾. On the other hand, mismatch between patient expectation and the service they received leads dissatisfaction. Patient satisfaction towards clinical laboratory service is influenced by the quality of service and professionalism of the staff, provision of adequate information to collect specimen and when and how to receive laboratory results, waiting time to receive laboratory results, availability of ordered laboratory tests, cleanness of the laboratory room, location of laboratory room, availability and accessibility of latrine ⁽¹⁰⁻¹⁵⁾.

Several factors influence patient satisfaction with laboratory services, including access to the laboratory, environmental hygiene, waiting times, patient-provider communication, availability of requested tests, laboratory space, staff attitude and competence, patients' socio-demographic characteristics, physical health status, and patients' understanding and expectations of healthcare services. The hospital's physical appearance and overall environment also significantly impact client satisfaction (16). Thus, this study aimed to investigate patient satisfaction with clinical laboratory services and related factors among patients attendance in outpatient departments at Jedahhhospital, KSA.

METHODS

An institution based cross-sectional study was conducted from March to May2024 atJedahh hospital, KSA. The source population was all adult patients (\geq 18 years) visiting laboratory service from internal medicine, surgery, Gynecology/Obstetrics departments at Hospital. The study population was all adult patients (\geq 18 years) visiting laboratory service from internal medicine, surgery and Gynecology/Obstetrics departments at Hospital during the data collection period. All adult patients who were requested for clinical chemistry, hematology, parasitological and urine analysis tests were included in the study. However, patients who had mental illness and hearing impairments were excluded from the study. The required sample size was calculated using a single population proportion formula;

$$n = \frac{\left(z\alpha/2\right)^2 p (1-p)}{d^2}$$

n = required sample size, Z = critical value for normal distribution at 95% confidence level (1.96), d = 0.05 (5% margin of error), P = 63.3% (proportion of patients satisfied with laboratory service) (17) and an estimated nonresponse rate of 10%. The final calculated sample size for this study was 391. Systematic random sampling technique was used to select study participants. Data were collected using a pre-tested and structured interviewer administered questionnaire. The questionnaire was prepared in English and translated to Arabic, then back to English to check for its consistency. The questionnaire contains satisfaction indicators which were related to socio-demographic characteristics of the patients and different dimensions of laboratory services such as waiting time (turnaround time), availability of requested laboratory tests, convenience of service hours, and type of laboratory visit, privacy, respect, courtesy and confidentiality.

The study participants were asked to rate each aspects of the laboratory service on five point liker scale (1 = Very Dis- satisfied, 2 = Dissatisfied, 3 = Neutral,4 = Satisfied, 5 = Very satisfied). Exit interview of patients was carried out. To assure the data quality, two diploma laboratory technicians and one BSc laboratory professional were recruited as data collectors and supervisor, respectively. In addition, training regarding the study objectives and data collection process was given for data collectors and supervisor for 2 days. Moreover, the questionnaire was pretested among 5% of the sample size at hospital. Furthermore, intensive supervision was done by supervisor and principal investigators throughout the data collection period.

The dependent variable of this study was patient satisfaction. Patient satisfaction with laboratory services was defined as the patient's opinion of the care received from the institution and is acknowledged as an outcome indicator of the quality of health care. i.e. ≥ mean value = Satisfied and < mean value = Dissatisfied (13). The independent variables were: Socio-demographic characteristics (age, gender, level of education, maritalstatus,

occupation, income and residence), waiting time to get service, availability of requested lab tests, convenience of service hours, confidentiality of lab results, improvement of service from time to time, queue process to get service, availability of service providers at their job, missing results, cost of laboratory service, location of laboratory, hospitality of laboratory professionals to patient, cleanness of latrine, needle stick attempted, and availability of equipment.

Data were cleaned, coded and entered using Epi-Data software Version 4.2 and analyzed using SPSS Version28.0. Binary logistic regression was employed. In the bivariable analysis, variables with p-value < 0.25 were fitted into the multivariable model. Finally, adjusted odds ratios with their 95% confidence intervals were estimated to assess the strength of association, and variables with p-value < 0.05 were considered statistically significant factors.

RESULTS

Table (1): Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants:A total of 391 laboratory service users were included in the study, resulting in a response rate of 100%. About244 (57.3%), and more than two-third (68.7%) of the respondents were females and married respectively. The mean age of participants was 36.9 (± 14.08) years with a range of 18–75 years. Nearly half of the respondents were unable to read and write (47.8%) and almost one-third (30.4%) of them were housewives. Majority (86.2%) had reported that they earn an average family monthly income of more than 1000 SAR. Almost half of the participants resided in rural areas.

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of hospital laboratory service users (n = 391)

Variables	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Sex	Male	167	42.7
	Female	224	57.3
Age in years	18–27	123	31.4
	28–37	114	29.2
	38–47	57	14.6
	48–57	54	13.8
	≥58	43	11.0
Educational status	Unable to read and write	187	47.8
	Able to read and write	31	7.9
	Grade 1–8	53	13.6
	Grade 9–12	53	9.0
	Diploma and above	85	21.7
Marital status	Married	268	68.6
	Single	70	17.8
	Divorced	25	6.4
	Widowed	28	7.2
Occupation	Student	70	17.9
	Housewife	119	30.4
	No employment	20	5.2
	Merchant	48	12.3
	Government worker	33	8.4
	Farmer	101	25.8
Average family monthly income	≤1000	54	13.8
	>1000	337	86.2
Residence	Rural	201	51.4
	Urban	190	48.6

Table (2):General Service parameters and patients' satisfaction towards clinical laboratory services: The overall patient satisfaction on laboratory services was determined by taking mean score and above for 16 variables that were utilized to reflect satisfaction. Nearly half (48.3%) of patients were satisfied with thegeneral clinical laboratory services provided. Majority of the study participants (89.5%) had got the service with payment. Majority (83.4%) of the respondents have got all the requested laboratory tests that the clinicians ordered. Nearly half (44%) of the patients were first time service users at the hospital laboratory. When the respondents were asked if they come again to get services at the hospital laboratory, almost all (96.2%) of them were interested to come again.

Among the reason to come again the same hospital laboratory to get service were: good quality of service (26.3%), preferred service providers (21.3%), availability of many services (21.0%), cheap service fee (12.3%), get service early (9.7%) and convenience of working hour (4.6%). In contrary to the previous, the reasons for not coming again to the same hospital to get laboratory services were long waiting time. Regarding the turnaround time to get results, the majority (82.4%) responded that they wait more than 1 h and nearly two-third (67%) of participants had one vein puncture attempt.

Table 2:General Service parameters of Hospital laboratory service users at hospital, (n = 391)

Variable 2:General Service parameters of F	Frequency	Percent (%)					
Service payment	Trequency	Tereent (70)					
Payment	350	89.5					
Free	41	10.5					
Do you know this laboratory before?							
Yes	219	56.0					
No	172	44.0					
Improvement of service (n=219)							
Yes	145	66					
No	74	34					
Turnaround time							
< 30 min	17	4.3					
30 min-1 h	52	13.3					
> 1 h	322	82.4					
Will you come again to the hospital laboratory							
Yes	376	96.2					
No	15	3.8					
Reason to decide to come again							
Convenient opening hour	18	4.6					
Get the service early	38	9.7					
Good quality service	103	26.4					
Availability of many services	82	21.0					
Cheaper service fee	48	12.3					
Preferred service provider here	83	21.2					
Others	4	1.0					
Reason to decide not to come again (n=15)	15	3.8					
long waiting time							
Needle stick attempted to draw blood							
One vein puncture	262	67.0					
Two vein puncture	88	22.5					
Three vein puncture	30	7.7					
Four or more vein puncture	11	2.8					
Place in blood drawing room to put personal thing							
Yes	148	37.9					
No	243	62.1					
Occasion of missing results							
Yes	65	16.6					
No	326	83.4					
Information given on how to decrease possible bruise							
Yes	245	62.7					
No	146	37.3					

Table (3): Factors affecting the level of patients' satisfaction towards clinical laboratory services: In the bi-variable analysis, educational status of respondents, occupation, residence, occasions of missing laboratory results, information to decrease possible bruise, place to put personal thing, convenience of opening hour were found to be associated with patient satisfaction on clinical laboratory services. These factors were further analyzed using multivariable logistic regression by enter method. The results of multivariable logistic regression analysis showed that the educational level, missing of laboratory results, and availability of place in blood drawing room to put personal things were found to have a statistically significant association with the overall satisfaction of patients toward clinical laboratory services.

The likelihood of patient satisfaction on clinical laboratory services was 2.1 times more likely in patients who had no occasion of missing laboratory results as compared with who had occasion of missing results (AOR = 2.10, 95% CI 1.13, 3.83).On the other hand, patients who were able to read and write and who had diplomas and above educational level were less likely to be satisfied with the laboratory services compared to those who were not able to read and write (AOR = 0.41, 95% CI 0.18, 0.92 and AOR = 0.38, 95% CI 0.15, 0.96) respectively. Similarly, patients who said no place in the laboratory to put personal things were less likely to be satisfied with the laboratory service compared to their counterparts (AOR = 0.34, 95% CI 0.21, 0.54).

Table 3:Related Factors with patient satisfaction towards clinical laboratory service among patients Attendance in outpatient departments(n=391)

Variable	Satisfaction		Crude OR (95%CI)	Adjusted OR		
	Satisfactionn(%)	Dissatisfactionn(%)		(95%CI)		
Education						
Unable to read and write	79(20.25)	108(27.6%)	1.00	1.00		
Able to read and write	13(3.3%)	18(4.6%)	0.30(0.19,0.56)	0.41(0.18,0.92)		
Grade1-8	23(5.9%)	30(7.7%)	0.30(0.14,0.74)	0.52(0.14,3.26)		
Grade9–12	15(3.8%)	20(5.1%)	0.34(0.17,0.67)	0.47(0.20,1.11)		
Diplomaandabove	59(15.1%)	26(6.6%)	0.33(0.15,4.10)	0.38(0.15,0.96)		
Place to put personnel things						
Yes	52(13.3%)	96(24.6%)	1.00	1.00		
No	137(35.0%)	106(27.1%)	0.42(0.28,0.64)	0.34(0.21,0.54)		
Occasion of missing results						
Yes	42(10.7%)	23(5.9%)	1.00	1.00		
No	147(45.8%)	179(37.6%)	2.2(1.30,3.90)	2.10(1.13,3.83)		

DISCUSSION

In Saudi Arabia, patient satisfaction is not only a reflection of healthcare service quality but also a key performance indicator for healthcare organizations. With the Saudi Vision 2030 initiative aiming to enhance the quality of healthcare services, these findings underscore the importance of prioritizing patient satisfaction as a strategic goal for healthcare facilities (18). Patient centered care is one of the six domains of health care quality developed by World Health Organization. The aim of this study was to examine the satisfaction of patients with clinical laboratory services and its associated factors among patients attendancein outpatient departments at Jedahhhospital, KSA.

The overall client satisfaction towards medical laboratory services in study area was 48.3%. This is in line with the findings of the study conducted by Bogale et al.,(2015) (19), 52.6%. The possible explanation for the low satisfaction rate might be due to excess flow of patients to the laboratory. But, the current finding is slightly lower than a report from a study conducted by Aberaet al., (2017),(59.7%) (10) and Tadeleet al., (2014), (60.4%) (20). The other studies conducted by Teklemariam et al., (2013) (14) (87.6%), and Belay et al., (2013) (21), (90.8%) are muchhigher than the current study. This might be attributed to a difference in study area, period and patient flow.

The findings of this study showed that patients who were able to read and write and who had diplomas and above educational level were less likely to be satisfied with the laboratory service compared to those who cannot able to read and write, which is supported by similar study conducted by Abera et al., (2017) (10) and Belay et al., (2013) (21). This might occur due to the fact that patients who were educated expect more professionalism from service providers and also quality service and infrastructures.

Those patients who reported no place available in the laboratory room to put personal things were less likely to be satisfied with the laboratory service compared their counterparts. It is comparable with a study conducted by Abera et al., (2017) (10). The absence of place in the laboratory room might lead patients to think about their possession decreasing their satisfaction on the service they received. The odds of patients who had no occasion of missing laboratory results were 2.1 times more likely to be satisfied with the service than those who had occasion of missing results. This may be due to patients were susceptible to unethical additional service fee and long waiting time to get results with associated delay in getting the clinical health provider service. But, there was no similar significant association in other similar studies the researchers tried to search for even though the percentage of patients who complains missing laboratory results was 16.6% in this study, which is nearly equivalent to a similar study done by Iliyasuet al., (2010) (22), (17.9%).

CONCLUSION

The overall patients' satisfaction towards clinical laboratory services was low. The educational level, missing of laboratory results, and availability of place in blood drawing room to put personal things were found to have a statistically significant association with the overall satisfaction of patients towards clinical laboratory services. The hospital administration and laboratorydepartment in hospital should strengthen their effort to improve patient satisfaction focusing on educational status of patients, missing laboratory results, and the availability of places to put personal things in the blood drawing room. Proper service availability and readiness plan should be prepared and implemented to satisfy patients towards the laboratory services rendered at hospital.

REFERENCES

- 1. Freedman, DB. Towards better test utilization strategies to improve physician ordering and their impact on patient outcomes. *eJIFCC* 2015;26:15–30.
- Almutairi AS, Osagiede EF, Alenazi SK, Almutairi AA, Alnawmasi YS, Aljunaydili AA, Alharbi MS, Alshahrani AS. Client's satisfaction with healthcare services received at the hospital outpatients department and the primary healthcare facilities in Al-Qassim, Saudi Arabia: A review of service users' satisfaction. Saudi Med J. 2024 Aug;45(8):826-833. doi: 10.15537/smj.2024.45.8.20240249. PMID: 39074882; PMCID: PMC11288494.
- 3. Hallworth, MJ, Epner, PL, Ebert, C, Fantz, CR, Faye, SA, Higgins, TN, et al.. Current evidence and future perspectives on the effective practice of patient-centered laboratory medicine. *Clin Chem* 2015;61:589–99.
- 4. Worku, M., &Loha, E. (2017). Assessment of client satisfaction on emergency department services in Hawassa University Referral Hospital, Hawassa, Southern Ethiopia. BMC emergency medicine, 17, 1-5.
- 5. Manzoor, F., Wei, L., Hussain, A., Asif, M., & Shah, S. I. A. (2019). Patient satisfaction with health care services; an application of physician's behavior as a moderator. International journal of environmental research and public health, 16(18), 3318.
- 6. Koh, Y. R., Kim, S. Y., Kim, I. S., Chang, C. L., Lee, E. Y., Son, H. C., & Kim, H. H. (2014). Customer satisfaction survey with clinical laboratory and phlebotomy services at a tertiary care unit level. Annals of laboratory medicine, 34(5), 380.
- 7. Zgierska A, Rabago D, Miller MM. Impact of patient satisfaction ratings on physicians and clinical care. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2014;8:437–46.
- 8. Dubina MI, O'Neill JL, Feldman SR. Effect of patient satisfaction on out- comes of care. Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res. 2009;9(5):393–5.
- 9. Prakash DB. Patient satisfaction. J CutanAesthet Surg. 2010;3(3):151–5. https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-2077.74491.
- 10. Abera RG, Abota BA, Legese MH, Negesso AE. Patient satisfaction with clinical laboratory services at TikurAnbessa specialized hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2017;11:1181.
- 11. Bekele MTS. Assessment of patients' satisfaction towards general medical laboratory services at Shenen Gibe Public Hospital, Jimma Town, South West Ethiopia. J Health Med Nurse. 2016;31:78–84.
- 12. Georgieva E, Tsankova G, Kaludova V, Ermenlieva N. Patients satisfaction with laboratory services at selected medical-diagnostic laboratories in Varna. J IMAB Ann Proc Sci Pap. 2014;20(2):500–1.
- 13. Mindaye T, Taye B. Patients satisfaction with laboratory services at antiret- roviral therapy clinics in public hospitals, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. BMC Res Notes. 2012;5(1):184.
- 14. Teklemariam Z, Mekonnen A, Kedir H, Kabew G. Clients and clinician satisfaction with laboratory services at selected government hospitals in eastern Ethiopia. BMC Res Notes. 2013;6(1):15.
- 15. Thomas J. Patients' satisfaction with clinical laboratory services in a secondary health care facility, ondo west local government area, Nigeria. 2015.
- 16. Getachew R, Abota BA, Hailu M. Client satisfaction with clinical laboratory services at TikurAnbessa Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Client Preference Adherence. 2017;1:1181–8.
- 17. Geleto A, Baraki N, Atomsa GE, Dessie Y. Job satisfaction and associated factors among health care providers at public health institutions in Harari region, eastern Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. BMC Res Notes. 2015;8(1):394.
- 18. Aljarallah, N.A.; Almuqbil, M.; Alshehri, S.; Khormi, A.M.S.; AlReshaidan, R.M.; Alomran, F.H.; Fawzan Alomar, N.; Alshahrani, F.F.; Alshammari, M.S.; Alsanie, W.F.; et al. Satisfaction of Patients with Health Care Services in Tertiary Care Facilities of Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Approach. *Front. Public Health* 2023, *10*, 1077147.
- 19. Bogale AL, Kassa HB, Ali JH. Patients' perception and satisfaction on quality of laboratory malaria diagnostic service in Amhara Regional State, North West Ethiopia. Malaria J. 2015;14(1):241.
- 20. Tadele G, Ejeta E, Desalegn M, Abere S, Elias K. Patients satisfaction on clinical laboratory services at Nekemte Referral Hospital, Oromia, Ethiopia. Food Sci Qual Manag. 2014;30:25–30.
- 21. Belay M, Abrar S, Bekele D, Daka D, Derbe M, Birhaneselassie M. HIV/ AIDS Patients' satisfaction on ART laboratory service in selected governmen- tal hospitals, Sidamma Zone, southern Ethiopia. Sci J

- Public Health. 2013;1:85.
- 22. Iliyasu Z, Abubakar I, Abubakar S, Lawan U, Gajida A. Patients' satisfaction with services obtained from Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital, Kano, Northern Nigeria. Niger J Clin Pract. 2010;13(4):371–8.